# RECONCILING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROTECTIONS AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT

#### Natalie Nanasi\*

In March of 2023, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals held that individuals subject to domestic violence protective orders could not be required to give up their guns. The decision was the first of a federal appellate court to overturn a firearm regulation pursuant to New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen, a 2022 Supreme Court opinion that created a new standard for determining the constitutionality of gun restrictions. After Bruen, only laws that are "consistent with this Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation" pass constitutional muster.

The Fifth Circuit's decision in United States v. Rahimi, in which the Supreme Court heard oral argument on November 2023, highlights the unworkability of the Bruen test. Women's rights were virtually nonexistent when the Second Amendment was ratified. Domestic violence was tolerated, and it was not until nearly 200 years later that protective order statutes were enacted across the United States. Looking to the past to justify modern-day gun laws gravely threatens women's rights and safety.

But Bruen does not require such a narrow reading. Significant historical and legal precedent exists for disarming dangerous persons, and those who have had protective orders entered against them undoubtedly fall into that category. This Article's feminist critique of Bruen demonstrates why its holding is deeply problematic. Yet it also shows that it is possible to both hew to Second Amendment jurisprudence and protect survivors of intimate partner violence.

<sup>\*</sup> Associate Professor and Director, Judge Elmo B. Hunter Legal Center for Victims of Crimes Against Women, SMU Dedman School of Law. (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5524-4921). Thank you to Eric Ruben and Kelly Roskam for their constructive comments on early drafts of this article, Samara Taper for her superb research assistance, and the students of the *Wake Forest University Law Review* for their eagle-eyed edits and helpful suggestions.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRO	ODUCTION	132
I.	INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE AND FIREARMS	137
	A. Intimate Partner Violence	137
	B. Exacerbating Factors: Firearms and Separation	
	Violence	139
	C. Protective Orders	141
II.	LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON FIREARM POSSESSION	BY
	PERPETRATORS OF INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE	145
III.	THE SECOND AMENDMENT IN THE SUPREME AND FEDE	RAL
	COURTS	147
	A. D.C. v. Heller	
	B. New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen	150
	C. U.S. v. Rahimi	152
IV.	ANALOGY, ORIGINALISM, AND INTIMATE PARTNER	
	VIOLENCE	
	A. Intimate Partner Violence's Analogical Challenges	154
	B. Originalism v. Feminism	161
V.	A PROPER READING OF BRUEN SUPPORTS DISARM	IING
	INDIVIDUALS SUBJECT TO FAMILY VIOLENCE PROTECT	TIVE
	ORDERS	167
	A. Dangerous Persons is the Proper Analogue	168
	B. Subjects of Family Violence Protective Orders	are
	Dangerous Individuals	170
CONC	CLUSION	175
Appe	NDIX A	177

#### INTRODUCTION

When the Second Amendment was ratified in 1791, women's lives bore little resemblance to those they lead today. Women did not have the right to vote.<sup>1</sup> Married women rarely worked outside the home<sup>2</sup> and were excluded from certain occupations,<sup>3</sup> including the practice

<sup>1.</sup> It was not until a constitutional amendment in 1920 that women were enfranchised. *See* U.S. CONST. amend. XIX (providing that "[t]he right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or by any State on account of sex").

<sup>2.</sup> Richard H. Chused, *Married Women's Property Law: 1800-1850*, 71 GEO. L.J. 1359, 1360 (1983) (noting that "[b]y and large historians have concluded that role changes for most early nineteenth-century married women involved increased family responsibilities, not greater participation in the larger commercial and political world"). Professor Chused adds that "at the end of the 19th century, less than 20 percent of women were in the labor force. About 40 percent of unmarried women, but only 5 percent of married women, were in the labor force." *Id.* at 1396 n.192.

<sup>3.</sup> See Goesaert v. Cleary, 335 U.S. 464, 467 (1948) (upholding as constitutional the denial of bartending licenses to women). The challenged law

of law.<sup>4</sup> On the rare occasion that a woman was employed, "it was the husband's prerogative to collect her wages."<sup>5</sup> Girls' educational opportunities were limited.<sup>6</sup> The Seneca Falls Convention, a landmark event in the women's rights movement, was not held until 1848, over half a century after the Second Amendment's ratification.<sup>7</sup>

The infamous coverture doctrine,<sup>8</sup> which "structured marriage to give a husband superiority over his wife in most aspects of the

4. The first woman was not admitted to a state bar until 1869. Aleta Wallach, Arabella Babb Mansfield (1846–1911), 2 WOMEN'S RTS. L. REP 3, 3 (1974). When Mrs. Mansfield applied for admission to the Iowa bar, the state code specifically excluded women from admission. DAWN BRADLEY BERRY, THE 50 MOST INFLUENTIAL WOMEN IN AMERICAN LAW 6 (1996). A progressive judge created a pathway for her licensure by locating "another Iowa statute that extended all statutory references to the masculine gender to women as well." Id. Despite Mrs. Mansfield's historic achievement, in 1872, the Supreme Court upheld the right of states to deny women licenses to practice law. See Bradwell v. Illinois, 83 U.S. 130, 139 (1872). The Bradwell Court referenced the doctrine of coverture in its decision, holding that because a woman has "no legal existence separate from her husband," the Supreme Court of Illinois properly found that women were "incompetent fully to perform the duties and trusts that belong to the office of an attorney and counsellor." Id. at 141 (Bradley, J., concurring). Notably, Bradwell was decided the same year the first African American woman earned a law degree. Pioneer Women in the American Legal Profession, WOMEN HISTORY BLOG, https://www.womenhistoryblog.com/2013/05/first-womenlawyers.html (last visited Mar. 4, 2024).

5. Reva B. Siegel, Home As Work: The First Woman's Rights Claims Concerning Wives' Household Labor, 1850–1880, 103 YALE L.J. 1073, 1117 (1994).

6. Chused, *supra* note 2, at 1416 ("There is no doubt that girls continued to receive lesser educations than boys long after 1800 and that expressions of support for gender equality in education were met with strong disapproval.").

7. LIBR. OF CONG., *Today in History - July 19*, https://www.loc.gov/item/today-in-history/july-19/ (last visited Mar. 4, 2024).

8. The frequently cited Blackstone Commentaries described the manner in which a married woman is "covered" by her husband:

By marriage, the husband and wife are one person in law: that is, the very being or legal existence of the woman is suspended during the marriage, or at least is incorporated and consolidated into that of the husband: under whose wing, protection, and cover, she performs everything . . . . Upon this principle, of a union of person in husband and wife, depend almost all the legal rights, duties, and disabilities, that either one of them acquire by the marriage.

1 WILLIAM BLACKSTONE, COMMENTARIES ON THE LAWS OF ENGLAND, 442 (1765). Blackstone is widely described as "the preeminent authority on English law for the founding generation." Alden v. Maine, 527 U.S. 706, 715 (1999).

required bartenders in cities of 50,000 or more to be licensed, but only allowed women who were the wives or daughters of male owners of licensed liquor establishments to obtain licenses. *Id.* at 465.

relationship," was at the core of much of women's subjugation.<sup>9</sup> "When women married, as the vast majority did,"<sup>10</sup> they surrendered their autonomy, as "coverture demanded that women lose their public legal identity and submit to private patriarchy."<sup>11</sup> "[W]ives were treated as civilly dead persons in many situations," with husbands controlling their money, labor, and property.<sup>12</sup> Husbands also represented their wives in the legal system: "[w]omen could not sue or be sued . . . own or control real estate and personal property, freely enter into contracts, defend a lawsuit, sit on juries, or design their wills."<sup>13</sup>

Women's legal incapacity extended to their status in their own families. Women could not leave unhappy, inequitable, or violent marriages because "[d]ivorce . . . was a rare legal event [in the United States] in the early nineteenth century."<sup>14</sup> If parents separated, fathers had "the superior right to custody."<sup>15</sup>

As Lucretia Mott, an early feminist and abolitionist activist, described in 1852, a woman at that time was "an inferior dependent."<sup>16</sup> Unsurprisingly, Black women fared worse than their

13. Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 216. See also Reva B. Siegel, *The Modernization* of Marital Status Law: Adjudicating Wives' Rights to Earnings, 1860–1930, 82 GEO. L.J. 2127, 2127 (1994) ("For centuries the common law of coverture gave husbands rights in their wives' property and earnings, and prohibited wives from contracting, filing suit, drafting wills, or holding property in their own names.").

<sup>9.</sup> Reva B. Siegel, "The Rule of Love": Wife Beating as Prerogative and Privacy, 105 YALE L.J. 2117, 2122 (1996).

<sup>10.</sup> THE GILDER LEHRMAN INST. OF AM. HIST., *The Legal Status of Women*, 1776–1830, https://ap.gilderlehrman.org/essay/legal-status-women-1776â€1830 (last visited Mar. 4, 2024).

<sup>11.</sup> Susan P. Liebell, Sensitive Places?: How Gender Unmasks the Myth of Originalism in District of Columbia v. Heller, 53 POLITY 207, 216 (2021).

<sup>12.</sup> Chused, *supra* note 2, at 1368. *See also* Siegel, *supra* note 5, at 1082 ("[A] wife negotiated marriage as a dependent: without property or the legal prerogative to earn it, and impaired in her capacity to contract, to convey or devise property, and to file suit.").

<sup>14.</sup> Lawrence M. Friedman, *A Dead Language: Divorce Law and Practice Before No-Fault*, 86 VA. L. REV. 1497, 1501 (2000). If a woman wanted to extricate herself from a marriage, often the "only way to get a divorce was to petition the legislature. Divorces, in other words, were statutes (in the form of private acts)." *Id.* 

<sup>15.</sup> Andre P. Derdeyn, *Child Custody Contests in Historical Perspective*, 133 AM. J. PSYCHIATRY 1369, 1370 (1976). The decision to preference a father's right to custody was based on both "the historical view of children as essentially the property of the father" and "the fact that the father was vastly more competent financially than the mother." *Id.* 

<sup>16. 1</sup> HISTORY OF WOMAN SUFFRAGE 359 (Elizabeth C. Stanton et al. eds., reprt. 1985) (1881). Other women at the time described themselves as having "no more power than a child." *Subjection of Women*, WOMAN'S J., Dec. 23, 1876, at 410, *reprinted in* BALLOT BOX, Nov. 1876.

white counterparts. They were enslaved in the eighteenth century, and, as author Dorothy Sterling explained, "[t]o be a Black Woman in nineteenth-century America was to live in the double jeopardy of belonging to the 'inferior' sex of an 'inferior' race."<sup>17</sup>

It was not until well into the nineteenth century that women began to gain increased rights. Married Women's Property Acts granted them "contractual or testamentary control over property held at law."<sup>18</sup> Statutes protecting women's earnings from the institution of coverture, i.e., allowing them to keep their earnings separate from those of their husbands, emerged after the Civil War.<sup>19</sup> Women also began to make wills in meaningful numbers after 1800.<sup>20</sup>

Although women made slow progress with respect to their economic independence and legal capacity, their safety and bodily autonomy did not ameliorate as rapidly. Laws against family violence were not consistently enacted until the 1850s. "The whole of the eighteenth and half of the nineteenth century appears to have been a legislative vacuum."<sup>21</sup> This was largely a result of entrenched patriarchy, for "[w]hereas the state has long possessed the right to punish violators of the criminal law, it has often been claimed that family relationships require or deserve special immunity."<sup>22</sup>

Of course, history is not monolithic. Women's rights, like other human and civil rights, experience progress and retrenchment.<sup>23</sup> Today's originalist conservative Supreme Court has ushered in an era of such retrenchment.<sup>24</sup> In 2022, the Court decided *New York State* 

20. See Chused, supra note 2, at 1366–67.

21. Elizabeth Pleck, Criminal Approaches to Family Violence, 1640–1980, 11 CRIME & JUST. 19, 29 (1989).

22. Id. at 20.

23. See, e.g., Carolyn B. Ramsey, Domestic Violence and State Intervention in the American West and Australia, 1860–1930, 86 IND. L.J. 185, 187 (2011) (describing efforts to arrest and prosecute domestic violence offenders in the American frontier in the late 1800s and early 1900s); Pleck, *supra* note 21, at 20 (describing and explaining the reasoning behind oscillating periods of interest and disinterest in addressing family violence from the Puritan era to the 1980s).

24. As Justice Sotomayor eloquently stated in her dissent in *303 Creative LLC v. Elenis*, "[n]ew forms of inclusion have been met with reactionary exclusion." 600 U.S. 570, 604 (2023) (Sotomayor, J., dissenting).

<sup>17.</sup> DOROTHY STERLING, *Introduction* to WE ARE YOUR SISTERS: BLACK WOMEN IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY xiii (1997).

<sup>18.</sup> Chused, *supra* note 2, at 1366. Notably, "[w]hen legislators initially modified the common law, their object was to provide families economic security—not to empower or emancipate wives." Siegel, *supra* note 13, at 2141.

<sup>19.</sup> Chused, *supra* note 2, at 1398. These "Married Women's Property Acts," which did not apply to women who were slaves, began to appear in the 1850s. They permitted women to engage in legal transactions and allowed them control over their own money. *See* Siegel, *supra* note 5, at 1082–83, 1082 n.14.

Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen,<sup>25</sup> which held that only restrictions that are "consistent with this Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation" survive constitutional scrutiny.<sup>26</sup> Less than a year later, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals heard U.S. v. Rahimi, which challenged the constitutionality of the federal law, 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8), that prohibits individuals subject to family violence protective orders from possessing firearms.<sup>27</sup> Applying the new standard established in Bruen, the Rahimi court invalidated § 922(g)(8) because it could not find evidence that domestic violence offenders were prohibited from possessing firearms when the Bill of Rights was ratified in 1791.

Bruen was decided the day before the Supreme Court handed down its decision in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization,<sup>28</sup> the case that overturned Roe v. Wade and the federal right to abortion. The Dobbs Court also looked to history to justify its ruling, specifically the fact that the right to abortion is not "deeply rooted in this Nation's history and tradition."<sup>29</sup> As these decisions and those that interpret their new precedent make clear, the Supreme Court's fixation on historical tradition has eroded women's rights in the United States. In looking back to the country's founding era to determine the constitutionality of present-day rights, the Court takes women back to the days where they had few.

This Article will explain both why such analysis is wrong and why extreme outcomes like the one reached in *Rahimi* are not required even under *Bruen*'s restrictive new test. The Article begins by providing information about intimate partner abuse, with a focus on the factors that exacerbate it—firearms and separation violence and protective orders that attempt to alleviate it. Part II details legal restrictions that federal and state legislatures have enacted to prevent perpetrators of intimate partner violence from accessing firearms. Part III describes the recent evolution of the Second Amendment jurisprudence in the Supreme Court and, subsequently, in the federal courts. Part IV explains why an originalist approach that requires looking back to the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries to find support for contemporary laws protecting women dooms those

<sup>25. 597</sup> U.S. 1 (2022).

<sup>26.</sup> *Id.* at 2126.

<sup>27. 61</sup> F.4th 443, 448–49 (5th Cir. 2023), cert. granted, 143 S. Ct. 2688 (2023).

<sup>28. 597</sup> U.S. 215 (2022).

<sup>29.</sup> Id. at 231 (quoting Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 U.S. 702, 721 (1997)). As Professor Aaron Tang notes, "so crucial to its analysis was this assessment... that the majority repeated the same claim almost verbatim four times and included a 22-page appendix identifying every single abortion ban enacted by the states in the union as of 1868." Aaron Tang, *After Dobbs: History, Tradition, and the Uncertain Future of a Nationwide Abortion Ban*, 75 STAN. L. REV. 1091, 1100 (2023).

measures to failure. Finally, Part V argues that *Bruen* supports analysis at a higher level of generality—namely, analogizing the prohibition against armed protective order respondents to a longstanding historical tradition of disarming dangerous persons. By focusing on the underlying purposes of historical and modern-day firearm prohibitions, contemporary Second Amendment jurisprudence can be reconciled with lifesaving protections for survivors of intimate partner violence.

#### I. INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE AND FIREARMS

#### A. Intimate Partner Violence

Intimate partner violence<sup>30</sup> is a problem of "epidemic proportions."<sup>31</sup> Although precise numbers are impossible to ascertain, the National Coalition Against Domestic Violence reports that approximately 1 in 3 women and 1 in 4 men have experienced some form of physical violence by an intimate partner.<sup>32</sup> Other studies have shown that "slightly more than 2 in 5 women (42 percent or 52 million) in the United States reported experiencing . . . physical violence by an intimate partner and control, the root cause of intimate partner abuse, can take a range of nonviolent forms as well, including "isolation, use of male privilege, intimidation, threats, use of children, economic and emotional abuse, minimizing, denying, and blaming."<sup>34</sup> "Almost half of all women (49.4 percent or 61.7 million)

<sup>30.</sup> Although the terms "intimate partner violence" and "domestic violence" are often used interchangeably, they are distinct. Intimate partner violence "is abuse or aggression that occurs in a romantic relationship. Intimate partner' refers to both current and former spouses and dating partners." CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, Fast Facts: Preventing Intimate Partner Violence [hereinafter Fast Facts], https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/fastfact.html (Oct. 11, 2022). Domestic violence is a broader term, encompassing violence committed by intimate partners as well as child and elder abuse. Id.

<sup>31.</sup> Deborah Epstein, *Effective Intervention in Domestic Violence Cases: Rethinking the Roles of Prosecutors, Judges, and the Court System*, 11 YALE J.L. & FEMINISM 3, 3 (1999).

<sup>32.</sup> See Fast Facts, supra note 30.

<sup>33.</sup> RUTH LEEMIS, ET AL., CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, THE NATIONAL INTIMATE PARTNER AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE SURVEY: 2016/2017 REPORT ON INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE, 5 (2022), https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/nisvs/NISVSReportonIPV\_2022.pdf. The study also found that more than 2 in 5 men (42.3 percent or 49.9 million) experienced physical violence in their intimate relationships. *Id.* 

<sup>34.</sup> Tamara Kuennen & Jennifer Eyl, *Reviving 'Part Two' of the Power and Control Wheel*, 14 FAM. & INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE Q. 43, 43–44 (2022). As Professors Kuennen and Eyl explain, the Duluth Model's "Power and Control

reported . . . psychological aggression by an intimate partner in their lifetime."  $^{35}$ 

Although people of all genders, races, sexual orientations and identities, socioeconomic statuses, and abilities experience intimate partner violence, they do not experience it at equal rates. Because intimate partner violence is a premeditated and deliberate choice by those who perpetrate it, those who are traditionally marginalized are particularly vulnerable to abuse. Women are victims of domestic violence more often than men.<sup>36</sup> Women of color experience intimate partner violence at a higher rate than white women.<sup>37</sup> Lesbian, gay, or bisexual people face an increased risk of gender violence; 35 percent of heterosexual women experience rape, physical violence, and stalking by an intimate partner, compared to 61 percent of bisexual women.<sup>38</sup> Transgender individuals experience а dramatically higher prevalence of intimate partner victimization individuals.39 compared with cisgender Additionally. "[s]ocioeconomic status is a factor that influences the occurrence of

2020080709350855.pdf? 1596828650457.

Wheel" includes these actions within its spokes, with the rim depicting "the words 'physical' and 'sexual violence,' representing how it is violence that holds together and fortifies the abusive behaviors listed in the spokes, all of which support the hub." *Id*.

<sup>35.</sup> LEEMIS ET AL., *supra* note 33, at 6. 45.1 percent (53.3 million) of men in the United States reported psychological aggression by an intimate partner in their lifetime. *Id*.

<sup>36.</sup> NAT'L COAL. AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, *Domestic Violence* (2020), https://assets.speakcdn.com/assets/2497/domestic\_violence-2020080700250855 pdf21506828650457

<sup>37.</sup> SHARON G. SMITH ET AL., CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, THE NATIONAL INTIMATE PARTNER AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE SURVEY: 2010–2012 STATE REPORT 120 (2017), https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/NISVS-StateReportBook.pdf. See also Julie M. Kafka et. al., Fatalities Related to Intimate Partner Violence: Towards a Comprehensive Perspective, 27 INJ. PREV. 137 (2021) (noting that "young women, particularly racial/ethnic minority women, are disproportionately affected by [intimate partner homicide]").

<sup>38.</sup> CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, NISVS: AN OVERVIEW OF 2010 FINDINGS ON VICTIMIZATION BY SEXUAL ORIENTATION, https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/cdc\_nisvs\_victimization\_final-a.pdf. The CDC also reported that "37% of bisexual men will be victims of intimate partner violence in their lifetime," compared with 29% of heterosexual men. *Id.* 

<sup>39.</sup> Sarah Peitzmeier et al., Intimate Partner Violence in Transgender Populations: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Prevalence and Correlates, 110 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH at e1, e3 (2020).

domestic violence."<sup>40</sup> Finally, people with disabilities have a higher lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence than those without.<sup>41</sup>

#### B. Exacerbating Factors: Firearms and Separation Violence

When abusers have access to firearms, the violence and danger experienced by victims of intimate partner violence are exacerbated.<sup>42</sup> As a threshold matter, simply existing as a woman in a relationship is a risk factor. Between 2003 and 2014, homicide was the leading cause of death among women under forty-five years old, and over half (55.3 percent) of those women were killed by an intimate partner.<sup>43</sup> Firearms are involved in the majority of these femicides; the U.S. Department of Justice found that more than two-thirds of spouse and ex-spouse homicide victims between 1980 and 2008 were killed with guns.<sup>44</sup> Perpetrators are more likely to use a gun than all other means combined to murder their female intimate partners.<sup>45</sup> As experts have clearly and succinctly stated, "The evidence is clear: when a woman is killed, it is most likely to be at the hands of an intimate partner with a gun."<sup>46</sup>

The danger extends beyond fatal physical violence. It is estimated that 13.6 percent of American women have been

43. Aaron Kivisto & Megan Porter, *Firearm Use Increases Risk of Multiple Victims in Domestic Homicides*, 48 AM. ACAD. PSYCHIATRY L. 26, 26 (2020).

44. ALEXIA COOPER & ERICA L. SMITH, U.S. DEP'T OF JUST., HOMICIDE TRENDS IN THE UNITED STATES, 1980–2008, at 20 (2011), https://bjs.ojp.gov/content/pub/pdf/htus8008.pdf. See also Julie M. Kafka et. al., *supra* note 37, at 141 (reporting that "firearms were the primary weapon in 7 out of 10 IPV-related deaths").

<sup>40.</sup> Disa K. V. Lubker, *Socioeconomic Status and Domestic Violence*, 3 INT'L J. GLOB. HEALTH & HEALTH DISPARITIES 85, 90 (2004).

<sup>41.</sup> Matthew J. Breiding & Brian S. Armour, *The Association Between Disability and Intimate Partner Violence in the United States*, 25 ANN. EPIDEMIOLOGY 455, 459 (2015).

<sup>42.</sup>\_\_Domestic Violence & Firearms, NAT'L COAL. AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, (Nov. 16, 2023), https://assets.speakcdn.com/assets/2497/guns\_and\_dv\_2022.pdf [hereinafter NCADV].

<sup>45.</sup> Leonard J. Paulozzi et al., Surveillance for Homicide Among Intimate Partners—United States, 1981–1998, 50 MORBIDITY & MORTALITY WKLY. REP. 1, 9 (2001). Firearms are used in 54 percent of homicides, which is more than double the number of victims killed with a sharp instrument, the next most prevalent murder weapon. Emiko Petrosky et al., Racial and Ethnic Differences in Homicides of Adult Women and the Role of Intimate Partner Violence – United States, 2003–2014, 66 MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WKLY. REP. 741, 743 (2017).

<sup>46.</sup> April M. Zeoli & Shannon Frattaroli, *Evidence for Optimism: Policies to Limit Batterers' Access to Guns, in* REDUCING GUN VIOLENCE IN AMERICA: INFORMING POLICY WITH EVIDENCE AND ANALYSIS 53 (Daniel W. Webster & Jon S. Vernick eds., 2013).

threatened by intimate partners with firearms.<sup>47</sup> Moreover, abusers' access to guns endangers the lives of people other than their intimate partner; "a sizeable percentage of" men who commit mass shootings have a history of domestic violence, "more so than men in the general population."<sup>48</sup> They also harm themselves; nearly 90 percent of intimate partner homicides that end with the perpetrator's suicide are committed with firearms.<sup>49</sup>

If a firearm is the *thing* that puts survivors at the greatest risk, the most dangerous *time* for survivors is when they take steps to end an abusive relationship. As explained above, intimate partner violence is fundamentally about one person's desire to exercise power and control over another.<sup>50</sup> As such, a survivor's challenge to that dynamic (e.g., attempting to leave a relationship, seek help, or otherwise assert agency) will often cause an escalation of abusive behavior as the perpetrator seeks to reassert their dominance.

This phenomenon, known as "separation violence" or "separation assault," has been defined as

the attack on the woman's body and volition in which her partner seeks to prevent her from leaving, retaliate for the separation, or force her to return .... It is an attempt to gain, retain, or regain power in a relationship, or to punish the woman for ending the relationship.<sup>51</sup>

48. LIN HUFF-CORZINE & THOMAS MARVELL, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND MASS SHOOTINGS: A REVIEW OF CURRENT ACADEMIC LITERATURE 4 (2021), https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/303499.pdf.

<sup>47.</sup> NCADV, supra note 42. See also Susan B. Sorenson & Rebecca A. Schut, Nonfatal Gun Use in Intimate Partner Violence: A Systematic Review of the Literature, 19 TRAUMA, VIOLENCE & ABUSE 431, 431 (2016) (describing how intimate partners use guns to threaten, intimidate, and nonfatally injure); Brief for National Network to End Domestic Violence, Network to End Domestic Violence Fund, District of Columbia Coalition Against Domestic Violence as Amici Curiae Supporting Petitioner at 28, D.C. v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008) (No. 22-915) (explaining that abusers "make threats with their firearms by pointing it at the victim; cleaning it; shooting it outside; threatening to harm people, pets, or others about whom the victim cares; or threating suicide").

<sup>49.</sup> J. Logan et al., Characteristics of perpetrators in homicide-followed-bysuicide incidents: National Violent Death Reporting System – 17 US States, 2003– 2005, 168 AM. J. EPIDEMIOLOGY 1056, 1059 (2008). A recent study by the Violence Policy Center found that "sixty-two percent of all murder-suicides involved an intimate partner" and that of those incidents "95 percent were females killed by their intimate partners... and 93 percent involved a gun." Violence Policy Center, American Roulette: Murder-Suicide in the United States 2 (2023), https://vpc.org/studies/amroul2023.pdf.

<sup>50.</sup> See Kuennen & Eyl, supra note 34, at 43–44.

<sup>51.</sup> Martha R. Mahoney, Legal Images of Battered Women: Redefining the Issue of Separation, 90 MICH. L. REV. 1, 65–66 (1991).

The National Institute of Justice has reported that attempting to leave a violent relationship was the precipitating factor in 45 percent of murders of a woman by a man.<sup>52</sup> At least half of women who leave their abusers are followed and harassed or further attacked by them.<sup>53</sup> Help-seeking behaviors, particularly from law enforcement, are a significant cause of separation violence. Researchers have found that 20 percent of men arrested for domestic abuse re-assaulted their partner before the original criminal case was resolved in court.<sup>54</sup> Allowing a victim to drop prosecution has been shown to result in the lowest rate of pre-settlement violence.<sup>55</sup> Finally, increased prosecution of protective order violations is associated with "increases in the homicide rate of white females, both married and unmarried, and African American unmarried males."<sup>56</sup>

### C. Protective Orders

An often-utilized option for people seeking safety from intimate partner violence is a family violence protective order.<sup>57</sup> A protective order is a civil legal remedy that survivors can use to prevent further abuse and otherwise keep themselves and their children safe.<sup>58</sup> A judge issuing a protective order can provide relief that is specifically tailored to an individual's situation.<sup>59</sup> For example, the judge can require an abuser to stay a certain distance away from a survivor, their home, or their workplace; to stop communicating in a harassing or threatening manner with the survivor; or to surrender a firearm.<sup>60</sup>

55. David A. Ford & Mary Jean Regoli, *The Preventive Impacts of Policies for Prosecuting Wife Batterers, in* CRIME & DELINQUENCY 181, 195 (1992).

56. Laura Dugan et al., Exposure Reduction or Retaliation? The Effects of Domestic Violence Resources on Intimate-Partner Homicide, 37 L. & SOC'Y REV. 169, 194 (2003).

57. The terms "protective order" and "restraining order" are often used interchangeably, but they are not analogous. A restraining order can be issued against a broad category of individuals (e.g., a neighbor or co-worker), while issuance of a protective order is typically limited to those who have experienced family violence (intimate partner, child, or elder abuse), dating violence, stalking, or sexual violence.

<sup>52.</sup> Carolyn Rebecca Block, *How Can Practitioners Help an Abused Woman Lower Her Risk of Death?*, 250 NAT'L INST. JUST. J. 4, 6 (2003).

<sup>53.</sup> Mahoney, supra note 51, at 171.

<sup>54.</sup> David A. Ford, *Preventing and Provoking Wife Battery Through Criminal Sanctioning: A Look at the Risks, in* ABUSED AND BATTERED: SOCIAL AND LEGAL RESPONSES TO FAMILY VIOLENCE 191, 198 (Dean O. Knudsen & JoAnn C. Miller, eds., 1991).

<sup>58.</sup> See 25 Am. JUR. 2D Domestic Abuse and Violence § 31 (2023).

<sup>59.</sup> TK Logan, Lisa Shannon & Robert Walker, *Protective Orders: Questions and Conundrums*, 7 TRAUMA, VIOLENCE, & ABUSE 175, 180 (2006).

<sup>60.</sup> Tex. Young Laws. Ass'n, Ending the Violence: How to Obtain a Texas Protective Order, 71 TEX. BAR. J. 344, 344 (2008); Barbara J. Hart, The Legal Road

Prior to 1976, only two states had protective order statutes.<sup>61</sup> By 1994, due in large part to incentives included in the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), all fifty states had enacted legislation authorizing their issuance.<sup>62</sup> State laws and procedures vary,<sup>63</sup> but in most jurisdictions, the first step is a temporary *ex parte* order that typically lasts between fourteen and twenty days.<sup>64</sup> Final protective orders, which range in duration from one year to permanent,<sup>65</sup> require notice and the opportunity for a hearing.<sup>66</sup> Protective order proceedings include the same due process protections as any other civil hearing, including service requirements to provide proper notice to respondents and the application of rules of evidence and the relevant evidentiary standards.

Although a protective order is a civil remedy, violation of a protective order can lead to criminal consequences.<sup>67</sup> Criminal law is relevant when a survivor seeks a protective order as well. As Appendix A reveals, the protective order statutes in all fifty states

63. R. KEITH PERKINS, DOMESTIC TORTS § 3:34 (2023); BATTERED WOMEN'S JUSTICE PROJECT, STATE PROTECTION ORDER DURATIONS MATRIX, 3–40 (2015), https://www.bwjp.org/ncpoffc-state-protection-order-duration-matrix.pdf.

64. See id. at 3–40.

65. Long-term protective orders, known as permanent or indefinite protective orders, are granted only in the most serious situations. See generally Jane K. Stoever, Enjoining Abuse: The Case for Indefinite Domestic Violence Protection Orders, 67 VAND. L. REV. 1015 (2014). For example, in Texas, a court can only enter a protective order that exceeds two years if the respondent committed a felony family violence offense, caused serious bodily injury to the petitioner, or if the petitioner previously had two or more protective orders against them. See Tex. Fam. Code § 85.025(a-1).

66. See BATTERED WOMEN'S JUSTICE PROJECT, supra note 63, at 3–40.

67. Logan et al., *supra* note 59, at 178. See also Pierce & Quillen, *supra* note 62, at 249 (explaining that in order for states to access VAWA funding, they must "(1) ensure that protection orders are given full faith and credit by all sister states; (2) provide government assistance with service of process in protection order cases; and (3) criminalize violations of protection orders." (quoting Deborah Epstein, *Effective Intervention in Domestic Violence Cases: Rethinking the Roles of Prosecutors, Judges, and the Court System*, 11 YALE J. L. & FEMINISM 3, 12 (1999))).

to Freedom (1991), https://www.biscmi.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/THE-LEGAL-ROAD-TO-FREEDOM.pdf.

<sup>61.</sup> Matthew Carlson et al., Protective Orders and Domestic Violence: Risk Factors for Re-Abuse, 14 J. FAM. VIOLENCE 205, 206 (1999).

<sup>62.</sup> Id. VAWA "provided direct funding for legal assistance for the purpose of obtaining and enforcing protective orders at the state level as well as substantial funding for data collection, communication systems, and community coordination with 'the goal of improving the effectiveness of civil protection orders." Paula Pierce & Brian Quillen, No Contest: Why Protective Orders Provide Victims Superior Protection to Bond Conditions, 40 AM. J. CRIM. L. 227, 249 (2013).

include elements referencing conduct that is criminal in nature.<sup>68</sup> Relatedly, the firearm prohibition codified in 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) recognizes that "[r]espondents to [domestic violence protective orders] have high rates of criminal justice system involvement . . . and often have committed severe domestic violence."<sup>69</sup> The requirements for a qualifying § 922(g)(8) protective order, as detailed in Part II below, include underlying behavior that is criminal in nature—harassment, stalking, threatening, or conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury.<sup>70</sup> Thus, even though a protective order is a civil remedy, both the orders themselves and the state and federal laws that prohibit those subject to protective orders from possessing firearms depend on and relate to violence that could implicate the criminal legal system.

There is scholarly consensus that protective orders are associated with a reduced risk of violence.<sup>71</sup> Protective orders are also a low-cost solution relative to the societal and personal costs associated with domestic violence.<sup>72</sup> For example, researchers recently found that in Kentucky alone, protective orders were estimated to have saved taxpayers \$85 million in a one-year period.<sup>73</sup>

https://scholars.unh.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1130&context=carsey;

<sup>68.</sup> See infra Appendix A.

<sup>69.</sup> Zeoli & Frattaroli, supra note 46, at 55–56.

<sup>70. 18.</sup>U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(B)–(C).

<sup>71.</sup> See Kellie K. Player, Expanding Protective Order Coverage, 43 ST. MARY'S L.J. 579, 589 (2012); Logan et al., supra note 59, at 192–93; Carlson et al., supra note 61, at 224; Judith McFarlane et al., Protection Orders and Intimate Partner Violence: An 18-Month Study of 150 Black, Hispanic, and White Women, 94 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 617 (2004); TK LOGAN & ROBERT WALKER, CARSEY INST., CIVIL PROTECTIVE ORDERS EFFECTIVE IN STOPPING OR REDUCING PARTNER VIOLENCE, 4 (2011),

Christopher T. Benitez et al., Do Protection Orders Protect?, 38 J. AM. ACAD. PSYCHIATRY L. 376, 385 (2010); Pierce & Quillen, *supra* note 62, at 250–51; Karen Tracy, Building A Model Protective Order Process, 24 AM. J. CRIM. L. 475, 478–79 (1997). Entry of protective orders into the National Instant Criminal Background Check System can also save lives. Between 2006 and 2015, 89,000 individuals with misdemeanor domestic violence convictions or restraining orders were prevented from purchasing a firearm after a background check. See Jennifer Mascia, The Federal Background Check System Allowed Nearly 7,000 Domestic Abusers Buy Guns, The TRACE (July to7, 2016),https://www.thetrace.org/2016/07/federal-background-check-system-domesticabusers-guns/.

<sup>72.</sup> See Logan et al., supra note 59, at 180, 197.

<sup>73.</sup> TK Logan et al., *The Economic Costs of Partner Violence and the Cost-Benefit of Civil Protective Orders.* 27 J. INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE 1137, 1147 (2012). The cost savings from protective orders accrues from their preventative nature, namely, avoided expenditures in the criminal legal system, health services, legal costs, and lost time from work. *Id.* 

Moreover, the option of a civil remedy is vital because many survivors are reluctant to involve the criminal legal system in their lives. An incarcerated partner is unable to provide financial support, childcare, housing, transportation, or other critical needs, so a protective order may be a way for survivors to be safe from physical harm while maintaining overall stability in their lives. Escalation of violence resulting from an abuser's arrest (as described in Subpart I.B. above) or survivors' fear that "mandatory arrest" policies may lead to their own detention are also significant concerns that could cause a survivor to seek a non-carceral solution to intimate partner abuse.74 Lastly, but significantly, people from historically marginalized groups may be disinclined to report domestic abuse to law enforcement because of "legitimate concerns that they will be subjected to differential treatment because of their ethnicity, gender . . . [,] immigration status," race, class, or sexual orientation.<sup>75</sup> A civil protective order mitigates these dangers, allowing survivors to obtain a legal remedy that keeps them safe while not necessarily removing their partner from their lives or invoking the rigid and often draconian consequences of the criminal legal system.

Despite these benefits, protective orders remain underutilized. Researchers "have found that only between seventeen percent and thirty-four percent of people experiencing intimate-partner violence obtained a protective order."<sup>76</sup> This may be the result of barriers such as threats of perpetrator retaliation, a perceived lack of efficacy of protective orders, negative perceptions and fears surrounding the justice system, inability to take time away from work or find childcare to appear in court, and survivors' lack of resources independent of their abusers.<sup>77</sup>

Those survivors who do obtain protective orders, however, also receive a measure of protection from intimate partner firearm

<sup>74.</sup> Mandatory arrest policies compel officers who respond to a domestic violence call to effectuate an arrest once probable cause has been established. Mandatory arrest was first instituted in 1989, and since that time, all jurisdictions in the United States have enacted such policies, largely due to financial enticements included in the Violence Against Women Act of 1994. See Joan Zorza, The Criminal Law of Misdemeanor Domestic Violence; 1970–1990, 83 J. CRIM. L. & CRIMINOLOGY 46, 63–64 (1992) (discussing the history of mandatory arrest statutes). See also infra note 267, at 1374–75 (explaining why law enforcement officers often do not identify survivors and therefore wrongly arrest them).

<sup>75.</sup> See Edna Erez & Carolyn Copps Hartley, Battered Immigrant Women and the Legal System: A Therapeutic Jurisprudence Perspective, 4 W. CRIMINOLOGY REV. 155, 158 (2003).

<sup>76.</sup> Mary D. Fan, Disarming the Dangerous: Preventing Extraordinary and Ordinary Violence, 90 IND. L.J. 151, 175 (2015).

<sup>77.</sup> Logan et al., *supra* note 59, at 185–86.

violence, per the federal and state statutes detailed in the proceeding Part.

#### II. LEGAL RESTRICTIONS ON FIREARM POSSESSION BY PERPETRATORS OF INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE

The first federal law to address the dangers posed by armed domestic violence offenders was the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act, enacted as part of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994.<sup>78</sup> The Act prevents individuals subject to family violence protective orders from possessing firearms or ammunition.<sup>79</sup> The statute lays out three requirements for a qualifying protective order. First, the respondent must have been afforded due process, including notice of a hearing and an opportunity to be heard.<sup>80</sup> Second, the order must forbid harassment, stalking, threatening, or other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury.<sup>81</sup> Lastly, the order must include a finding that the respondent "represents a credible threat to the physical safety of" their intimate partner or "explicitly [prohibit] the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against such intimate partner ... that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury."82 Individuals subject to a qualifying protective order are prohibited from possessing firearms only as long as the order is in place.<sup>83</sup>

Domestic violence convictions also result in firearm prohibitions. Under the Gun Control Act,<sup>84</sup> enacted as Title VII of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, all felons, including those convicted of felony domestic violence offenses, face a permanent ban on firearms possession.<sup>85</sup> The Lautenberg Amendment to the

81. Id. § 922(g)(8)(b) (requiring that the order restrain the respondent "from harassing, stalking, or threatening an intimate partner of such person or child of such intimate partner or person, or engaging in other conduct that would place an intimate partner in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the partner or child").

- 82. *Id.* § 922(g)(8)(C)(i)–(ii).
- 83. *Id.* § 922(g)(8).

84. Gun Control Act of 1968, Pub. L. No. 90-618, 82 Stat. 1213, 1220 (codified after amendment at 18 U.S.C. § 922(d)(1)–(7) (2012 & Supp. III)). The Gun Control Act is a comprehensive statute that created a set of regulations governing the manufacture, sale, production, and transfer of firearms and ammunition. *Id.* 

85. The Act also disqualifies fugitives, drug addicts, those deemed to be mentally incompetent, undocumented immigrants, those dishonorably discharged from the armed services, and those who have renounced their U.S. citizenship from gun ownership. *Id.* 

<sup>78.</sup> Violence Against Women Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103-322, 108 Stat. 1902 (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 13701).

<sup>79. 18</sup> U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) (2018).

<sup>80.</sup> *Id.* § 922(g)(8)(a) (requiring that the underlying protective order be "issued after a hearing of which such person received actual notice, and at which such person had an opportunity to participate").

Violence Against Women Act permanently bars those convicted of misdemeanor crimes of domestic violence from possessing firearms.<sup>86</sup> State-level domestic violence gun prohibitions exist as well, many mirroring the federal statutory bans on those convicted of domestic violence felonies and misdemeanors and those subject to family violence protective orders.<sup>87</sup>

As this author detailed in a prior article, underenforcement of domestic violence gun prohibitions is a significant problem.<sup>88</sup> Judges often fail to order offenders to surrender their firearms, and when they do, few mechanisms exist to ensure that weapons are safely relinquished.<sup>89</sup> The lack of enforcement is problematic because the data show that dispossessing protective order respondents of their firearms saves lives. A study analyzing data from forty-six cities between 1979 and 2003 found that states that restricted access to firearms to those subject to domestic violence restraining orders saw a 19 percent reduction in total intimate partner homicides and had 25 percent fewer firearms-related intimate partner homicides.<sup>90</sup>

87. See generally BATTERED WOMEN'S JUSTICE PROJECT, FIREARMS AND DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: STATE AND TERRITORIAL STATUTORY PROVISIONS (2020), https://www.bwjp.org/assets/documents/pdfs/2020-fall-firearms-dv-matrix.pdf.

88. See Natalie Nanasi, *Disarming Domestic Abusers*, 14 HARV. L. & POL'Y REV. 559, 560–62 (2020) (explaining that domestic violence firearm prohibitions routinely go unenforced and proposing solutions to disarm offenders).

89. Id. at 560–61.

firearm-access-in-rhode-island/ (finding that states that restrict access to

<sup>86.</sup> See 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9) (2018) ("It shall be unlawful for any person... who has been convicted in any court of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence... to ship or transport in interstate or foreign commerce, or possess in or affecting commerce, any firearm or ammunition; or to receive any firearm or ammunition which has been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce."); see also Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act of 1997, Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009, 371–72 (1996).

<sup>90.</sup> April M. Zeoli & Daniel W. Webster, Effects of Domestic Violence Policies, Alcohol Taxes and Police Staffing Levels on Intimate Partner Homicide in Large US Cities, INJ. PREVENTION 90, 92 (2010); see also Elizabeth Richardson Vigdor & James A. Mercy, Do Laws Restricting Access to Firearms by Domestic Violence Offenders Prevent Intimate Partner Homicide?, 30 EVALUATION REV. 313, 329–33 (2006) (finding that states with laws that limited access to firearms by individuals subject to domestic violence protective orders had 10 percent lower firearms femicide rates than states without these laws); F. Stephen Bridges et al., Domestic Violence Statutes and Rates of Intimate Partner and Family Homicide, 19 CRIM. JUST. POLY REV. 117, 127 (2008) (stating that "the family homicide rate decreased across [forty-seven] states as the number of states restricting firearms during a restraining order increased"): Everytown for Gun Safety, Domestic Abuse Protective Orders and Firearm Access in Rhode Island, **EVERYTOWN** RSCH. & POL'Y (2015),https://everytownresearch.org/reports/domestic-abuse-protective-orders-and-

Other researchers have also found that active enforcement of such laws leads to lower femicide rates.<sup>91</sup>

Dating partners are also protected by laws that require protective order respondents to relinquish firearms. A 2003 study found that when state law requires law enforcement officials to confiscate firearms upon serving a restraining order, rates of reported dating partner violence decline.<sup>92</sup> Moreover, removing firearms from the hands of domestic violence offenders can also protect non-intimate partners. Researchers studying familicide found that in 29 percent of multiple family homicides, the perpetrator had been the subject of a domestic violence restraining order.<sup>93</sup>

The legal regime that disarms domestic violence offenders including those subject to family violence protective orders and with misdemeanor and felony convictions—is longstanding and comprehensive. Challenges brought under the Fifth and Eight Amendments, as well as the Equal Protection, Commerce, and Ex-Post Facto Clauses, have all withstood constitutional scrutiny.<sup>94</sup> Although intimate partner firearm restrictions have also historically survived Second Amendment challenges, a shift in Second Amendment jurisprudence, as detailed in Part III below, led to the first serious questions about the viability of those protections.

# III. THE SECOND AMENDMENT IN THE SUPREME AND FEDERAL COURTS

The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution, proposed in 1789 and ratified in 1791 as part of the Bill of Rights, states that "a well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."<sup>95</sup>

firearms by those under domestic violence restraining orders saw up to a 25 percent reduction in intimate partner gun homicides).

<sup>91.</sup> Carolina Díez et al., State Intimate Partner Violence-Related Firearm Laws and Intimate Partner Homicide Rates in the United States, 1991 to 2015, 167 ANNALS INTERNAL MED. 536, 536 (2017) (finding that a combination of laws prohibiting individuals subject to domestic violence protective orders from possessing firearms and an explicit requirement to relinquish them was associated with 9.7 percent lower total intimate partner homicide rates and 14.0 percent lower firearm-related intimate partner homicide rates than in states without these laws).

<sup>92.</sup> Laura Dugan, *Domestic Violence Legislation: Exploring Its Impact on the Likelihood of Domestic Violence, Police Involvement, and Arrest*, 2 CRIMINOLOGY & PUB. POLY 283, 300–04 (2003).

<sup>93.</sup> Marieke Liem & Ashley Reichelmann, *Patterns of Multiple Family Homicide*, 18 HOMICIDE STUD. 44, 49 (2014).

<sup>94.</sup> See Nanasi, supra note 88, at 570–71.

<sup>95.</sup> U.S. CONST. amend. II.

For nearly two centuries, the Second Amendment evoked little controversy. It was "interpreted—in three separate decisions by the U.S. Supreme Court, in 1876, 1886, and 1939—as granting the people a right to bear arms in the militia context."<sup>96</sup> In other words, for most of American constitutional history, the Second Amendment was conceived as a collective, as opposed to an individual, right. That changed, however, when the Supreme Court decided *District of Columbia. v. Heller*<sup>97</sup> in 2008.

#### A. D.C. v. Heller

The *Heller* Court fundamentally changed the longstanding constitutional approach to the Second Amendment when it held that the Amendment protects an individual right to possess a firearm, unconnected with militia service.<sup>98</sup> Justice Scalia, justifying the significant departure from precedent, wrote that the reference to militias in the prefatory clause merely "announce[d] a purpose," and did not limit the operative clause that created the right to keep and bear arms.<sup>99</sup>

*Heller* declared a D.C. ban on handgun possession unconstitutional, but the Court was careful to note that "[l]ike most rights, the right secured by the Second Amendment is not unlimited."<sup>100</sup> Justice Scalia elaborated that "[f]rom Blackstone through the 19th-century cases, commentators and courts routinely explained that the right was not a right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever in any manner whatsoever and for whatever purpose."<sup>101</sup> Specifically, the Court indicated that

nothing in [its] opinion should be taken to cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill, or laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and government

<sup>96.</sup> JENNIFER TUCKER ET AL., A RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS? THE CONTESTED ROLE OF HISTORY IN CONTEMPORARY DEBATES ON THE SECOND AMENDMENT 3 (2019). In the most recent case, *United States v. Miller*, the Court found that the Second Amendment must be "interpreted and applied" in the historical context of the militia "with obvious purpose to assure the continuation and render possible the effectiveness of such forces the declaration and guarantee of the Second Amendment were made." 307 U.S. 174, 178 (1939).

<sup>97. 554</sup> U.S. 570 (2008).

<sup>98.</sup> *Id.* at 605. Later, in *McDonald v. City of Chicago*, the Court held that that Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms, as interpreted by *Heller*, applies to the states under the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. 561 U.S. 742, 778 (2010).

<sup>99.</sup> Heller, 554 U.S. at 577.

<sup>100.</sup> Id. at 626.

<sup>101.</sup> Id.

buildings, or laws imposing conditions and qualifications on the commercial sale of arms.<sup>102</sup>

The Court went on to explain that it identified "these presumptively lawful regulatory measures only as examples" and that its "list does not purport to be exhaustive."<sup>103</sup> Finally, and relatedly, the *Heller* opinion emphasized that the right enshrined by the Second Amendment accrued to "law-abiding, responsible citizens."<sup>104</sup>

*Heller* transformed Second Amendment jurisprudence in many ways, but one thing it did not do was provide guidance on how to consider future challenges to firearm regulations. Lower courts quickly stepped in to fill the gap. Federal appeals courts soon "coalesced around a 'two-step' framework for analyzing Second Amendment challenges that combine[d] history with means-end scrutiny."<sup>105</sup> In step one, a court analyzing the constitutionality of a firearm regulation determined whether the regulated activity fell inside or outside the scope of the Second Amendment right as originally or historically understood.<sup>106</sup> "If the historical evidence at this step [wa]s 'inconclusive or suggest[ed] that the regulated activity is not categorically unprotected," courts proceeded to step two.<sup>107</sup> At that step, a court considered "the strength of the government's justification for restricting or regulating" the Second Amendment right and applied "a level of 'means-ends' scrutiny 'that [wa]s proportionate to the severity of the burden that the law impose[d] on the right': strict scrutiny if the burden [wa]s severe, and intermediate scrutiny if it [wa]s not."108

The two-step framework—a mix of historical inquiry and traditional constitutional means-end analysis—rapidly became "ensconced in Second Amendment law. Eleven of the twelve geographic circuits expressly adopted it, and no federal court of appeals to confront the question rejected the two-part framework."<sup>109</sup> Federal courts across the United States implemented the test from 2008 until 2022, when the Supreme Court, in *New York State Rifle* &

105. N.Y. State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1, 17 (2022).

106. *Id.* at 18.

107. Id. (citing Kanter v. Barr, 919 F.3d 437, 441 (7th Cir. 2019)).

109. Jacob D. Charles, *The Dead Hand of a Silent Past:* Bruen, *Gun Rights, and the Shackles of History*, 73 DUKE L.J. 67, 85 (2023).

<sup>102.</sup> Id. at 626–27.

<sup>103.</sup> Id. at 627 n.26.

<sup>104.</sup> *Id.* at 635 (stating that the Second Amendment "surely elevates above all other interests the right of law-abiding, responsible citizens to use arms in defense of hearth and home").

<sup>108.</sup> *Id.* at 103 (Breyer, J., dissenting) (citing Nat'l Rifle Assn. of Am., Inc. v. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, & Explosives, 700 F.3d 185, 195, 198, 205 (5th Cir. 2012)).

*Pistol Association v. Bruen*, expressly "decline[d] to adopt [the] . . . approach."<sup>110</sup>

#### B. New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen

Bruen involved a challenge to a New York law that required an individual who sought to carry a firearm outside the home to obtain a license; that license necessitated a showing of "proper cause" that could be satisfied only by demonstrating "a special need for selfprotection distinguishable from that of the general community."<sup>111</sup> In striking down the New York regulation, the Court rejected the twostep framework that had been widely accepted and implemented across the U.S. since *Heller*.<sup>112</sup> In its place, the Court adopted a new test that eliminated step two (means-end scrutiny) and focused exclusively on the past: a gun restriction would be constitutional only if the government could "demonstrate that the regulation is consistent with this Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation."<sup>113</sup>

More specifically, the *Bruen* Court held that when "the Second Amendment's plain text covers an individual's conduct, the Constitution presumptively protects that conduct."<sup>114</sup> Where the Second Amendment protects the person and the conduct,<sup>115</sup> the burden shifts to the government to "justify its regulation by demonstrating that it is consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation. Only then may a court conclude that the individual's conduct falls outside the Second Amendment's 'unqualified command."<sup>116</sup>

In mandating that "lower courts abandon traditional tiers-ofscrutiny analysis in Second Amendment cases and instead review

<sup>110.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 17.

<sup>111.</sup> Id. at 12.

<sup>112.</sup> Id. at 103 (Breyer, J., dissenting).

<sup>113.</sup> Id. at 17 (majority opinion).

<sup>114.</sup> Id. at 24.

<sup>115.</sup> The first element of this test—who constitutes a protected person—is a deceptively simple and therefore contested. See, e.g., Charles, supra note 109, at 95 (addressing the complexity of "whether the Second Amendment's plain text covers an individual's conduct"). The Bruen Court stated that it was "undisputed" that the petitioners, described as "ordinary, law-abiding, adult citizens[,]... are part of 'the people' whom the Second Amendment protects." Bruen, 597 U.S. at 3. That language begs the question of the status of non-"ordinary" and non-law-abiding citizens. A full discussion of that issue is outside the scope of this Article, but scholars have cogently argued that they may not be covered individuals. See Charles, supra note 109, at 149; Joseph Blocher & Eric Ruben, Originalism-By-Analogy and Second Amendment Adjudication, 133 YALE L.J. 102, 118 n.104 (2023) (listing cases finding that felons are not "the people" covered by the plain text of the second Amendment).

<sup>116.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 24.

claims based *solely* on text, history, and tradition," the Supreme Court upended Second Amendment precedent.<sup>117</sup> It also, as Professor Jacob Charles argues, created a test that "is essentially sui generis in the Court's individual-rights jurisprudence."<sup>118</sup>

Despite this dramatic jurisprudential change, the *Bruen* Court underscored that the new historically-based test was not intended to drastically limit the government's ability to regulate firearms. "To be clear," Justice Thomas wrote, "analogical reasoning under the Second Amendment is neither a regulatory straightjacket nor a regulatory blank check."<sup>119</sup> As the Court further explained:

[o]n the one hand, courts should not "uphold every modern law that remotely resembles a historical analogue," because doing so "risk[s] endorsing outliers that our ancestors would never have accepted." On the other hand, analogical reasoning requires only that the government identify a well-established and representative historical *analogue*, not a historical *twin*. So even if a modern-day regulation is not a dead ringer for historical precursors, it still may be analogous enough to pass constitutional muster.<sup>120</sup>

Moreover, *Bruen* explicitly left in place the conventional wisdom that Second Amendment rights are not unlimited. The majority opinion quoted language in *Heller* to reiterate that "the right was not a right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever in any manner whatsoever and for whatever purpose."<sup>121</sup> And as Justice Alito noted in his concurring opinion, "[n]or have we disturbed anything that we said in *Heller* or *McDonald v. Chicago* about restrictions that may be imposed on the possession or carrying of guns."<sup>122</sup> However, this seeming truism, along with *Bruen*'s reiteration that the Second Amendment protected only "ordinary, law-abiding citizens,"<sup>123</sup> was soon to be put to the test.

123. Id. at 9.

<sup>117.</sup> Charles, supra note 109, at 69.

<sup>118.</sup> Id. Professor Charles further explains that "Bruen itself now subjects Second Amendment claims to an entirely different set of rules[,]" effectively "creating a super-right." Id. at 72–73 (citing Khiara M. Bridges, Foreword: Race in the Roberts Court, 136 HARV. L. REV. 23, 69 (2022)).

<sup>119.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 30.

<sup>120.</sup> Id. (internal citations omitted).

<sup>121.</sup> Id. at 21 (quoting Heller, 554 U.S. at 626).

<sup>122.</sup> *Id.* at 72 (Alito, J., concurring) (internal citations omitted). Justice Kavanaugh also reproduced in full *Heller*'s "presumptively lawful" paragraph in his concurring opinion in *Bruen*. *Id.* at 81 (Kavanaugh. J., concurring) (quoting *Heller*, 554 U.S. at 626–27 & 627 n.26).

#### C. U.S. v. Rahimi

Zackey Rahimi was abusive to his child's mother from the start of their relationship in 2017.<sup>124</sup> In the protective order petition she filed against him in 2020, she testified that his violence was so severe that she had to seek treatment at the emergency room.<sup>125</sup> He pointed a gun at her, fired it in her direction, and threatened to kill her.<sup>126</sup> He broke her cell phone and took her keys to prevent her from calling the police or otherwise seeking help.<sup>127</sup> The court granted her a protective order, at a hearing Mr. Rahimi had notice of and the opportunity to participate in,<sup>128</sup> based in part on her statement that she needed "a protective order because [she was] afraid that [Rahimi would] kill [her] and [her] son."<sup>129</sup>

Less than a year after the entry of the protective order against him, Mr. Rahimi committed five shootings in the five weeks between December 1, 2020, and January 7, 2021.<sup>130</sup> Because he was subject to a domestic violence protective order that expressly prohibited him from possessing a firearm at the time of these shootings, he was arrested, charged, and convicted of a violation of § 922(g)(8).<sup>131</sup> His initial appeal was dismissed, but Rahimi filed a second appeal challenging the validity of the domestic violence protective order firearm prohibition after the Supreme Court's decision in *Bruen*, which ultimately rose to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals.<sup>132</sup>

The court analyzed § 922(g)(8) under the new *Bruen* standard and ultimately determined that the statute was unconstitutional.<sup>133</sup> In doing so, it became the first federal circuit court to strike down a gun regulation post-*Bruen*.

The Fifth Circuit articulated a range of rationales for its decision. First, it found that protective orders are not sufficiently analogous to historical laws prohibiting dangerous criminals from possessing

129. WBUR, supra note 124.

130. United States v. Rahimi, 61 F.4th 443, 448–49 (5th Cir. 2023), *cert granted*, 143 S. Ct. 2688 (2023). Rahimi fired multiple shots into the home of an individual to whom he had sold narcotics; on two separate occasions, shot at the driver of a car he had gotten into an accident with; shot at a constable's vehicle; and "fired multiple shots in the air after his friend's credit card was declined at a Whataburger restaurant." *Id.* 

131. Id. at 449.

132. Id.

<sup>124.</sup> WBUR, Should Domestic Abusers Have Gun Rights, https://www.wbur.org/onpoint/2023/11/04/supreme-court-domestic-abusers-gun-rights [hereinafter WBUR].

<sup>125.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>126.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>127.</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>128.</sup> See Petition for Writ of Certiorari, Rahimi, 61 F.4th 443 (No. 22-915) at 1–2.

<sup>133.</sup> Id. at 450.

firearms because "§ 922(g)(8) disarms people who have merely been civilly adjudicated to be a threat to another person" and because historical laws disarmed "those who had been adjudicated to be a threat to society generally, rather than to identified individuals."<sup>134</sup> The court also rejected the analogy of nineteenth-century surety laws, which "required certain individuals to post bond before carrying weapons in public."<sup>135</sup> Despite their similarity to modern-day protective orders—the *Rahimi* court described surety laws as being "meant to protect an identified person . . . from the risk of harm posed by another identified individual"<sup>136</sup>—the court declined to find a sufficient analogy because historical surety laws only limited, but did not wholly prohibit, possession.<sup>137</sup>

Rahimi is, of course, not the only case where Bruen has been applied, but it is significant for being the first federal circuit court decision to find a gun regulation unconstitutional and emblematic of the challenges in applying the new "historical analogue" legal test.<sup>138</sup> An analysis of nearly 200 lower federal court decisions implementing Bruen from June 2022 to March 2023 found that "[t]heir collective decisions . . . have been scattered, unpredictable, and often internally inconsistent."<sup>139</sup> In short, "[l]ower courts have struggled to reach coherent and consistent results after Bruen, diverging in terms of both outcomes and methodology."<sup>140</sup> The remainder of this Article will explore those challenges, employing a critical feminist lens to analyze the implications of the Bruen test on firearms and intimate partner violence.

#### IV. ANALOGY, ORIGINALISM, AND INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE

*Bruen*'s centering of history and tradition creates a standard that laws impacting the lives of women may be unable to meet. This Part explores two related feminist critiques. First, it documents the slow pace of progress in recognizing and addressing the harms of intimate partner violence to illuminate the Sisyphean task of identifying an eighteenth-century regulation that protected survivors. Next, it

<sup>134.</sup> Id. at 459.

<sup>135.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 5.

<sup>136.</sup> *Rahimi*, 61 F.4th at 459–60.

<sup>137.</sup> *Id.* at 460.

<sup>138.</sup> See id. at 461.

<sup>139.</sup> Charles, *supra* note 109, at 78.

<sup>140.</sup> Protecting Public Safety After New York State Rifle & Pistol Association v. Bruen: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on the Judiciary, 117th Cong. 8 (2023) (written statement of Eric Ruben, Assistant Professor of Law, SMU Dedman School of Law). See also Randy E. Barnett & Nelson Lund, Implementing Bruen, L. & LIBERTY (Feb. 6, 2023), https://lawliberty.org/implementing-bruen/ (predicting that it "will be extremely difficult for lower courts to apply [Bruen] in a principled manner").

contends that originalism and feminism are arguably mutually exclusive. Women were not part of the body politic when our Nation's founding documents were drafted and ratified. As such, women's experiences and stories were not considered when drafting historical legislation and are absent from the historical record. Thus, looking to history to justify modern-day regulations will not only fail but also erode progress made for women in the centuries since.

# A. Intimate Partner Violence's Analogical Challenges

As an initial matter, it is important to address how far back in history *Bruen* requires one to search to find an appropriate analogue for modern-day gun restrictions. Although courts have looked at regulations "from as early as  $1285^{*141}$  and "Oliver Cromwell's interregnum"<sup>142</sup> in the 1600s, the general consensus is to seek relevant laws in either 1791, when the Second Amendment was ratified as part of the Bill of Rights, or 1868, when the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified as one of the post-Civil War Reconstruction Amendments.<sup>143</sup>

The question of whether the former or later date in the seventyseven-year span controls remains unsettled.<sup>144</sup> The *Rahimi* court stated that it "afford[ed] greater weight to historical analogues more contemporaneous to the Second Amendment's ratification."<sup>145</sup> Others have argued that "the question is controlled not by the original meaning of the first ten Amendments in 1791 but instead by the meaning those texts and the Fourteenth Amendment had in 1868[,]" when portions of the Bill of Rights were made applicable to the states.<sup>146</sup>

Regardless of which time period controls—one in the eighteenth or one in the nineteenth century—it is unlikely that any spot-on precedent will exist for a twenty-first-century regulation. As Professors Joseph Blocher and Eric Ruben succinctly state, the *Bruen* test leads to "acute problems of anachronism."<sup>147</sup>

<sup>141.</sup> See Bruen, 597 U.S. at 40.

<sup>142.</sup> Rahimi, 61 F.4th at 456.

<sup>143.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 38-39.

<sup>144.</sup> See Charles, supra note 109, at 99 (noting that "the Court did not settle whether 1791—when the Second Amendment was ratified—or 1868—when the Second Amendment was incorporated through the Fourteenth Amendment—was the relevant benchmark").

<sup>145.</sup> Rahimi, 61 F.4th at 456.

<sup>146.</sup> Steven G. Calabresi & Sarah E. Agudo, Individual Rights Under State Constitutions When the Fourteenth Amendment Was Ratified in 1868: What Rights Are Deeply Rooted in American History and Tradition?, 87 TEX. L. REV. 7, 115–16 (2008).

<sup>147.</sup> Blocher & Ruben, supra note 115, at 109.

One of the most obvious challenges relates to the evolution of firearms themselves. While "handguns are by far the most common type of gun"<sup>148</sup> in modern times, they did not exist in the founding era.<sup>149</sup> Neither did shotguns,<sup>150</sup> revolvers,<sup>151</sup> machine guns,<sup>152</sup> or 3D-printed ghost guns. Instead, "Americans in 1791 generally owned muzzle-loading flintlocks . . . incapable of firing multiple shots."<sup>153</sup> The 1792 Uniform Militia Act, which required all white males between the ages of eighteen and forty-five to serve in the militia, mandated that eligible men arm themselves with a musket or rifle, not a shotgun or AR-15,<sup>154</sup> because

semiautomatic firearms technology didn't exist in any meaningful sense in the era of the founding fathers. They had something much different in mind when they drafted the Second Amendment. The typical firearms of the day... could hold a single round at a time, and a skilled shooter could hope to get off three or possibly four rounds in a minute of firing.<sup>155</sup>

Returning briefly to the subject of intimate partner violence, the inefficient nature of firearms in the eighteenth century meant that they were rarely involved in domestic disputes. Instead of slowly

150. Shotguns came into common use in the 1850s. See id.

151. Samuel Colt patented his eponymous revolver in 1836. *See* Richard C. Rattenbury, *Revolver*, BRITANNICA (Feb., 18, 2015), https://www.britannica.com/technology/revolver.

152. The machine gun was invented in 1884. See The Machine Gun: Its History, Development and Use: A Resource Guide, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS RESEARCH GUIDES, https://guides.loc.gov/machine-gun-its-history-development-and-use.

153. Blocher & Ruben, *supra* note 115, at 153 (citing Randolph Roth, *Why Guns Are and Are Not the Problem: The Relationship Between Guns and Homicide in American History, in* A RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS? THE CONTESTED ROLE OF HISTORY IN CONTEMPORARY DEBATES ON THE SECOND AMENDMENT 113, 117 (Jennifer Tucker et al. eds., 2019)).

154. Patrick J. Charles, *The 1792 National Militia Act, the Second Amendment, and Individual Militia Rights: A Legal and Historical Perspective*, 9 GEO. J. L. & PUB. POLY 323, 332 (2011).

155. Christopher Ingraham, What 'Arms' Looked Like When the Second Amendment Was Written, WASH. POST. (June 13, 2016, 4:01 PM), https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2016/06/13/the-men-who-wrote-the-2nd-amendment-would-never-recognize-an-ar-15/.

<sup>148.</sup> Kim Parker et. al., *America's Complex Relationship With Guns*, PEW RSCH. CTR. (June 22, 2017), https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2017/06/22/the-demographics-of-gun-ownership/ (reporting that 62 percent of Americans own handguns, "while 22% own a rifle and 16% own a shotgun").

<sup>149.</sup> The automatic handgun was invented in 1892. *See Gun Timeline*, *PBS* HISTORY DETECTIVES, https://www.pbs.org/opb/historydetectives/technique/gun-timeline/.

loading a musket, "[m] arital murderers" typically used "their fists or feet."  $^{156}$ 

Requiring a direct, or even arguably an indirect, analogue would conceivably invalidate nearly any modern firearm regulation that didn't involve a simple weapon. As experts have noted, "To many, it may seem like madness that law enacted before the invention of antibiotics and electricity or the founding of the first American colony-not to mention automatic and semiautomatic weapons-can dictate how modern firearms are regulated today."<sup>157</sup> More broadly, as Justice Breyer stated in his dissent in Bruen, "[1]aws addressing repeating crossbows, launcegays, dirks, dagges, skeines, stilladers, and other ancient weapons will be of little help to courts confronting modern problems."158 And the challenges will become only more acute because "as technological progress pushes our society ever further beyond the bounds of the Framers' imaginations, attempts at 'analogical reasoning' will become increasingly tortured."<sup>159</sup> "In short, a standard that relies solely on history is unjustifiable and unworkable."160

Commentators have argued that "evolving technology . . . call[s] for evolving regulation."<sup>161</sup> Similarly, evolving humanity, specifically with respect to the recognition of women's civil rights, also calls for evolving regulation. But with a "Second Amendment doctrine . . . [that] has become intensely preoccupied with genealogy," such evolution faces significant hurdles.<sup>162</sup> As *Rahimi* demonstrates, staking modern-day constitutionality on laws governing intimate partner violence in the founding era will inevitably lead to an erosion of contemporary rights.

Prior to the ratification of the Second Amendment, Puritans in the Colonial era enacted laws against spousal violence, but they did so not out of a concern for women as much as to ensure their vision of

161. Ingraham, supra note 155.

<sup>156.</sup> RANDOLPH ROTH, AMERICAN HOMICIDE 115 (2009). Professor Roth adds that abusers "picked up whatever was at hand—a stick, a stone, a tool. Guns required preparation and a degree of premeditation." *Id.* at 115–16.

<sup>157.</sup> Mark Anthony Frassetto, *The Use and Misuse of History in Second Amendment Litigation in A RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS?* 202 (Jennifer Tucker et al. eds., 2019).

<sup>158.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 115 (Breyer, J., dissenting).

<sup>159.</sup> Id.

<sup>160.</sup> *Id.* Professors Randy Barnett and Nelson Lund agree, noting that "historical analogies will frequently provide insufficient guidance, particularly for novel gun control laws that address modern problems." Barnett & Lund, *supra* note 140.

<sup>162.</sup> Darrell Miller, "Lineal Descendant" Analysis in Second Amendment Litigation, HARV. L. REV. BLOG (April 7, 2021), https://harvardlawreview.org/blog/2021/04/lineal-descendant-analysis-insecond-amendment-litigation/.

morality.<sup>163</sup> At the time, "[c]riminal laws against family violence were intended mainly to serve symbolic purposes—to define the boundary between saint and sinner."<sup>164</sup> As such, laws against family violence were "rarely enforced, and when they were, offenders usually received lenient sentences."<sup>165</sup> Predictably, "the courts never permitted . . . a wife to punish a husband."<sup>166</sup>

Later, "[a]s Puritans became Yankees, the limited enforcement of domestic violence legislation disappeared altogether."<sup>167</sup> The patriarchal doctrine of "[c]overture provided the legal context for American law-making,"<sup>168</sup> largely leaving men to abuse their wives with impunity. State courts upheld the right of "moderate chastisement"<sup>169</sup> and declined to convict husbands for assault, finding that they were permitted "to use toward [their wives] such a degree of force as is necessary."<sup>170</sup> In effect, if a man "engaged in spousal abuse, for the most part, unless [he] left permanent damage to the abused spouse, [he was] not subject to criminal penalties."<sup>171</sup>

A permissive attitude towards intimate partner abuse was not limited to battery. "Another violent aspect of coverture—conjugal rights—entitled a husband to companionship, cooperation, affection, and sexual access."<sup>172</sup> As such, "[m]arital rape was not a crime, and husbands could expect sexual monopoly over their wives."<sup>173</sup> In family courts, as in criminal courts, "nineteenth-century judges developed a body of divorce law premised on the assumption that a wife was obliged to endure various kinds of violence as a normal and sometimes deserved—part of married life."<sup>174</sup>

169. Bradley v. State, 1 Miss. (1 Walker) 156, 158 (1824).

173. *Id*.

<sup>163.</sup> Pleck, *supra* note 21, at 24–25; *see also* Siegel, *supra* note 9, at 2130 ("[W]hen the legal system did prosecute wife beating, it treated the crime as a deviant social act rather than as conduct recently condoned by law, selecting men for prosecution in ways that suggest that concerns other than protecting women animated the punishment of wife beaters.").

<sup>164.</sup> Pleck, supra note 21, at 25.

<sup>165.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>166.</sup> *Id.* at 24.

<sup>167.</sup> *Id.* at 27.

<sup>168.</sup> Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 218; *see also supra* notes 7–9 and accompanying text.

<sup>170.</sup> State v. Black, 60 N.C. (Win.) 262, 267 (1864); *see also* Siegel, *supra* note 13, at 2125 & n.25 (delineating many cases from 1823–1864, "particularly in the southern and mid-Atlantic regions [that] recognized a husband's prerogative to chastise his wife").

<sup>171.</sup> Mary C. Curtis, *Gun Control is an American Tradition*, SLATE (May 3, 2023, 2:28 PM), https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2023/05/gun-control-laws-bruen-us-history.html.

<sup>172.</sup> Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 217.

<sup>174.</sup> Siegel, *supra* note 9, at 2133–34.

By the late 1800s, courts began to constrain the legal right of men to abuse their wives.<sup>175</sup> Here again, however, legal evolutions did not necessarily arise out of conceptions of equality. Instead, "[d]uring the Reconstruction Era, public interest in marital violence rose as wife beating began to shift in political complexion from a 'woman's' issue to a 'law and order' issue."<sup>176</sup> And as occurred in earlier periods, even limited progress was met with retrenchment and resistance. Family violence may have been officially prohibited, but intervention in cases of intimate partner abuse did not substantially increase. In this era, it was not because authorities "insisted that a husband has the legal prerogative to beat his wife; instead, they often asserted that the legal system should not interfere in cases of wife beating . . . in order to protect the privacy of the marriage relationship and to promote domestic harmony."177 It was not until the late 1970s that the law truly began protecting victims of domestic violence<sup>178</sup> and 1992 that the Supreme Court explicitly rejected coverture.<sup>179</sup>

The disparate treatment and condonation of violence against women is a historical fact; "for a century after courts repudiated the right of chastisement, the American legal system continued to treat wife beating differently from other cases of assault and battery."<sup>180</sup> The reasons for this inequity are complex and multifold, but one important explanation is the public-private distinction. This principle posits that an action considered a crime when committed

<sup>175.</sup> See id.; Fulgham v. State, 46 Ala. 143 145-47 (1871).

<sup>176.</sup> Siegel, *supra* note 9, at 2136. Professor Siegel notes that at this time, the Ku Klux Klan "began to invoke wife beating as an excuse for assaults on black men." *Id.* 

<sup>177.</sup> *Id.* at 2120. These legal justifications comported with cultural changes, as "jurists began to justify the regulation of domestic violence in the language of privacy and love associated with companionate marriage." *Id.* Similarly, the passage of Married Women's Property Acts "did not legitimate any radical shifts in the economic status of women." Chused, *supra* note 2, at 1362.

<sup>178.</sup> Even this progress is not without controversy, as scholars have argued that an increased focus on criminalization of perpetrators disempowers survivors and does not in fact keep them safer. See e.g., Deborah Epstein, Redefining the State's Response to Domestic Violence: Past Victories and Future Challenges, 1 GEO J. GENDER & L. 127, 136–37 (1999); Leigh Goodmark, Should Domestic Violence Be Decriminalized?, 40 HARV. J.L. & GENDER 53, 71–74 (2017); Mimi E. Kim, From Carceral Feminism to Transformative Justice: Women-of-Color Feminism and Alternatives to Incarceration, 27 J. ETHNIC & CULTURAL DIVERSITY SOC. WORK 219, 221–23 (2018); Natalie Nanasi, New Approaches to Disarming Domestic Abusers, 67 VILL. L. REV. 561, 593–601 (2022).

<sup>179.</sup> See Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 869 (1992). In Casey, Justice O'Connor described coverture as "repugnant to this Court's present understanding of marriage and of the nature of the rights secured by the Constitution," adding that "[w]omen do not lose their constitutionally protected liberty when they marry." *Id.* at 898.

<sup>180.</sup> Siegel, *supra* note 9, at 2118.

against a stranger or acquaintance outside the home (such as physical or sexual assault) is protected when it is perpetrated against an intimate partner within the confines of one's home.<sup>181</sup>

The idea of a public-private distinction dates as far back as the eighteenth century, when "[l]egal thinkers . . . distinguished between public and private behavior" and determined that "private vices were not the legitimate subject of law . . . . The family [thereby] became a private institution, separated from public life."<sup>182</sup> Blackstone, who notoriously described coverture, also expounded on this concept, stating that "private vices . . . which man is bound to perform considered only as an individual, are not, cannot be, the object of any municipal law."<sup>183</sup>

Nearly a century after Blackstone's commentaries, courts continued to use the public-private distinction to justify intimate partner violence. As the North Carolina Supreme Court explained:

We know that a slap on the cheek, let it be as light as it may, indeed any touching of the person of another in a rude or angry manner – is in law an assault and battery. In the nature of things it cannot apply to persons in the marriage state, it would break down the great principle of mutual confidence and dependence; throw open the bedroom to the gaze of the public; and spread discord and misery, contention and strife, where peace and concord ought to reign.<sup>184</sup>

In differentiating violence this way, the state took an active "role in defining 'private sphere' life and demonstrating that women's... dependence on men was a condition imposed and enforced by law."<sup>185</sup>

In distinguishing historic legislation from contemporary protective order statutes, the Fifth Circuit focused on the fact that historical laws were "aimed at curbing terroristic or riotous behavior, i.e., disarming those who had been adjudicated to be a threat to

<sup>181.</sup> The public-private distinction "has historically been gendered, with the 'public' sphere traditionally being the realm of men and the 'private' sphere the realm of women." Suzanne A. Kim, *Reconstructing Family Privacy*, 57 HASTINGS L.J. 557, 569 (2006).

<sup>182.</sup> Pleck, *supra* note 21, at 28.

<sup>183. 4</sup> BLACKSTONE, *supra* note 8, at 41. Blackstone gave the example of "the vice of drunkenness," which he argued that "if committed privately and alone, is beyond the knowledge and of course beyond the reach of human tribunals: but if committed publicly, in the face of the world, its evil example makes it liable to temporal censures." *Id.* at 41-42.

<sup>184.</sup> State v. Hussey, 44 N.C. (Busb.) 123, 126 (1852) (holding wives incompetent to testify against husbands in all cases of assault and battery, except where permanent injury or great bodily harm is inflicted).

<sup>185.</sup> Siegel, *supra* note 5, at 1078.

society generally, rather than to identified individuals."<sup>186</sup> This notion, and subsequent legal conclusion, that intimate partner violence is a private, strictly interpersonal matter demonstrates the perpetuation of the public-private distinction and the insidious impact of a longstanding misunderstanding of intimate partner abuse. Violence against women is not about a person's inability to manage their anger or a problem that occurs because of one violent partner or in one volatile relationship. It is beyond the scope of this Article to provide a full exposition, but as others have persuasively shown, domestic violence has deep societal causes, namely patriarchy<sup>187</sup> and political inequality.<sup>188</sup>

Intimate partner violence also has significant impact both inside and outside the home. Victims are obviously harmed by abuse, but perpetrators with guns also pose a threat to the public and to themselves. They are more likely than the average person to commit a mass shooting<sup>189</sup> or endanger the life of a police officer.<sup>190</sup> The murder of an intimate partner is not only "often coupled with additional killings," but "almost one-half of intimate partner homicides committed by men with guns ended with suicide."<sup>191</sup> Domestic violence is therefore neither caused nor experienced in isolation—its harms effect the health, productivity, and safety of not just individuals but entire communities.

In short, intimate partner violence "contributes to and is a consequence of political, economic, and other inequalities women face

<sup>186.</sup> Rahimi, 61 F.4th at 459.

<sup>187.</sup> See Siegel, supra note 13, at 2135 ("Where coverture was once viewed as paradigmatically feudal, its patriarchal aspect is now most prominent."); Robin West, Jurisprudence and Gender, 55 U. CHI. L. REV. 1, 4 (1988) ("The virtual abolition of patriarchy–a political structure that values men more than women– is the political precondition of a truly ungendered jurisprudence.").

<sup>188.</sup> See Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 233 (arguing that "[p]olitical inequality is inextricably related to patterns of domination within the family, including domestic violence.").

<sup>189.</sup> Lisa B. Geller et al., *The Role of Domestic Violence in Fatal Mass Shootings in the United States*, 2014–2019, 8 INJ. EPIDEMIOLOGY 38, 43 (2021) (documenting that the shooter in 68 percent of mass shootings between 2014 and 2019 had either killed an intimate partner or other family member or had a history of domestic violence).

<sup>190.</sup> Cassandra Kercher et al., *Homicides of Law Enforcement Officers Responding to Domestic Disturbance Calls*, 19 INJ. PREV. 331, 334 (2013) (finding that domestic dispute calls were among the deadliest for law enforcement officers and that ninety-five percent of officers killed were murdered with a firearm).

<sup>191.</sup> See Sierra Smucker et al., Suicide and Additional Homicides Associated with Intimate Partner Homicide: North Carolina 2004–2013, 95 J. URB. HEALTH 337, 337 (2018).

daily."<sup>192</sup> When understood in this way, it can be "seen as a crime that threatens not only its victims but also the social order."<sup>193</sup> But the legal system's longstanding misunderstandings about family violence, which perpetuate today, often limit the judiciary's ability to both understand its root causes and ameliorate its personal and societal harms.

Professor Jacob Charles notes that "no founding era regulations forbad or even tightly regulated private cannon possession" because "there was not a perceived problem to solve."<sup>194</sup> Similarly, as demonstrated and explained herein, intimate partner violence was for most of U.S. history not considered an issue worth addressing. As such, conditioning Second Amendment constitutionality on founders' recognition of women's rights has inevitably led to a continuing failure to protect women.

# B. Originalism v. Feminism

Six of the justices sitting on the Supreme Court today identify as originalists.<sup>195</sup> As experts note, an originalist judicial philosophy is not "a single, coherent, unified theory of constitutional interpretation, but rather a smorgasbord of distinct constitutional theories that share little in common except a misleading reliance on a single label."<sup>196</sup> What some call originalism, others label as "historical traditionalism," which, as the name suggests, focuses less on the original meaning of constitutional text and more on historical practice, precedent, or customs and social norms.<sup>197</sup> Others describe the Court's current majority as engaging in "originalism-by-analogy," which requires judges to reason analogically straight from the historical record, rather than using historical sources to identify the original pubic meaning of a constitutional provision.<sup>198</sup>

<sup>192.</sup> Daniel G. Saunders et al., *Patriarchy's Link to Intimate Partner Violence: Applications to Survivors' Asylum Claims*, 29 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 1998, 1999 (2023).

<sup>193.</sup> Pleck, *supra* note 21, at 20. "The lesson of the past," Professor Pleck notes, "is that the greater the emphasis on the 'family' character of domestic violence, the lower the interest and support for criminalization of family violence." *Id.* at 53.

<sup>194.</sup> Charles, *supra* note 109, at 113.

<sup>195.</sup> See Malinda Seymore, Originalism: Erasing Women from the Body Politic, 10 ADOPTION & CULTURE 214, 214 (2022).

<sup>196.</sup> Thomas B. Colby & Peter J. Smith, *Living Originalism*, 59 DUKE L.J. 239, 244 (2009).

<sup>197.</sup> See Randy E. Barnett & Lawrence B. Solum, Originalism after Dobbs, Bruen, and Kennedy: The Role of History and Tradition, 118 Nw. U. L. REV. 433, 452 (2023).

<sup>198.</sup> Blocher & Ruben, supra note 115, at 99.

Regardless of the label, in 2022, in both  $Dobbs^{199}$  and Bruen, the Court's focus on history and tradition operated "not as a strategy to avoid constitutional politics but to fundamentally reorient them."<sup>200</sup> Although "presented as a value-neutral enterprise, unconnected from political aims or legal movement or conservative outcomes . . . everything is value-laden, even the choice of method."<sup>201</sup> And the Court's choice of originalism in cases involving both abortion and gun rights orients its jurisprudence to a time when the law didn't consider women.

The founders of our country and framers of our Constitution did not take women, or anyone other than white landowning men for that matter, into account when they drafted the Bill of Rights, including the Second Amendment.<sup>202</sup> As detailed above in Part IV.A, women's rights, and women's safety specifically, were not deemed issues worthy of consideration until centuries later.<sup>203</sup>

Although some argue that the Constitution's use of the word "people" makes it gender-neutral, in fact, the use of the term is itself evidence of gender bias. As Professor Jill Hasday explains, "The Constitution produced in 1787 did not include the word male because the Founders presumed that they could use sex-neutral language— 'We the People,' rather than 'We the Men'—without suggesting that men and women had equal rights under the law or in the Constitution."<sup>204</sup> The exclusion of women was no accident. Abigail Adams, wife of President John Adams, famously asked her husband

203. See supra pages 124–31.

<sup>199.</sup> See Rachel Rebouché & Mary Ziegler, *Fracture: Abortion Law and Politics After* Dobbs, 76 SMU L. REV. 27, 36 (2023) ("Dobbs also previewed the approach to unenumerated rights taken by the Court's new majority; one, the majority claims, that is yoked to tradition and history.").

<sup>200.</sup> Id. at 40.

<sup>201.</sup> Seymore, *supra* note 195, at 215.

<sup>202.</sup> See Mary Anne Franks, Book Talk: The Cult of the Constitution, 13 CONLAWNOW 33, 34 (2021) ("White male supremacy permeates the creation, interpretation, explication, and execution of the Constitution."). See also Melissa Murray, Children of Men: The Roberts Court's Jurisprudence of Masculinity, 60 HOUS. L. REV. 799, 815 (2023) (noting that "the rights enshrined in the Bill of Rights—like the ... Second Amendment rights credited in ... Bruen—were initially drafted and ratified with the expectation that they would be exercised by (white, property-owning) men.").

<sup>204.</sup> Jill Elaine Hasday, *Women's Exclusion from the Constitutional Canon*, 2013 U. ILL. L. REV. 1715, 1718–19 (2013). *See also* Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 220 ("there is no evidence that the rights of married women were considered at the Constitutional Convention or in the correspondence of the delegates. Although the framers used gender-neutral terms such as persons, people, and electors, the original understanding of duties (e.g., militia) or rights (e.g., voting) did not formally apply to women because they were represented in political life by their husbands.").

and his fellow founding fathers to "remember the ladies" and "consider the rights of women while laying the framework for the new, independent nation."<sup>205</sup> They declined. The founders "did not simply forget about the ladies; they specifically and intentionally determined to exclude them and to confirm men's tyrannical power."<sup>206</sup>

Women's deliberate and systematic exclusion demonstrates that gender discrimination is not "an accident in the law but rather a central force in its development."<sup>207</sup> Women are not "part of We the People in any meaningful sense in the framing of the original Constitution and post-Civil War Amendments."<sup>208</sup> As the dissenters in *Dobbs* eloquently stated, "people' did not ratify the Fourteenth Amendment. Men did. So it is perhaps not so surprising that the ratifiers were not perfectly attuned to the importance of reproductive rights for women's liberty, or for their capacity to participate as equal members of our Nation."<sup>209</sup>

the disenfranchisement of women could [therefore] be characterized as a principled decision about the allocation of power and the locus at which power is exercised in the same way as might, for example, the construction of the Senate, federalism, or the separation of powers, each of which also could be seen to serve sectarian interests.

Id. at 432–33.

207. KATHRYN M. STANCHI ET AL., FEMINIST JUDGMENTS: REWRITTEN OPINION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT 26 (2016) (citing Martha Chamallas, INTRODUCTION TO FEMINIST LEGAL THEORY (2012)).

208. Case, supra note 206, at 453.

209. Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org., 597 U.S. 215, 372 (2022) (Breyer, Sotomayor, and Kagan, JJ., dissenting). An Ohio judge made a similar point in discussing *Bruen*, stating that

the glaring flaw in any analysis of the United States' historical tradition of firearm regulation in relation to Ohio's gun laws is that no such analysis could account for what the United States' historical tradition of firearm regulation would have been if women and nonwhite people had been able to vote for the representatives who determined these regulations.

State v. Philpotts, 194 N.E.3d 371, 373 (2022) (Brunner, J., dissenting). And Professor Jill Hasday elaborates that when

<sup>205.</sup> LIBRARY OF CONGRESS BLOGS, Remember the Ladies (March 31, 2016), https://blogs.loc.gov/loc/2016/03/remember-the-ladies/. Mrs. Adams requested in full: "in the new code of laws which I suppose it will be necessary for you to make, I desire you would remember the ladies and be more generous and favorable to them than your ancestors." *Id.* She asked that the founders "not put such unlimited power into the hands of the husbands . . . [because] all men would be tyrants if they could." *Id.* She then boldly threatened that "[i]f particular care and attention is not paid to the ladies, we are determined to foment a rebellion, and will not hold ourselves bound by any laws in which we have no voice or representation." *Id.* 

<sup>206.</sup> Mary Anne Case, *The Ladies? Forget About Them. A Feminist Perspective* on the Limits of Originalism, 29 CONST. COMMENT. 431, 432 (2014). Professor Case adds that

Put most simply, "modern jurisprudence is 'masculine," meaning that "the values, the dangers ... that characterize women's lives are not reflected at any level whatsoever in contracts, torts, constitutional law, or any other field of legal doctrine."<sup>210</sup> The Roberts Court has amplified this viewpoint though a "commitment to an ascendant 'jurisprudence of masculinity' that prioritizes, both explicitly and implicitly, men's rights, even as it diminishes and constrains women's rights."<sup>211</sup> From the founding era until today, "[w]omen are compared to the unstated norm of men." <sup>212</sup>

With this perspective, it becomes clear that originalism, while purportedly neutral, operates to disadvantage women.<sup>213</sup> When women's experiences are ignored, "what initially may seem to be an objective stance may appear partial from another point of view[;] what initially appears to be a fixed and objective difference may seem from another viewpoint like the subordination or exclusion of some people by others."<sup>214</sup> Originalism's "objective" history is therefore steeped in gender bias.

The result of this bias is that

Hasday, supra note 204, at 1719.

210. West, *supra* note 187, at 58. Or, as the participants in an 1852 women's rights convention stated:

The law is wholly masculine: it is created and executed by our type or class of the man nature. The framers of all legal compacts are thus restricted to the masculine stand-point of observation—to the thoughts, feelings, and biases of men. The law, then, could give us no representation as women, and therefore, no impartial justice ... for we can be represented only by our peers.

THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE WOMAN'S RIGHTS CONVENTION, HELD AT SYRACUSE, SEPTEMBER 8TH, 9TH & 10TH, 1852 20–21 (Syracuse, J.E. Masters 1852).

211. Murray, supra note 202, at 799.

212. Martha Minow, *Justice Engendered*, 101 HARV. L. REV. 10, 13 (1987). This critique is not limited to gender, as Professor Minow adds: "Blacks, Mormons, Jews, and Arabs are different in relation to the unstated white Christian norm. Handicapped persons are different in relation to the unstated norm of able-bodiedness." *Id.* at 32.

213. As Professor Melissa Murray explains, "[i]t is hardly surprising that an interpretive method that prioritizes the Founding and Founders' intent yields gendered outcomes." Murray, *supra* note 202, at 845.

214. Minow, *supra* note 212, at 14. Professor Minow states this point another way, when she writes that "there is an assumption that the existing social and economic arrangements are natural and neutral." *Id.* at 33.

the United States restructured significant aspects of its constitutional order in the aftermath of the Civil War... congressmen on all sides of the debates over the Fourteenth Amendment hoped that the amendment's Equal Protection Clause would not be read to disrupt common law coverture or prohibit sex discrimination, even as their discussion of the amendment made clear that such an interpretation of equal protection was possible.

the distinctive values women hold, the distinctive dangers from which we suffer, and the distinctive contradictions that characterize our inner lives are not reflected in legal theory because legal theory ... is about actual, real life, enacted, legislated, adjudicated law, and women have, from law's inception, lacked the power to make law protect, value, or seriously regard our experience.<sup>215</sup>

Firearm jurisprudence provides a concrete example of how the law ignores women's lived experiences. In *Bruen*, the Court discussed the "central" nature of self-defense to the Second Amendment right, stating that "[m]any Americans hazard greater danger outside the home than in it."<sup>216</sup> This statement ignores the reality that for many women, the most dangerous place is often their own home.<sup>217</sup> According to the United Nations, more than half (56 percent) of women and girls murdered in 2021 were killed by intimate partners or family members, revealing the stark dangers faced in a place where safety is often assumed.<sup>218</sup> Thus, "[i]n the case of the Second Amendment, armed self-defense might be substantively different if women were the legal subjects, because they are more likely to be killed in their home by an acquaintance."<sup>219</sup> And it is the very

217. *See* Petrosky et al., *supra* note 45, at 1 (explaining that homicide was the leading cause of death among women under 45 from 2003–14, and that over half of the women were killed by an intimate partner).

218. U.N. OFFICE ON DRUGS AND CRIME & ENDING VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN SECTION, U.N. ENTITY FOR GENDER EQUALITY AND THE EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN, GENDER-RELATED KILLINGS OF WOMEN AND GIRLS (FEMICIDE/FEMINICIDE) 5 (2022), https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2022-11/Gender-related-killings-ofwomen-and-girls-improving-data-to-improve-responses-to-femicide-feminicideen.pdf. Conversely, "only eleven percent of male homicides [were] perpetrated in the private sphere." *Id*.

219. Liebell, *supra* note 11, at 233. Professor Liebell adds that "Second Amendment scholarship generally assumes that the private sphere is a place of safety and freedom rather than a site of violence" and that "the power of a head

<sup>215.</sup> West, *supra* note 187, at 60. Professor Robert Hayman makes a similar point with respect to race when he states, "in judicial practice, 'tradition' is nearly always white." Robert L. Hayman, Jr., *The Color of Tradition: Critical Race Theory and Postmodern Constitutional Traditionalism*, 30 HARV. C.R.-C.L. L. REV. 57, 58 (1995). He adds that originalists' efforts "to define unifying traditions has systematically excluded the voices, perspectives, and counter-traditions of cultural minorities, leaving them at the mercy of the past practices, and embedded habits of majoritarian forces." *Id.* at 74.

<sup>216.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 33 ("[A] Chicagoan is a good deal more likely to be attacked on a sidewalk in a rough neighborhood than in his apartment on the 35th floor of the Park Tower" (citing Moore v. Madigan, 702 F.3d 933, 937 (7th Cir. 2012))). See also Eric Ruben, Self-Defense Exceptionalism and the Immunization of Private Violence, 96 S. CAL. L. REV. 509, 532 (2023) (discussing how gun rights advocates shifted focus from immunity for using guns to protect the home to immunity for using guns in self-defense outside the home).

protections that aim to remedy this harm that were undone by the originalist doctrine created in *Bruen* and implemented in *Rahimi*.<sup>220</sup>

Finally, looking to history to justify contemporary regulations is also problematic when one considers the axiom: "history is what the present chooses to remember about the past."<sup>221</sup> What historians deemed significant to memorialize is itself a product of gender bias. Recorded are the accounts of founding fathers, not the mothers, wives, and daughters who were not in the "room where it happens."<sup>222</sup> Their hopes, struggles, and inner lives are largely lost to history. We do not know the stories of the women who endured second-class status under the yoke of coverture and violence, the indignities they faced, big and small. We cannot know the dangers they confronted at the hands of their intimate partners or how they felt about the presence of guns in their homes and communities. We are left only to assume that they yearned, as we do, for safety and peace.

As Professor Martha Minow explains, "[t]he work of historians often has taken the perspective of those who were powerful, and ignored others."<sup>223</sup> Put another way, "history is written by the victors."<sup>224</sup> Thus, when *Bruen* and *Rahimi* courts declared gun regulations unconstitutional because they could not identify a historical analogue, their "emphasis on historical silence imbues an absent past with more explanatory power than it can bear."<sup>225</sup> First, "historical silence might reflect not an absence of law and practice, but the simple fact that historians have yet to uncover it, let alone on a briefing schedule."<sup>226</sup> Moreover, and importantly, the relevant women's history does not exist because it was not deemed worthy of creating or recording.

of household to defend his home with a gun is inextricably linked to centuries of gendered hierarchy in the private sphere and racial privilege." *Id.* at 232, 236.

<sup>220.</sup> See West, supra note 187, at 60 ("Women are absent from jurisprudence because women as human beings are absent from the law's protection: jurisprudence does not recognize us because law does not protect us.").

<sup>221.</sup> Eric Foner, *Confederate Statues and 'Our' History*, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 20, 2017), https://www.nytimes.com/2017/08/20/opinion/confederate-statues-american-history.html.

<sup>222.</sup> *The Room Where It Happens*, WIKIPEDIA, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\_Room\_Where\_It\_Happens (discussing the song featured in the musical *Hamilton*, which focuses on Alexander Hamilton's accomplishments and those of the other founding fathers).

<sup>223.</sup> Minow, supra note 212, at 67–68.

<sup>224.</sup> Matthew Phelan, *The History of "History Is Written by the Victors*," SLATE (Nov. 26, 2019), https://slate.com/culture/2019/11/history-is-written-by-the-victors-quote-origin.html.

<sup>225.</sup> Charles, *supra* note 109, at abstract. Professor Charles notes elsewhere that *Bruen* "places outsized importance on missing historical records." *Id.* at 71.

<sup>226.</sup> Blocher & Ruben, supra note 115, at 157.

## V. A PROPER READING OF *BRUEN* SUPPORTS DISARMING INDIVIDUALS SUBJECT TO FAMILY VIOLENCE PROTECTIVE ORDERS

Myriad problems exist with the Court's *Bruen* test, but the gutting of domestic violence protections need not be one of them. *Bruen* can be applied to 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) without invalidating the law because the Court mandated a "historical *analogue*, not a historical *twin*."<sup>227</sup> Although, for the reasons explained above, protective order statutes did not exist at the time the Second Amendment was ratified, the lack of a "dead ringer" need not be the death knell for protective order firearms restrictions.<sup>228</sup> As the Seventh Circuit explained, "exclusions need not mirror limits that were on the books in 1791."<sup>229</sup>

As a district court applying the *Bruen* test stated, "the critical question lower courts now face is whether *Bruen* requires the regulatory landscape be trimmed with a scalpel or a chainsaw."<sup>230</sup> *Rahimi* was a chainsaw, but as will be explained below, its analysis is flawed and not required by the Court's new precedent.

Even the *Rahimi* court understood that a core question stemming from *Bruen*, as well as *Heller* and *McDonald*, is *why* a "challenged law burdens the right to armed self-defense."<sup>231</sup> This "why" is the critical question: discovering "some kind of principle, some animating *purpose* or *theme* to the history" is what the law requires, not an identical historical equivalent.<sup>232</sup> The new test for Second Amendment constitutional validity should be understood as inquiring whether the challenged modern-day law and relevant historical law were justified on similar grounds, or, why the legislators in each era implemented the firearm restriction. This permits analysis at a higher level of generality than the Fifth Circuit utilized in *Rahimi*.<sup>233</sup>

<sup>227.</sup> New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1, 30 (2022). 228. *Id*.

<sup>229.</sup> United States v. Skoien, 614 F.3d 638, 641 (7th Cir. 2010).

<sup>230.</sup> United States v. Perez-Gallan, 640 F. Supp. 3d 697, 713 (W.D. Tex. 2022).

<sup>231.</sup> Rahimi, 61 F.4th at 454 (citing McDonald, 561 U.S. at 767); Heller, 554 U.S. at 599.

<sup>232.</sup> Miller, *supra* note 162. Put another way, "[t]he judicial task is finding what principles are reflected by the historical restrictions." Blocher & Ruben, *supra* note 115, at 147.

<sup>233.</sup> Professor Darrell Miller has pointed out an inconsistency in the level of generality utilized in firearms cases, noting that "[t]oo often, gun rights advocates look for broad family resemblances when it comes to which firearms fall within Second Amendment coverage—like large capacity magazines or assault rifles—but then demand an *identical twin* when it comes to regulations." Miller, *supra* note 162.

## A. Dangerous Persons is the Proper Analogue

With respect to domestic violence, one of the *Bruen* Court's most significant statements was that "[t]he Second Amendment . . . 'elevates above all other interests the right of *lawabiding, responsible* citizens to use arms."<sup>234</sup> As the Third Circuit declared in 2016, there exists a "time-honored principle that the right to keep and bear arms does not extend to those likely to commit violent offenses."<sup>235</sup> Similarly, states have long prohibited "bearing arms in a way that spreads 'fear' or 'terror' among the people."<sup>236</sup> And dangerous persons also regularly fall into the category of individuals excluded from the Second Amendment's protection.

A frequently referenced example of these principles is then-Judge Amy Coney Barrett's dissent in *Kanter v. Barr*, a case challenging federal and Wisconsin state felon-in-possession statutes, in which the now-Justice stated that "people have the right to keep and bear arms but... history and tradition support Congress's power to strip certain groups of that right."<sup>237</sup> Specifically, Barrett noted that

[h]istory is consistent with common sense: it demonstrates that legislatures have the power to prohibit dangerous people from possessing guns . . . In 1791—and for well more than a century afterward—legislatures disqualified categories of people from the right to bear arms only when they judged that doing so was necessary to protect the public safety.<sup>238</sup>

Importantly, Barrett noted that "Congress is not limited to case-bycase exclusions of persons who have been shown to be untrustworthy

<sup>234.</sup> New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1, 26 (2022) (emphasis added) (citing *Heller*, 554 U.S. at 635). *See also* United States v. Bena, 664 F.3d 1180, 1183 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2011) ("Scholarship suggests historical support for a common-law tradition that permits restrictions directed at citizens who are not law-abiding and responsible.").

<sup>235.</sup> Binderup v. Att'y Gen., 836 F.3d 336, 367 (3d Cir. 2016). As the concurring opinion elaborates, "the debates from the Pennsylvania, Massachusetts and New Hampshire ratifying conventions, which were considered 'highly influential' by the Supreme Court in *Heller*... confirm that the common law right to keep and bear arms did not extend to those who were likely to commit violent offenses." *Id.* at 368 (Hardiman, J., concurring in party and concurring in the judgements). Hence, the best evidence we have indicates that the right to keep and bear arms was understood to exclude those who presented a danger to the public. *Id.* at 368 (Hardiman, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgments) (quoting United States v. Barton, 633 F.3d 168, 174 (3d Cir. 2011)) (brackets omitted). *See also Heller*, 554 U.S. at 626 ("nothing in our opinion should be taken to cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons.").

<sup>236.</sup> Bruen, 597 U.S. at 50.

<sup>237.</sup> Kanter, 919 F.3d at 452 (Barrett, J., dissenting).

<sup>238.</sup> *Id.* at 451.

with weapons, nor need these limits be established by evidence presented in court."239

The *Kanter* dissent focuses on the "lineal descendants' of historical laws banning dangerous people from possessing guns."<sup>240</sup> Many courts, including the *Bruen* Court and those applying its new methodology, have similarly delineated historical restrictions on possession by those deemed to be dangerous, from Colonial times through Antebellum and beyond.<sup>241</sup> Scholars have also extensively detailed the historical precedent for disarming dangerous individuals.<sup>242</sup> Significantly, the historical inquiry does not hinge on the nature of the proceedings (i.e., civil or criminal) but on the conduct of the individual.

The concepts of dangerousness and public safety also arguably underlie all of *Heller*'s presumptively lawfully regulated categories: prohibitions on carry by felons and the mentally ill, or in sensitive places such as schools or courthouses. In fact, "several courts of appeals" have even moved beyond a public safety analysis, concluding "that *nonviolent* felons are outside the scope of the Second Amendment" when they reflect "disrespect for the law."<sup>243</sup>

category often analyzed Another concurrently with dangerousness is virtuousness.<sup>244</sup> As the Seventh Circuit stated, "[w]hatever the pedigree of the rule against even nonviolent felons possessing weapons . . . most scholars of the Second Amendment agree that the right to bear arms was tied to the concept of a virtuous citizenry and that, accordingly, the government could disarm 'unvirtuous citizens."<sup>245</sup> This label presents challenges, however, due to its discriminatory past application. Many categories

243. Kanter, 919 F.3d at 446.

<sup>239.</sup> Id. at 464 (citing Skoien, 614 F.3d at 641).

<sup>240.</sup> Id. at 464–65.

<sup>241.</sup> See, e.g., Bruen, 597 U.S. at 45–48 (detailing restrictions on public carry in the Colonial Era, two-thirds of which related to dangerous people); Perez-Gallan, 640 F. Supp. 3d at 708–13; Rahimi, 61 F.4th at 454–55.

<sup>242.</sup> See Joseph G.S. Greenlee, The Historical Justification for Prohibiting Dangerous Persons from Possessing Arms, 20 WYO. L. REV. 249, 258-61 (2020) (describing the history of prohibitions on "dangerous persons" from 602 A.D. through Colonial times); Mark Frassetto, Firearms and Weapons Legislation up 40 - 43to theEarly *Twentieth* Century (2013),https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2200991 (providing ล "fairly comprehensive picture of state firearms legislation from the Colonial era until the start of the twentieth century" relating to "Felons, Foreigners and Others Deemed Dangerous By the State").

<sup>244.</sup> See Medina v. Whitaker, 913 F.3d 152, 158–59 (D.C. Cir. 2019) (suggesting that either dangerousness or lack of virtue could justify a firearm ban), cert. denied sub nom. Medina v. Barr, 140 S. Ct. 645 (2019).

<sup>245.</sup> United States v. Yancey, 621 F.3d 681, 684-85 (7th Cir. 2010).

of individuals – including Catholics,<sup>246</sup> Native Americans,<sup>247</sup> and African Americans<sup>248</sup>—were disarmed under the guise of lack of virtue.<sup>249</sup> But as Professor Joseph Blocher and Caitlan Carberry explain, "one can accept that the Framers denied firearms to groups they thought to be particularly dangerous (or unvirtuous, or irresponsible) without sharing their conclusion about which groups qualify as such."<sup>250</sup> And "while many of these bans have been unjust and discriminatory," the underlying purpose, the critical *why*, however prejudiced, was the "to disarm those who posed a danger."<sup>251</sup>

# B. Subjects of Family Violence Protective Orders are Dangerous Individuals

It is a focus on underlying rationales, specifically dangerousness, that should be the crux of the *Bruen* analysis when considering the constitutionality of § 922(g)(8). Through this lens, it is indisputable that domestic violence offenders—including those who have had protective orders entered against them—are dangerous and should not be permitted to remain armed.<sup>252</sup>

A distinction drawn by the *Rahimi* court to invalidate firearm restrictions for protective order respondents is that protective orders

248. See Greenlee, *supra* note 242, at 269 (noting that "[n]ineteenth-century prohibitions on arms possession were mostly discriminatory bans on slaves and freedmen."); Robert J. Cottrol & Raymond T. Diamond, *The Second Amendment: Toward an Afro-Americanist Reconsideration*, 80 GEO. L.J. 309, 338 (1991).

249. Other laws disarmed those who "libeled or defamed acts of the Continental Congress" and "all Persons failing or refusing to take the Oath of Allegiance' of any citizenship rights." *See* Greenlee, *supra* note 242, at 264–65. Such restrictions would plainly violate the First Amendment today.

250. Blocher & Carberry, *supra* note 247, at 12.

252. As this Section will establish, the Fifth Circuit's likening of those subject to family violence protective orders to those who commit minor civil infractions, both real and imagined, such as "[p]eople who do not recycle or drive an electric vehicle," is both reckless and disingenuous. *Rahimi*, 61 F.4th at 453.

<sup>246.</sup> See Greenlee, *supra* note 242, at 263 (describing how states such as Maryland, Virginia, and Pennsylvania disarmed Catholics).

<sup>247.</sup> See Joseph Blocher & Caitlan Carberry, *Historical Gun Laws Targeting* "Dangerous" Groups and Outsiders, in NEW HISTORIES OF GUN RIGHTS AND REGULATION: ESSAYS ON THE PLACE OF GUNS IN AMERICAN LAW AND SOCIETY, 131, 136–140 (2023) (detailing restrictions on Native Americans' access to guns in the 17th, 18th, and 19th centuries).

<sup>251.</sup> See Greenlee, *supra* note 242, at 286. Stated another way, sufficient evidence exists to believe that the Framers "thought that gun laws were constitutional so long as they targeted groups of people thought to be dangerous, [and] arguably, that reason is what matters, not the groups to which they affixed that label." Blocher & Carberry, *supra* note 247, at 146.

are civil matters, not criminal adjudications.<sup>253</sup> The court mistakenly claims that protective orders are therefore entered "whether or not there is a 'credible threat to the physical safety' of anyone else."<sup>254</sup> In reality, a protective order is a quasi-criminal remedy that is granted to a survivor to prevent likely and foreseeable violence and danger.

As detailed in Section I.C. above, and as delineated in § 922(g)(8), a protective order cannot be entered without the opportunity for a hearing, during which the petitioner must present evidence to justify the entry of the order. An examination of the chart at Appendix A reveals that the family violence protective order statutes in all 50 states require proof of underlying conduct that could be the basis for a criminal prosecution, including physical or sexual assault, stalking, kidnapping, false imprisonment, or threats.<sup>255</sup>

This, as well as the criminal consequences that attach if a protective order is violated, move it beyond a "mere" civil remedy and dispel the *Rahimi* court's baseless assertions that §922(g)(8) disarms individuals "with no history of violence whatever . . . .<sup>256</sup> To the contrary, empirical evidence supports the legal conclusion that individuals who successfully obtain protective orders against their intimate partners have experienced significant abuse.<sup>257</sup> Researchers report that "[i]n general, women seeking protective orders report a history of severe violence."<sup>258</sup> They have been punched, choked,

257. The risks are not limited to perpetrators' intimate partners. Nearly thirty percent of intimate partner homicides involve additional victims. See Sharon G. Smith et al., Intimate Partner Homicide and Corollary Victims in 16 States: National Violent Death Reporting System, 2003–2009, 104 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 461, 463 (2014). See discussion supra Parts I.B and IV.A regarding the correlation between violence in the home and public violence such as mass shootings and police fatalities.

258. TK Logan et al., Protective Orders in Rural and Urban Areas: A Multiple Perspective Study, 11 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 876, 877 (2005) (citations omitted). See also Kelly Roskam et al., The Case for Domestic Violence Firearm Prohibitions Under Bruen, 51 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 221, 255 (2023) (noting that "the decision to petition [for a Domestic Violence Protective Order] is often precipitated by particularly severe violence."); Jill Theresa Messing et al., Are Abused Women's Protective Actions Associated With Reduced Threats Stalking, and Violence Perpetrated by their Male Intimate Partners?, 23 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 263 (2016) (reporting that 61 percent of women to petitioned for

<sup>253.</sup> *Id.* at 458–59 ("By contrast, § 922(g)(8) disarms people who have merely been civilly adjudicated to be a threat to another person—or, who are simply governed by a civil order that 'by its terms explicitly prohibits the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force,' § 922(g)(8)(C)(ii)[.]")

<sup>254.</sup> Id. at 459.

<sup>255.</sup> See Appendix A.

<sup>256.</sup> *Rahimi*, 61 F.4th at 459. The concurring opinion in *Rahimi* similarly perpetuates problematic and unsubstantiated myths about intimate partner violence, specifically that they are "often misused as a tactical device in divorce proceedings—and issued without any actual threat of danger." *Id.* at 465.

beaten, kicked, burned, set on fire, and raped. They have suffered emotional, psychological, and economic harm, and have been threatened with weapons and words promising lethality."<sup>259</sup> They are also at risk of significant firearm-related abuse; a 2021 study found that survivors who sought protective orders were significantly more likely to report that their abusers threatened them with guns, pointed guns at them, coerced them at gunpoint, and hurt them with guns.<sup>260</sup> These risks are pronounced before a survivor seeks a protective order and oftentimes exacerbated by efforts to obtain one.<sup>261</sup>

In addition to past violence, protective orders are not issued unless the petitioner can demonstrate a likelihood of future harm. When seeking a protective order,

[s]peculative injury is not sufficient; there must be more than an unfounded fear on the part of the applicant. Thus, a preliminary injunction will not be issued simply to prevent the possibility of some remote future injury. A presently existing actual threat must be shown.<sup>262</sup>

The statutory requirements of § 922(g)(8) demand that a qualifying protective order include a finding that the respondent represents a "credible threat to the physical safety" of their intimate partner and "explicitly prohibit[] the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force... that would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury ...."<sup>263</sup> Fortunately, multiple studies have found that protective orders are effective in preventing such abuse.<sup>264</sup>

262. United States v. Emerson, 270 F.3d 203, 262 (5th Cir. 2001).

263. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8)(C)(i-ii).

264. See McFarlane et al., *supra* note 71, at 616 (finding significant reductions in violence among women who sought and qualified for protection orders); Carlson et al., *supra* note 61, at 214–15 (reporting that survivors experience a "significant decline" in the probability of abuse following the entry of a protection order; prior to filing, 68 percent of women reported physical violence; after filing, only 23 percent reported the same).

protective orders against their intimate partners experienced "severe" violence, including forcible sex, burning, and attempted murder).

<sup>259.</sup> Jane K. Stoever, supra note 65, at 1019-20 (citations omitted).

<sup>260.</sup> Kellie R. Lynch et al., *Firearm-Related Abuse and Protective Order Requests Among Intimate Partner Violence Victims*, 37 J. INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE 12973, 12983–84 (2021).

<sup>261.</sup> See discussion supra Part I.B (describing and explaining the escalation of violence that can occur when a survivor of intimate partner violence challenges an abuser's power and control by, for example, seeking a court's protection). Retaliatory violence may "be motivated by knowledge of supportive or protective resources for women, particularly in men who believe such services deprive them of their rightful authority or control in intimate relationships." Dugan et al., supra note 56, at 174.

Although protective orders are, as stated above, quasi-criminal, the fact that they do not necessarily involve the criminal legal system is an important feature for many survivors. This flexibility is likely why "[c]ivil protection orders are . . . the single most frequently used legal remedy to address intimate partner violence."<sup>265</sup> Protective orders are a critical alternative remedy for survivors who seek to prevent abuse but do not want law enforcement involved in their lives.

As explained in Subpart I.C. above, some survivors resist engagement with a criminal legal system that they view with fear, suspicion, or distrust. "Race, class, sexual orientation, immigration status, and other identities may have [a profound impact] on women's decisions to invoke formal systems," making victims from traditionally marginalized communities reluctant or even afraid to seek the assistance of law enforcement.<sup>266</sup> For example, "[v]ictims whose batterers are African American... may be particularly hesitant" to send their batterer to jail "if they view the system as oppressive or racist."<sup>267</sup> Survivors may also be unwilling to call the police because of the reasonable fear that they too may be arrested if a responding officer mistakes self-defense for mutual combat and/or cannot immediately identify a primary aggressor.<sup>268</sup>

A call to the police can lead to the arrest, prosecution, and incarceration of a survivor's partner. A survivor may ultimately determine that the direct and/or collateral consequences of such criminal involvement are too draconian. Moreover, for many people

<sup>265.</sup> Jane K. Stoever, Freedom from Violence: Using the Stages of Change Model to Realize the Promise of Civil Protection Orders, 72 OHIO ST. L.J. 303, 318 (2011). See also Sally F. Goldfarb, Reconceiving Civil Protection Orders for Domestic Violence: Can Law Help End the Abuse Without Ending the Relationship?, 29 CARDOZO L. REV. 1487, 1503–04 (2008) (noting that civil protection orders are, "in the view of many experts, the most effective legal remedy against domestic violence.").

<sup>266.</sup> Leigh Goodmark, Autonomy Feminism: An Anti-Essentialist Critique of Mandatory Interventions in Domestic Violence Cases, 37 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 1, 37 (2009).

<sup>267.</sup> Lauren Bennett et al., Systemic Obstacles to the Criminal Prosecution of a Battering Partner: A Victim Perspective, 14 J. INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE 761, 769 (1991).

<sup>268.</sup> See Shamita Das Dasgupta, A Framework for Understanding Women's Use of Nonlethal Violence in Intimate Heterosexual Relationships, 8 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 1364, 1365 (2002) (summarizing studies of increased rates of arrests of women). Dasgupta notes that women taken into police custody as initiators of violence were in most cases battered themselves, but because they were not identified as victims, "the contexts of their violence... remained invisible." *Id.* at 1375. She calls for increased training that would allow officers to differentiate between defensive and non-defensive violence and to identify a predominant aggressor in situations of domestic abuse. *Id.* at 1382.

facing domestic violence, the removal of a partner from their lives may, perhaps counterintuitively, make them less stable or safe.<sup>269</sup> If, for example, the abuser is the primary breadwinner in a family, their absence can lead to life-threatening economic instability for the survivor upon the sudden absence of income, transportation, and/or childcare. The data show that there is a 50 percent chance that a female victim of domestic violence will drop below the poverty line if she leaves her abuser.<sup>270</sup> Researchers have also found that 38 percent of domestic violence survivors become homeless at some point in their lives.<sup>271</sup>

In sum, contrary to the *Rahimi* court's assertions, a protective order is not a lesser version of a call to the police. It is, instead, a survivor-led remedy, a potentially non-criminal option that allows people in abusive relationships to control how to safely extricate themselves from a violent, sometimes life-threatening, situations.

Courts and scholars agree that "those who are subject to domestic violence protective orders covered by § 922(g)(8) fall within the historical bar of presumptively dangerous persons."<sup>272</sup> It is at this level of generality—the analogue of dangerous persons—that *Bruen* must be understood and applied. Any other methodology would be the "regulatory straightjacket" the Court cautioned against and foreclose nearly all contemporary gun regulations, including lifesaving protections for survivors of domestic violence. As the Court stated, "Of course, the regulatory challenges posed by firearms today are not always the same as those that preoccupied the Founders in 1791 or the Reconstruction generation in 1868. But the Constitution

<sup>269.</sup> See Bennett et al., supra note 267, at 768.

<sup>270.</sup> See Lisa Marie De Sanctis, Bridging the Gap Between the Rules of Evidence & Justice for Victims of Domestic Violence, 8 YALE J.L. & FEMINISM 359, 368 (1995) (citing NATIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE FOR THE DEFENSE OF BATTERED WOMEN, STATISTICS PACKET (3d ed. Fed. 1994)). A participant in a study of low-income survivors of domestic violence explained that she "avoided leaving her abusive husband for years because she feared losing the only wealth she had, her property and home." Cynthia K. Sanders, Economic Abuse in the Lives of Women Abused by an Intimate Partner: A Qualitative Study, 21 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 3, 15 (2014).

<sup>271.</sup> Charlene K. Baker et al., Domestic Violence and Housing Problems: A Contextual Analysis of Women's Help-Seeking, Received Informal Support, and Formal System Response, 9 VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN 754, 766 (2003). See also Domestic Violence and Homelessness, ACLU WOMEN'S RIGHTS PROJECT 1 (Mar. 21, 2006),

https://www.aclu.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/dvhomelessness032106.pdf (explaining that domestic violence is thus a leading cause of homelessness for women).

<sup>272.</sup> United States v. Boyd, 999 F.3d 171, 186 (3d Cir. 2021), cert. denied, 142 S. Ct. 511 (2021).

can, and must, apply to circumstances beyond those the Founders specifically anticipated . . . .  $"^{273}$ 

#### CONCLUSION

In its unnecessarily narrow application of *Bruen*'s new methodology, the Fifth Circuit's decision in *Rahimi* not only endangers women's lives, but turns back the clock to an era when they had few rights and lacked agency and independence.

The Second Amendment is not just about "the right to keep arms for self-defense;" it also encompasses "the inverse right to protect oneself by avoiding arms...."<sup>274</sup> This is an important reminder, particularly when one considers that the regulation of firearms has historically been used by those with power to maintain it. Professors Robert Cottrol and Raymond Diamond explain that denying Black people the right to guns was a means to keep them disempowered.<sup>275</sup> The *Rahimi* court, in using to history to allow domestic abusers' access to firearms, similarly disempowers women.

In 2005, the Supreme Court radically limited the effectiveness of protective orders by holding, in *Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzalez*,<sup>276</sup> that states did not have a constitutional duty to enforce them.<sup>277</sup> By now allowing domestic violence offenders to keep their guns, survivors' ability to protect themselves is further diminished.

https://stephenhalbrook.com/law\_review\_articles/going\_armed.pdf.

276. 545 U.S. 748, 748 (2005).

277. Id. at 768.

<sup>273.</sup> New York State Rifle & Pistol Ass'n, Inc. v. Bruen, 597 U.S. 1, 3 (2022). See also Heller v. D.C. (Heller II), 670 F.3d 1244, 1275 (Kavanaugh, J., dissenting) ("The constitutional principles do not change (absent amendment), but the relevant principles must be faithfully applied not only to circumstances as they existed in 1787, 1791, and 1868, for example, but also to modern situations that were unknown to the Constitution's Framers."). The Court has, when considering the evolution of firearms, recognized the need to consider societal and technological evolution, stating: "the Second Amendment extends, prima facie, to all instruments that constitute bearable arms, even those that were not in existence at the time of the founding." Bruen, 597 U.S. at 28 (quoting Heller, 554 U.S. at 582).

<sup>274.</sup> Joseph Blocher, *The Right Not to Keep or Bear Arms*, 64 STAN. L. REV. 1, 1 (2012).

<sup>275.</sup> See Cottrol & Diamond, supra note 248, at 336–38 (detailing antebellum Southern restrictions on African Americans' use and ownership of guns); Id. at 344–46 (describing Southern statutes, known as "black codes," that disarmed newly freed slaves by forbidding them from carrying firearms without a license). In the 1800s, "in [s]ome states, slaves and persons of color were prohibited from possession or carrying arms without a license or at all." Stephen P. Halbrook, Going Armed with Dangerous and Unusual Weapons to the Terror of the People: How the Common Law Distinguished the Peaceable Keeping and Bearing of Arms, THE ASPEN INST. 8 (Sept. 15, 2016),

Women's rights are eroded and more will die at the hands of their abusers unless the Supreme Court recognizes and reverses the errors of Rahimi.

176

# APPENDIX A

STATE	PROTECTION	DEFINED TERMS
	ORDER STATUTE	
Alabama	ALA. CODE § 30-5-3:	ALA. CODE § 13A-6-130: (a)(1)
	"(a) The courts, as	A person commits the <b>crime</b>
	provided in this	of domestic violence in the
	chapter, shall have	first degree if the person
	jurisdiction to issue	commits the crime of assault
	protection orders.	in the first degree <b>pursuant</b>
	(b) A protection	to Section 13A-6-20;
	order may be	aggravated stalking pursuant
	requested in any	to Section 13A-6-91; or
	<b>pending</b> civil or	burglary in the first degree
	<u>domestic</u>	pursuant to Section 13A-7-5
	<u>relations action,</u>	and the victim is a current or
	as an independent	former spouse, parent, step-
	civil action, or in	parent, child, step-child,
	conjunction with	grandparent, step-
	the preliminary,	grandparent, grandchild, step-
	final, or post-	grandchild, any person with
	judgment relief in a	whom the defendant has a
	civil action.	child in common, a present
	(c) A petition for a	household member, or a
	protection order	person who has or had a
	may be filed in any	dating relationship with the
	of the following	defendant.
	locations:	(2) For the purposes of this
	(1) Where the	section, a household member
	plaintiff or	excludes non-romantic or non-
	defendant resides.	intimate co-residents, and a
	(2) Where the	dating relationship means a
	plaintiff is	current or former relationship
	temporarily located	of a romantic or intimate
	if he or she has left	nature characterized by the
	his or her residence	expectation of affectionate or
	to avoid further	sexual involvement by either
	abuse.	party.
	(3) Where the	ALA. CODE § 13A-6-20: (a) A
	abuse occurred.	person commits the crime of
	(4) Where a civil	assault in the first degree if:
	matter is pending	(1) With intent to cause
	before the court in	serious physical injury to
	which the plaintiff	another person, he or she
	and the defendant	causes serious physical injury

are opposing parties. (d) When custody, visitation, or support, or a combination of them, of a child or children has been established in a previous court order in this state or an action containing any of the issues above is pending in a court in this state in which the plaintiff and the defendant are opposing	to disfigure another person seriously and permanently, or to destroy, amputate, or disable permanently a member or organ of the body of another
parties, a copy of any temporary ex parte protection order issued pursuant to this chapter and the case giving rise thereto should be transferred to the court of original venue of custody, visitation, or support for furthe disposition as soon as practical taking into account the safety of the plaintiff and any children. (e) A minimum period of residency of a plaintiff is not required to petition the court for an order of protection	<ul> <li>manifesting extreme</li> <li>indifference to the value of</li> <li>human life, he or she</li> <li>recklessly engages in conduct</li> <li>which creates a grave risk of</li> <li>death to another person, and</li> <li>thereby causes serious</li> <li>physical injury to any person;</li> <li>or (4) In the course of and in</li> <li>furtherance of the commission</li> <li>or attempted commission of</li> <li>arson in the first degree,</li> <li>burglary in the first or second</li> <li>degree, escape in the first</li> <li>degree, rape in the first</li> <li>degree, rape in the first</li> <li>degree, robbery in any degree,</li> <li>sodomy in the first degree, or</li> <li>any other felony clearly</li> <li>dangerous to human life, or of</li> <li>immediate flight therefrom, he</li> <li>or she causes a serious</li> <li>g physical injury to another</li> <li>person; or (5) While driving</li> <li>under the influence of alcohol</li> <li>or a controlled substance or</li> <li>any combination thereof in</li> <li>violation of Section 32-5A-191</li> <li>or 32-5A-191.3, he or she</li> <li>causes serious physical injury</li> <li>to the person of another with a</li> <li>vehicle or vessel.</li> <li>h. (b) Assault in the first degree</li> </ul>
order of protection	<ul><li>(b) Assault in the first degree is a Class B felony.</li></ul>
	ALA. CODE § 13A-6-131: (a)(1)

of domestic violence in the
second degree if the person
commits the crime of assault
in the second degree pursuant
to Section 13A-6-21; the crime
of intimidating a witness
pursuant to Section 13A-10-
123; the crime of stalking
pursuant to Section 13A-6-90;
the crime of burglary in the
second or third degree
pursuant to Sections 13A-7-6
and 13A-7-7; or the crime of
criminal mischief in the first
degree pursuant to Section
13A-7-21 and the victim is a
current or former spouse,
parent, step-parent, child,
step-child, grandparent, step-
grandparent, grandchild, step-
grandchild, any person with
whom the defendant has a
child in common, a present
household member, or a
person who has or had a
dating relationship with the
defendant.
(2) For the purposes of this
section, a household member
excludes non-romantic or non-
intimate co-residents, and a
dating relationship means a
current or former relationship
of a romantic or intimate
nature characterized by the
expectation of affectionate or
sexual involvement by either
party.
ALA. CODE § 13A-6-21: (a) A
person commits the crime of
assault in the second degree if
the person does any of the
following: (1) With intent to
cause serious physical injury
to another person, he or she
causes serious physical injury
to any person. (2) With intent

	to cause physical injury to another person, he or she causes physical injury to any person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument. ( <b>3</b> ) He or she recklessly causes serious
	physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument. (4)a. With intent to prevent a peace officer, as defined in Section 36-21-60, a
	detention or correctional officer at any municipal or county jail or state penitentiary, emergency medical personnel, a utility worker, or a firefighter from performing a lawful duty, he
	or she intends to cause physical injury and he or she causes physical injury to any person. b. For the purpose of this subdivision, a person who is a peace officer who is
	employed or under contract while off duty by a private or public entity is a peace officer performing a lawful duty when the person is working in his or her approved uniform while off duty with the approval of his
	or her employing law enforcement agency. Provided, however, that nothing contained in this subdivision shall be deemed or construed as amending, modifying, or extending the classification of
	a peace officer as off-duty for workers compensation purposes or any other benefits to which a peace officer may otherwise be entitled to under law when considered on-duty. Additionally, nothing

contained in this subdivision shall be deemed or construed as amending, modifying, or extending the tort liability of any municipality as a result of any action or inaction on the
as amending, modifying, or extending the tort liability of any municipality as a result of
extending the tort liability of any municipality as a result of
any municipality as a result of
part of an off-duty police
officer. (5) With intent to cause
physical injury to a teacher of
to an employee of a public
educational institution during
or as a result of the
performance of his or her dut
he or she causes physical
injury to any person. (6) With
intent to cause physical injur
to a health care worker,
including a nurse, physician,
technician, or any other perso
employed by or practicing at a
hospital as defined in Section
22-21-20; a county or district
health department; a long-
term care facility; a
physician's office, clinic, or
outpatient treatment facility
during the course of or as a
result of the performance of
the duties of the health care
worker or other person
employed by or practicing at
the hospital; the county or
district health department;
any health care facility owned
or operated by the State of
Alabama; the long-term care
facility; the physician's office,
clinic, or outpatient treatmen
facility; or a pharmacist,
pharmacy technician,
pharmacy intern, pharmacy
extern, or pharmacy cashier;
he or she causes physical
injury to any person. This
subdivision shall apply to
assaults on home health care
workers while they are in a

private residence. This
subdivision shall not apply to
assaults by patients who are
impaired by medication. (7)
For a purpose other than
lawful medical or therapeutic
_
treatment, he or she
intentionally causes stupor,
unconsciousness, or other
physical or mental impairment
or injury to another person by
administering to him or her,
without his or her consent, a
drug, substance or preparation
capable of producing the
intended harm. (8) With intent
to cause physical injury to a
Department of Human
Resources employee or any
employee performing social
work, as defined in Section 34-
30-1, during or as a result of
the performance of his or her
duty, he or she causes physical
injury to any person. (b)
Assault in the second degree is
a Class C felony.
ALA. CODE § 13A-6-132: (a)(1)
A person commits domestic
violence in the third
degree if the person commits
the crime of assault in the
third degree pursuant to
Section 13A-6-22; the crime of
menacing pursuant to Section
13A-6-23; the crime of reckless
endangerment pursuant to
Section 13A-6-24; the crime of
criminal coercion pursuant to
Section 13A-6-25; the crime of
harassment pursuant to
subsection (a) of Section 13A-
11-8; the crime of criminal
surveillance pursuant to
Section 13A-11-32; the crime
of harassing communications
pursuant to subsection (b) of

Section 13A-11-8; the crime of
criminal trespass in the third
degree pursuant to Section
13A-7-4; the crime of criminal
mischief in the second or third
degree pursuant to Sections
0 1
13A-7-22 and 13A-7-23; or the
crime of arson in the third
degree pursuant to Section
13A-7-43; and the victim is a
current or former spouse,
parent, step-parent, child,
step-child, grandparent, step-
grandparent, grandchild, step-
grandchild, any person with
whom the defendant has a
child in common, a present
household member, or a
person who has or had a
dating relationship with the
defendant.
(2) For the purpose of this
section, a household member
excludes non-romantic or non-
intimate co-residents, and a
dating relationship means a
current or former relationship
of a romantic or intimate
nature characterized by the
expectation of affectionate or
sexual involvement by either
party.
Ala. Code § 13A-6-22: (a) A
person commits the crime of
assault in the third degree if:
(1) With intent to cause
physical injury to another
person, he causes physical
injury to any person; or (2) He
recklessly causes physical
injury to another person; or (3)
With criminal negligence he
causes physical injury to
another person by means of a
deadly weapon or a dangerous
instrument; or (4) With intent
to prevent a peace officer from
to provent a peace officer from

AlaskaALASKA STAT. ANN. § 18.66.100 (West): (a) A person who is or has been a victim of a crime involving domestic violence may file a petition in the district or superior court for a protective order against a household member. A parent, guardian, or other representative appointed by the court under this section may file a petition for a more the sollowing (C) criminal trespass under AS 11.46.300—11.46.310; (C) criminal mischief under AS 11.46.300—11.46.430; (E) criminal mischief under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (E) vertimal mischief under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (E) vertimal mischief under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (E) vertimal mischief under AS 11.40(a)(5) if the animal is a pet;			
§ 18.66.100 (West):(West): "domestic violence" and "crime involving domestic violence may file a petition in the district or superior court for a protective order against a household member. A parent, guardian, or other representative appointed by the court under this section may file a petition for a protective order on behalf of a minor. The court may appoint a guardian ad litem or attorney to represent the minor.(West): "domestic violence" and "crime involving domestic violence" mean one or more of the following offenses or an offense under a law or ordinance of another jurisdiction having elements attempt to commit the offense, by a household member (A) a crime against the person under AS 11.46.300—11.46.310; (C) criminal mischief under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (D) arson or criminally negligent burning under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (E) criminal mischief under AS 11.66.807 or 11.56.810; (G) violating a protective order under AS 11.56.740(a)(1); (H) harassment under AS 11.61.120(a)(2)—(4) or (6); or (1) cruelty to animals under AS 11.61.140(a)(5) if the animal is a pet;			causes physical injury to any person. (b) Assault in the third degree is a Class A
	Alaska	§ 18.66.100 (West): (a) A person who is or has been a victim of a crime involving domestic violence may file a petition in the district or superior court for a protective order against a household member. A parent, guardian, or other representative appointed by the court under this section may file a petition for a protective order on behalf of a minor. The court may appoint a guardian ad litem or attorney to represent the minor. Notwithstanding AS 25.24.310 or this section, the office of public advocacy may not be appointed as a guardian ad litem or attorney for a minor in a petition filed under this section unless the	(West): "domestic violence" and "crime involving domestic violence" mean one or more of the following offenses or an offense under a law or ordinance of another jurisdiction having elements similar to these offenses, or an attempt to commit the offense, by a household member against another household member: (A) a crime against the person under AS 11.41; (B) burglary under AS 11.46.300—11.46.310; (C) criminal trespass under AS 11.46.320—11.46.330; (D) arson or criminally negligent burning under AS 11.46.400—11.46.430; (E) criminal mischief under AS 11.46.475—11.46.486; (F) terrorist threatening under <u>AS</u> <u>11.56.807</u> or <u>11.56.810</u> ; (G) violating a protective order under <u>AS</u> <u>11.56.740(a)(1)</u> ; (H) harassment under <u>AS</u> <u>11.61.120(a)(2)—(4) or (6)</u> ; or (I) cruelty to animals under <u>AS</u> <u>11.61.140(a)(5)</u> if the animal is

	filed on behalf of	
	the minor.	
Arizona	ARIZ. REV. STAT.	ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
	<u>Ann. § 13-3602</u> :	<u>3601</u> : A. "Domestic violence"
	A. A person may	means any act that is a
	file a verified	dangerous crime against
	petition, as in civil	children as defined in <u>§ 13-</u>
	actions, with a	<u>705</u> or an offense prescribed
	magistrate, justice	in <u>§ 13-1102</u> , <u>13-1103</u> , <u>13-</u>
	of the peace or	<u>1104</u> , <u>13-1105</u> , <u>13-1201</u> , <u>13-</u>
	superior court	<u>1202</u> , <u>13-1203</u> , <u>13-1204</u> , <u>13-</u>
	judge for an order	<u>1302, 13-1303, 13-1304, 13-</u>
	of protection for the	<u>1406, 13-1425, 13-1502, 13-</u>
	purpose of	<u>1503, 13-1504, 13-1602</u> or <u>13-</u>
	restraining a	<u>2810, § 13-2904, subsection A,</u>
	person from	paragraph 1, 2, 3 or 6, <u>§ 13-</u>
	committing an act	<u>2910, subsection A</u> , paragraph
	included in	8 or 9, <u>§ 13-2915, subsection A</u> ,
	<u>domestic</u>	paragraph 3 or <u>§ 13-2916</u> , <u>13-</u>
	<u>violence.</u>	<u>2921, 13-2921.01, 13-2923, 13-</u>
		<u>3019</u> , <u>13-3601.02</u> or <u>13-3623</u> , if
		any of the following applies: 1.
		The relationship between the
		victim and the defendant is
		one of marriage or former
		marriage or of persons
		residing or having resided in
		the same household. 2. The
		victim and the defendant have
		a child in common. 3. The
		victim or the defendant is
		pregnant by the other party. 4.
		The victim is related to the
		defendant or the defendant's
		spouse by blood or court order
		as a parent, grandparent,
		child, grandchild, brother or
		sister or by marriage as a
		parent-in-law, grandparent-in-
		law, stepparent, step-
		grandparent, stepchild, step-
		grandchild, brother-in-law or
		sister-in-law. 5. The victim is a
		child who resides or has
		resided in the same household
		as the defendant and is related
		by blood to a former spouse of

the defendant or to a person
who resides or who has resided
in the same household as the
defendant. 6. The relationship
between the victim and the
defendant is currently or was
previously a romantic or
sexual relationship. The
following factors may be
considered in determining
whether the relationship
between the victim and the
defendant is currently or was
previously a romantic or
sexual relationship:
(a) The type of relationship.
(b) The length of the
relationship.
-
(c) The frequency of the
interaction between the victim
and the defendant.
(d) If the relationship has
terminated, the length of time
since the termination.
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
-
<u>705</u> : <b>"Dangerous crime</b>
against children" means any
of the following that is
committed against a minor
who is under fifteen years of
age: (a) Second degree murder
(b) Aggravated assault
resulting in serious physical
injury or involving the
discharge, use or threatening
exhibition of a deadly weapon
or dangerous instrument (c)
Sexual assault (d) Molestation
of a child (e) Sexual conduct
with a minor (f) Commercial
sexual exploitation of a minor
(g) Sexual exploitation of a
minor (h) Child abuse as
prescribed in § 13-3623,
subsection A, paragraph 1 (i)
Kidnapping (j) Sexual abuse
(k) Taking a child for the

purpose of prostitution as
prescribed in § 13-3206 (l)
Child sex trafficking as
prescribed in § 13-3212 (m)
Involving or using minors in
drug offenses (n) Continuous
sexual abuse of a child (o)
Attempted first degree murder
(p) Sex trafficking (q)
Manufacturing
methamphetamine under
circumstances that cause
physical injury to a minor (r)
Bestiality as prescribed in §
13-1411, subsection A,
paragraph 2 (s) Luring a
minor for sexual exploitation
(t) Aggravated luring a minor
for sexual exploitation (u)
Unlawful age
misrepresentation (v)
Unlawful mutilation (w)
Sexual extortion as prescribed
in § 13-1428
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
<u>1102</u> : A person commits
<b>negligent homicide</b> if with
criminal negligence the person
causes the death of another
person, including an unborn
child.
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
<u>1103</u> : A person commits
manslaughter by doing any
of the following:
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
<u>1104</u> : A person commits
second degree murder if
without premeditation:
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
<u>1105</u> : A person commits <b>first</b>
degree murder if:
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-
1201: A person commits
endangerment by recklessly
endangering another person
with a substantial risk of
with a substantial fish of

imminent death or physical injury. <u>ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-</u> <u>1202</u> : A person commits <b>threatening or</b>	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13- 1202: A person commits	
<u>1202</u> : A person commits	
threatening or	
intimidating if the person	
threatens or intimidates by	
word or conduct	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
$\frac{1203}{1203}$ : A person commits	
assault by	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
<u>1204</u> : A person commits	
aggravated assault	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
<u>1302</u> : A person commits	
custodial interference	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
<u>1303</u> : A person commits	
unlawful imprisonment	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
1304: A person commits	
kidnapping	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
-	
<u>1406</u> : A person commits	
sexual assault	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
<u>1425</u> : Unlawful disclosure	
of images depicting states	
of nudity or specific sexua	L
activities	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
<u>1502</u> : A person commits	
<b>criminal trespass</b> in the	
third degree by	
ARIZ. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-	
1602: A person commits	
criminal damage by	
Arkansas     ARK. CODE ANN. §       0.15.201 (West): (d)     (West): (d)	,
9-15-201  (West): (d) (West): (d)  (West): (d) Physical bases	
A petition may be means: (A) Physical harm,	
filed by: (1) Any bodily injury, assault, or the	
adult family or infliction of fear of imminent	
household member physical harm, bodily injury,	
on behalf of himself or assault between family or	
or herself; (2) Any household members; or (B)	
adult family or Any sexual conduct between	

household member on behalf of another family or household member who is a minor, including a married minor; (3) Any adult family or household member on behalf of another family or household member who has been adjudicated an incompetent; or (4) An employee or volunteer of a	family or household members, whether minors or adults, that constitutes a crime under the laws of this state;
relief shall: (A) Allege the existence of <u>domestic</u> <u>abuse</u> ; (B) Disclose the existence of any pending litigation between the parties; and (C) Disclose any prior filings of a petition for an order of protection under this chapter. (2) The petition shall be accompanied by an affidavit made under oath that states the specific	
facts and circumstances of the domestic abuse and the specific relief sought. (f) The petition may be filed regardless of whether there is	

	any pending	
	litigation between	
	the parties. (g) A	
	person's right to file	
	a petition, or obtain	
	relief hereunder	
	shall not be	
	affected by his or	
	her leaving the	
	residence or	
	household to avoid	
	abuse.	
	us use.	
California	CAL. FAM. CODE §	CAL. FAM. CODE § 6211 (West):
Juniorina	$\frac{0 \text{AL: PAM. CODE g}}{6250 \text{ (West): A}}$	"Domestic violence" is
	judicial officer may	<u>abuse</u> perpetrated against
	issue an ex parte	any of the following
	emergency	<b>persons:</b> (a) A spouse or
	protective order	former spouse. (b) A
	where a law	cohabitant or former
	enforcement officer	cohabitant, as defined in
	asserts reasonable	Section 6209. (c) A person with
	grounds to believe	whom the respondent is
	0	_
	any of the	having or has had a dating or
	following:	engagement relationship. (d) A
	(a) That a person	person with whom the
	is in immediate	respondent has had a child,
	and present	where the presumption applies
	danger of	that the male parent is the
	domestic	father of the child of the
	<u>violence</u> , based on	female parent under the
	the person's	Uniform Parentage Act (Part 3
	allegation of a	(commencing with Section
	recent incident of	7600) of Division 12). (e) A
	abuse or threat of	child of a party or a child who
	abuse by the person	is the subject of an action
	against whom the	under the Uniform Parentage
	order is sought.	Act, where the presumption
	(b) That a child is	applies that the male parent is
	in immediate and	the father of the child to be
	present danger of	protected.
	abuse by a family	(f) Any other person related by
	or household	consanguinity or affinity
	member, based on	within the second degree.
	an allegation of a	<u>CAL. FAM. CODE § 6203 (West)</u> :
	recent incident of	(a) For purposes of this act,

		<b>((1))</b>
	abuse or threat of	" <b>abuse</b> " means any of the
	abuse by the family	following:
	or household	(1) To intentionally or
	member.	recklessly cause or attempt to
	(c) That a child is in	cause <b>bodily injury</b> .
	immediate and	(2) Sexual assault.
	present danger of	(3) To place a person in
	being abducted by a	reasonable apprehension
	parent or relative,	of imminent serious bodily
	based on a	<b>injury</b> to that person or to
	reasonable belief	another.
	that a person has	(4) To engage in any behavior
	_	
	an intent to abduct	that has been or could be
	the child or flee	enjoined pursuant to Section
	with the child from	6320.
	the jurisdiction or	(b) Abuse is not limited to the
	based on an	actual infliction of physical
	allegation of a	injury or assault.
	recent threat to	<u>CAL. FAM. CODE § 6320 (West)</u> :
	abduct the child or	(a) The court may issue an ex
	flee with the child	parte order enjoining a party
	from the	from molesting, attacking,
	jurisdiction.	striking, stalking, threatening,
	(d) That an elder or	sexually assaulting, battering,
	dependent adult is	credibly impersonating as
	in immediate and	described in Section 528.5 of
	present danger of	the Penal Code, falsely
	abuse as defined in	personating as described in
	Section 15610.07 of	Section 529 of the Penal Code,
	the Welfare and	harassing, telephoning,
	Institutions Code,	including, but not limited to,
	based on an	making annoying telephone
	allegation of a	calls as described in Section
	recent incident of	653m of the Penal Code,
	abuse or threat of	destroying personal property,
	abuse by the person	contacting, either directly or
	against whom the	indirectly, by mail or
	order is sought,	otherwise, coming within a
	except that no	specified distance of, or
	emergency	disturbing the peace of the
	protective order	other party, and, in the
	shall be issued	discretion of the court, on a
	based solely on an	showing of good cause, of other
	allegation of	named family or household
	financial abuse.	-
	manciai abuse.	members.
<u>Oalaas 1</u>	Coro Dry Creer	Coro Dry Cmam Appy 6 10 14
Colorado	COLO. REV. STAT.	<u>COLO. REV. STAT. ANN. § 13-14-</u>

<u>ANN. § 13-14-103</u>	<u>101 (West)</u> : " <b>Domestic</b>
<u>(West)</u> : (1)(a) Any	abuse" means any act,
county or district	attempted act, or threatened
court shall have the	act of violence, stalking,
authority to enter	harassment, or coercion
an emergency	that is committed by any
protection order	person against another person
pursuant to the	to whom the actor is currently
provisions of this	or was formerly related, or
subsection (1).	with whom the actor is living
COLO. REV. STAT.	or has lived in the same
<u>ANN. § 13-14-104.5</u>	domicile, or with whom the
<u>(West)</u> : (1)(a) Any	actor is involved or has been
municipal court of	involved in an intimate
record, if	relationship. A sexual
authorized by the	relationship may be an
municipal	indicator of an intimate
governing body;	relationship but is never a
any county court;	necessary condition for finding
and any district,	an intimate relationship. For
probate, or juvenile	purposes of this subsection (2),
court shall have	"coercion" includes
original concurrent	compelling a person by
jurisdiction to issue	force, threat of force, or
a temporary or	intimidation to engage in
permanent civil	conduct from which the
protection order	person has the right or
against an adult or	privilege to abstain, or to
against a juvenile	abstain from conduct in which
who is ten years of	the person has a right or
age or older for any	privilege to engage. "Domestic
of the following	abuse" may also include any
purposes:	act, attempted act, or
(I) To prevent	threatened act of violence
assaults and	against:
threatened bodily	(a) The minor children of
harm;	either of the parties; or
(II) To prevent	(b) An animal owned,
domestic abuse;	possessed, leased, kept, or held
(III) To prevent	by either of the parties or by a
emotional abuse of	minor child of either of the
the elderly or of an	parties, which threat, act, or
at-risk adult;	attempted act is intended to
(IV) To prevent	coerce, control, punish,
sexual assault or	intimidate, or exact revenge
abuse; and	upon either of the parties or a
(V) To prevent	minor child of either of the

	stalking.	parties.
	starking.	parties.
Connecticut	CONN. GEN. STAT.	CONN. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 46b-1
**some of the	ANN. § 46b-15	(West): (b) As used in this title,
coercion	$\frac{\text{ANN. § 400-15}}{(\text{West})}$ : (a) Any	"domestic violence" means:
aspects here	family or household	(1) A continuous <b>threat of</b>
differentiate	member, as defined	present physical pain or
this	in section 46b-38a,	physical injury against a
definition of	who is the victim	family or household member,
domestic	of <u>domestic</u>	as defined in section 46b-38a;
violence from	violence, as	(2) <b>stalking</b> , including, but
criminal acts	defined in	not limited to, stalking as
criminal acts	section 46b-1, by	described in section 53a-181d,
	another family or	of such family or household
	household member	member; (3) a pattern of
	may make an	threatening, including, but
	application to the	not limited to, a pattern of
	Superior Court for	threatening as described in
	relief under this	section 53a-62, of such family
	section. The court	or household member or a
	shall provide any	third party that intimidates
	person who applies	such family or household
	for relief under this	member; or (4) <b>coercive</b>
	section with the	control of such family or
	information set	household member, which is a
	forth in section 46b-	pattern of behavior that in
	15b.	purpose or effect unreasonably
	(b) The court, in its	interferes with a person's free
	discretion, may	will and personal liberty.
	make such orders	"Coercive control" includes,
	as it deems	but is not limited to,
	appropriate for the	unreasonably engaging in any
	protection of the	of the following:
	applicant and such	(A) <b>Isolating</b> the family or
	dependent children	household member from
	or other persons as	friends, relatives or other
	the court sees	sources of support;
	fit Such orders	(B) <b>Depriving</b> the family or
	may include	household member of basic
	temporary child	necessities;
	custody or	(C) <b>Controlling</b> , regulating or
	visitation rights,	monitoring the family or
	and such relief may	household member's
	include, but is not	movements, communications,
	limited to, an order	daily behavior, finances,
	enjoining the	economic resources or access to
	enjoining the	economic resources or access to

	respondent from (1)	services;
	imposing any	(D) <b>Compelling</b> the family or
	restraint upon the	household member by force,
	person or liberty of	threat or intimidation,
	the applicant; (2)	including, but not limited to,
	threatening,	threats based on actual or
	harassing,	suspected immigration status,
	assaulting,	to (i) engage in conduct from
	molesting, sexually	which such family or
		-
	assaulting or	household member has a right
	attacking the	to abstain, or (ii) abstain from
	applicant; or (3)	conduct that such family or
	entering the family	household member has a right
	dwelling or the	to pursue;
	dwelling of the	(E) Committing or
	applicant.	threatening to commit
		<b>cruelty</b> to animals that
		intimidates the family or
		household member; or
		(F) Forced sex acts, or
		threats of a sexual nature,
		including, but not limited to,
		threatened acts of sexual
		conduct, threats based on a
		person's sexuality or threats to
		release sexual images.
Delaware	Del. Code Ann. tit.	DEL. CODE ANN. tit. 10, § 1041
Delaware	<u>10, § 1042 (West):</u>	(West) :
		" <b>Protective order</b> " means an
	(a) A request for relief from domestic	
		order issued by the court to a
	violence is initiated	respondent restraining said
	by the filing of a	respondent from committing
	verified petition by	domestic violence against
	the petitioner, or by	the petitioner, or a person in
	the Division of	whose interest a petition is
	Child Protective	brought, and may include such
	Services or the	measures as are necessary in
	Division of Adult	order to prevent domestic
	Protective Services,	violence.
	asking the court to	"Domestic violence" means
	issue a protective	<b><u>abuse</u></b> perpetrated by 1
	order against the	member against another
	respondent.	member of the following
	DEL. CODE ANN. tit.	protected classes:
	10, § 1043 (West):	a. Family, as that term is
	(a) A petitioner	defined in § 901(12) of this
	may request an	title, regardless, however, of
	may request an	

I		
	emergency	state of residence of the
	protective order by	parties, or whether parental
	filing an affidavit	rights have been terminated;
	or verified pleading	or
	alleging that there	b. Former spouses; persons
	is an immediate	cohabitating together who are
	and present danger	holding themselves out as a
	of domestic violence	couple, with or without a child
	to the petitioner or	in common; persons living
	to a minor child of	separate and apart with a
	the petitioner or to	child in common; or persons in
	an adult who is	a current or former
	impaired.	substantive dating
	-	relationship. For purposes of
		this paragraph, neither a
		casual acquaintanceship nor
		ordinary fraternization
		between 2 individuals in
		business or social contexts
		shall be deemed to constitute a
		substantive dating
		relationship. Factors to
		consider for a substantive
		dating relationship may
		include the length of the
		relationship, or the type of
		relationship, or the frequency
		of interaction between the
		parties.
		(1) " <b>Abuse</b> " means conduct
		which constitutes the
		following:
		a. Intentionally or recklessly
		causing or attempting to cause
		physical injury or a sexual
		<b>offense</b> , as defined in § 761 of
		Title 11;
		b. Intentionally or recklessly
		placing or attempting to place
		another person in <b>reasonable</b>
		apprehension of physical
		injury or sexual offense to
		such person or another;
		c. Intentionally or recklessly
		damaging, destroying or
		taking the tangible
		<b>property</b> of another person;
		r-roug of another person,

<b>[</b>		
		d. Engaging in a course of
		alarming or distressing
		conduct in a manner which is
		likely to cause fear or
		emotional distress or to
		provoke a violent or disorderly
		response;
		e. <b>Trespassing</b> on or in
		property of another person, or
		on or in property from which
		the trespasser has been
		excluded by court order;
		f. Child abuse, as defined in
		Chapter 9 of Title 16;
		g. Unlawful imprisonment,
		kidnapping, interference
		with custody and coercion, as
		defined in Title 11; or
		h. Any other conduct which a
		reasonable person under the
		circumstances would find
		threatening or harmful.
		timeatening of narmitui.
Florida	FLA. STAT. ANN. §	FLA. STAT. ANN. § 741.28
lionaa	<u>741.30 (West)</u> : (a)	<u>(West)</u> : "Domestic violence"
	Any person	means any <b>assault</b> ,
	described in	aggravated assault,
	paragraph (e), who	battery, aggravated
	is either the victim	battery, sexual assault,
	of <u>domestic</u>	sexual battery, stalking,
	violence as	aggravated stalking,
	defined in s.	kidnapping, false
	<b>741.28</b> or has	imprisonment, or any
	reasonable cause	criminal offense resulting in
	to believe he or she	physical injury or death of one
	is in imminent	family or household member
	danger of	by another family or household
	becoming the	member.
	victim of any act of	
	domestic violence,	
	has standing in	
	the circuit court to	
	file a sworn	
	petition for an	
1		
	injunction for protection	

	against domestic	
	violence.	
Georgia	<u>Ga. Code Ann. §</u>	<u>GA. CODE ANN. § 19-13-1</u>
*Language	<u>19-13-4 (West)</u> : (a)	(West): As used in this article,
specifically	The court may,	the term <b>"family violence</b> "
cites criminal	upon the filing	means the occurrence of one or
acts	of a verified	more of the following acts
	petition, grant	between past or present
	any protective	spouses, persons who are
	order or approve	parents of the same child,
	any consent	parents and children,
	agreement to	stepparents and stepchildren,
	bring about a	foster parents and foster
	cessation of acts	children, or other persons
	of <u>family</u>	living or formerly living in the
	violence. The	same household:
	court shall not	(1) Any <b>felony</b> ; or
	have the authority	(2) Commission of offenses of
	to issue or approve	battery, simple battery,
	mutual protective	simple assault, assault,
	orders concerning	stalking, criminal damage
	paragraph (1), (2),	to property, unlawful
	(5), (9), or (11) of	restraint, or criminal
	this subsection, or	trespass.
	any combination	The term "family violence"
	thereof, unless the	shall not be deemed to include
	respondent has	reasonable discipline
	filed a verified	administered by a parent to a
	petition as a	child in the form of corporal
	counter petition	punishment, restraint, or
	pursuant to Code	detention.
	Section 19-13-3 no	
	later than three	
	days, not including	
	Saturdays,	
	Sundays, and legal	
	holidays, prior to	
	the hearing and	
	the provisions of	
	Code Section 19-	
	13-3 have been	
	satisfied. The	
	orders or	
	agreements may:	
	(1) Direct the	
	respondent to	
	refrain from such	

	1
acts;	
(2) Grant to a	
party possession of	
the residence or	
household of the	
parties and	
exclude the other	
party from the	
residence or	
household;	
(3) Require a party	
to provide suitable	
alternate housing	
_	
for a spouse,	
former spouse, or	
parent and the	
parties' child or	
children;	
(4) Award	
temporary custody	
of minor children	
and establish	
temporary	
visitation rights;	
(5) Order the	
eviction of a party	
from the residence	
or household and	
order assistance to	
the victim in	
returning to it, or	
order assistance in	
retrieving personal	
property of the	
victim if the	
respondent's	
eviction has not	
been ordered;	
(6) Order either	
party to make	
payments for the	
support of a minor	
child as required	
by law;	
(7) Order either	
party to make	
payments for the	

[	-	[]
	support of a	
	spouse as required	
	by law;	
	(8) Provide for	
	possession of	
	personal property	
	of the parties;	
	(9) Order the	
	respondent to	
	refrain from	
	harassing or	
	interfering with	
	the victim;	
	(10) Award costs	
	and attorney's fees	
	to either party;	
	and	
	and	
	(11) Order the	
	respondent to	
	receive	
	appropriate	
	psychiatric or	
	psychological	
	services as a	
	further measure to	
	prevent the	
	recurrence of	
	family violence.	
Hawaii	HAW. REV. STAT.	HAW. REV. STAT. ANN. § 586-1
IIawaii		<u>(West)</u> : " <b>Domestic abuse</b> "
	$\frac{\text{ANN. } \$ 586-3}{(\text{Weather})  Theorem$	
	(West): a) There	means:
	shall exist <b>an</b>	(1) <b>Physical harm, bodily</b>
	action known as	injury, assault, or the threat
	a petition for an	of imminent physical harm,
	order for	<b>bodily injury</b> , or <b>assault</b> ,
	protection in	extreme psychological
	cases of	<u>abuse</u> , <u>coercive control</u> , or
	domestic abuse.	malicious property damage
	(b) A petition for	between family or household
	relief under this	members; or
	chapter may be	(2) Any act which would
	made by:	constitute an offense under
	(1) Any family or	section 709-906, or under part
	household member	V or VI of chapter 707
		v or vior chapter (U)
		_
	on the member's	committed against a minor
		_

or household	household member.
member who is a	"Coercive control" means a
minor or who is an	pattern of threatening,
incapacitated	humiliating, or intimidating
person as defined	actions, which may include
in section 560:5-	assaults, or other abuse that is
102 or who is	used to harm, punish, or
physically unable	frighten an individual.
to go to the	"Coercive control" includes a
appropriate place	pattern of behavior that seeks
to complete or file	to take away the individual's
the petition; or	liberty or freedom and strip
(2) Any state	away the individual's sense of
agency on behalf of	self, including bodily integrity
a person who is a	and human rights, whereby
minor or who is an	the "coercive control" is
incapacitated	designed to make an
person as defined	individual dependent by
in section 560:5-	isolating them from support,
102 or a person	exploiting them, depriving
who is physically	them of independence, and
unable to go to the	regulating their everyday
appropriate place	behavior including: (1)
to complete or file	Isolating the individual from
the petition on	friends and family; (2)
behalf of that	Controlling how much money
person.	is accessible to the individual
	and how it is spent; (3)
	Monitoring the individual's
	activities, communications,
	and movements; (4) Name-
	calling, degradation, and
	demeaning the individual
	frequently; (5) Threatening to
	harm or kill the individual or a
	child or relative of the
	individual; (6) Threatening to
	publish information or make
	reports to the police or the
	authorities; (7) Damaging
	property or household goods;
	and (8) Forcing the individual
	to take part in criminal
	activity or child abuse.
	"Extreme psychological
	abuse" means an intentional
	or knowing course of conduct

		directed at an individual that
		seriously alarms or disturbs
		consistently or continually
		bothers the individual, and
		that serves no legitimate
		purpose; provided that such
		course of conduct would cause
		a reasonable person to suffer
<b>T</b> 1 1	T C A	extreme emotional distress.
Idaho	<u>Idaho Code Ann.</u>	<u>IDAHO CODE ANN. § 39-6303</u>
	<u>§ 39-6304 (West)</u> :	(West): "Domestic violence"
	There shall exist	means the <b>physical injury</b> ,
	an action known	sexual abuse or forced
	as a " <b>petition for</b>	imprisonment or threat
	a protection	thereof of a family or
	order" in cases	household member, or of a
	of <u>domestic</u>	minor child by a person with
	<u>violence</u> .	whom the minor child has had
		or is having a dating
		relationship, or of an adult by
		a person with whom the adult
		has had or is having a dating
		relationship.
T11' ' .		4
		750 ITT COMD STAT ANN
Illinois	750 ILL. COMP. STAT. ANN. 60/214:	750 ILL. COMP. STAT. ANN.
11111018	STAT. ANN. 60/214:	<u>60/103</u> :
11111015	<u>STAT. ANN. 60/214</u> : (a) Issuance of	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical
linnois	STAT. ANN. 60/214: (a) Issuance of order. If the court	60/103 : "Abuse" means <u>physical</u> abuse, harassment,
lilinois	<u>STAT. ANN. 60/214</u> : (a) Issuance of order. If the court finds that	60/103 : "Abuse" means <u>physical</u> <u>abuse, harassment,</u> <u>intimidation of a</u>
linnois	<u>STAT. ANN. 60/214</u> : (a) Issuance of order. If the court finds that petitioner has	60/103 : "Abuse" means <u>physical</u> <u>abuse</u> , <u>harassment</u> , <u>intimidation of a</u> <u>dependent</u> , <u>interference</u>
lilinois	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by a	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or
lilinois	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily or	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does
lilinois	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhousehold	60/103 : "Abuse" means <u>physical</u> <u>abuse</u> , <u>harassment</u> , <u>intimidation of a</u> <u>dependent</u> , <u>interference</u> <u>with personal liberty</u> or <u>willful deprivation</u> but does not include reasonable
lilinois	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or that	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is a	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adult	60/103 : <b>"Abuse"</b> means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis.
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is a	60/103 : <b>"Abuse"</b> means <u>physical</u> <u>abuse</u> , <u>harassment</u> , <u>intimidation of a</u> <u>dependent</u> , <u>interference</u> <u>with personal liberty</u> or <u>willful deprivation</u> but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. <b>"Harassment"</b> means
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adult	60/103 : <b>"Abuse"</b> means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis.
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has been	60/103 : <b>"Abuse"</b> means <u>physical</u> <u>abuse</u> , <u>harassment</u> , <u>intimidation of a</u> <u>dependent</u> , <u>interference</u> <u>with personal liberty</u> or <u>willful deprivation</u> but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. <b>"Harassment"</b> means
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, or	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in this	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in thisAct, an order of	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable under the circumstances;
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in thisAct, an order ofprotection	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable under the circumstances; would cause a reasonable person emotional distress; and
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in thisAct, an order ofprohibiting the	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable under the circumstances; would cause a reasonable person emotional distress; and does cause emotional distress
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in thisAct, an order ofprohibiting theabuse, neglect,	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable under the circumstances; would cause a reasonable person emotional distress; and does cause emotional distress to the petitioner. Unless the
	STAT. ANN. 60/214:(a) Issuance oforder. If the courtfinds thatpetitioner hasbeen abused by afamily orhouseholdmember or thatpetitioner is ahigh-risk adultwho has beenabused,neglected, orexploited, asdefined in thisAct, an order ofprohibiting the	60/103 : "Abuse" means physical abuse, harassment, intimidation of a dependent, interference with personal liberty or willful deprivation but does not include reasonable direction of a minor child by a parent or person in loco parentis. "Harassment" means knowing conduct which is not necessary to accomplish a purpose that is reasonable under the circumstances; would cause a reasonable person emotional distress; and does cause emotional distress

-	ovided that	the following types of conduct
-	titioner must	shall be presumed to cause
als	o satisfy the	emotional distress:(i) creating
rec	quirements of	a disturbance at petitioner's
one	e of the	place of employment or school;
foll	lowing Sections,	(ii) repeatedly telephoning
as	appropriate:	petitioner's place of
	ction 217 on	employment, home or
	ergency orders,	residence; (iii) repeatedly
	ction 218 on	following petitioner about in a
	erim orders, or	public place or places; (iv)
	ction 219 on	repeatedly keeping petitioner
	enary orders.	under surveillance by
-	titioner shall	remaining present outside his
	t be denied an	or her home, school, place of
	ler of protection	employment, vehicle or other
	cause petitioner	place occupied by petitioner or
	respondent is a	by peering in petitioner's
	nor. The court,	windows; (v) improperly
	en determining	concealing a minor child from
	ether or not to	petitioner, repeatedly
	ue an order of	threatening to improperly
-	otection, shall	remove a minor child of
	t require	petitioner's from the
	ysical	jurisdiction or from the
	inifestations of	physical care of petitioner,
	use on the	repeatedly threatening to
per	rson of the	conceal a minor child from
	tim.	petitioner, or making a single
Mo	odification and	such threat following an actual
ext	cension of prior	or attempted improper
ord	lers of	removal or concealment,
pro	otection shall be	unless respondent was fleeing
ina	accordance with	an incident or pattern of
thi	s Act.	domestic violence; or (vi)
		threatening physical force,
		confinement or restraint on
		one or more occasions.
		<b>"Interference with</b>
		personal liberty" means
		committing or threatening
		physical abuse, harassment,
		intimidation or willful
		deprivation so as to compel
		another to engage in conduct
		from which she or he has a
		right to abstain or to refrain
		right to abstant or to refrain

		from conduct in which she or
		he has a right to engage.
		"Intimidation of a
		<b>dependent</b> " means subjecting
		a person who is dependent
		because of age, health or
		disability to participation in or
		the witnessing of: physical
		force against another or
		physical confinement or
		restraint of another which
		constitutes physical abuse as
		defined in this Act, regardless
		of whether the abused person
		is a family or household
		member.
		"Willful deprivation" means
		wilfully denying a person who
		because of age, health or
		disability requires medication,
		medical care, shelter,
		accessible shelter or services,
		food, therapeutic device, or
		other physical assistance, and
		thereby exposing that person
		to the risk of physical, mental
		or emotional harm, except
		with regard to medical care or
		treatment when the dependent
		person has expressed an intent
		to forgo such medical care or
		treatment. This paragraph
		does not create any new
		affirmative duty to provide
		support to dependent persons.
Indiana	IND. CODE ANN. §	IND. CODE ANN. § 34-6-2-34.5
	140.00000000000000000000000000000000000	$\frac{(West)}{(West)}$ : "Domestic or family
	(a) A person who is	violence" means, except for
	or has been a	an act of self-defense, the
	victim of	occurrence of at least one (1) of
	domestic or	the following acts committed
	<u>family violence</u>	by a family or household
	may file a petition	member:
	for an order for	(1) Attempting to cause,
	protection against	threatening to cause, or

[		
	a:	causing <b>physical harm</b> to
	(1) family or	another family or household
	household member	member.
	who commits an	(2) Placing a family or
	act of domestic or	household member in <b>fear of</b>
	family violence; or	physical harm.
	(2) person who has	(3) Causing a family or
	committed	household member to
	stalking under IC	involuntarily engage in
	35-45-10-5 or a sex	sexual activity by force,
	offense under IC	threat of force, or duress.
	35-42-4 against	(4) Abusing (as described in IC
	the petitioner.	35-46-3-0.5), torturing (as
	(b) A person who is	described in IC 35-46-3-0.5),
	or has been	mutilating (as described in IC
	subjected to	35-46-3-0.5), or killing a
	harassment may	vertebrate animal without
	file a petition for	justification with the intent to
	an order for	threaten, intimidate, coerce,
	protection against	harass, or terrorize a family or
	a person who has	household member.
	committed	For purposes of IC 34-26-5,
	repeated acts of	domestic and family violence
	harassment	also includes <b>stalking</b> (as
	against the	defined in IC 35-45-10-1) or a
	petitioner.	sex offense under IC 35-42-4,
	(c) A parent, a	whether or not the stalking or
	guardian, or	sex offense is committed by a
	another	family or household member.
	representative	
	may file a petition	
	for an order for	
	protection on	
	behalf of a child	
	against a:	
	(1) family or	
	household member	
	who commits an	
	act of domestic or	
	family violence;	
	(2) person who has	
	committed	
	stalking under IC	
	35-45-10-5 or a sex	
	offense under IC	
	35-42-4 against	
	the child;	

Iowa	<ul> <li>(3) person who has committed repeated acts of harassment against the child; or</li> <li>(4) person who engaged in a course of conduct involving repeated or continuing contact with a child that is intended to prepare or condition a child for sexual activity (as defined in IC 35-42-4-13).</li> <li><u>IOWA CODE ANN. §</u> <u>915.50 (West)</u>: In addition to other viatim rights</li> </ul>	IOWA CODE ANN. § 236.2 (West): "Domestic abuse" means committing <u>assault</u> as defined in contion 708.1 under
Iowa	or (4) person who engaged in a course of conduct involving repeated or continuing contact with a child that is intended to prepare or condition a child for sexual activity (as defined in IC 35-42-4-13). <u>IOWA CODE ANN. §</u> <u>915.50 (West)</u> : In	(West): "Domestic abuse"
	receive a no- contact order upon a finding of probable cause, pursuant to sections 236.3 through 236.10 and sections 236A.3 and 236A.11.	<ul> <li>alvorced from each other and not residing together at the time of the assault.</li> <li>c. The assault is between persons who are parents of the same minor child, regardless of whether they have been married or have lived together at any time.</li> <li>d. The assault is between persons who have been family or household members residing together within the past year and are not residing together at the time of the assault.</li> </ul>

		o (1) The accoult is between
		e. (1) The assault is between
		persons who are in an intimate
		relationship or have been in an
		intimate relationship and have
		had contact within the past
		year of the assault.
		<u>IOWA CODE ANN. § 708.1</u>
		(West): 1. An <b>assault</b> as
		defined in this section is a
		general intent crime.
		2. A person commits an
		assault when, without
		justification, the person does
		any of the following:
		a. Any act which <b>is intended</b>
		-
		to cause pain or injury to,
		or which is intended to result
		in physical contact which will
		be insulting or offensive to
		another, coupled with the
		apparent ability to execute the
		act.
		b. Any act which is <b>intended</b>
		to place another in fear of
		immediate physical contact
		which will be painful,
		injurious, insulting, or
		offensive, coupled with the
		apparent ability to execute
		the act.
		c. Intentionally <b>points any</b>
		firearm toward another, or
		displays in a threatening
		manner any dangerous
		weapon toward another.
		d. (1) Intentionally points a
		laser emitting a visible light
		beam at another person with
		the intent to cause pain or
		injury to another.
Kansas	KAN STAT ANN S	KAN. STAT. ANN. § 60-3102
mansas	KAN. STAT. ANN. §	
	<u>60-3104 (West)</u> : An	(West): "Abuse" means the
	intimate partner	occurrence of one or more of
	or household	the following acts between
	member may	intimate partners or
	seek relief under	household members:
	the protection	(1) Intentionally attempting

	from abuse act	to gauge hedily injumy or
		to cause bodily injury, or
	<b>by</b> filing a verified	intentionally or recklessly
	petition with any	causing bodily injury.
	judge of the	(2) Intentionally placing, by
	district court or	physical threat, another in
	with the clerk of	fear of imminent bodily
	the court <b>alleging</b>	injury.
	<u><b>abuse</b></u> by another	(3) Engaging in any <b>sexual</b>
	intimate partner	contact or attempted
	or household	sexual contact with
	member.	another person without
		<b>consent</b> or when such person
		is incapable of giving consent.
		(4) Engaging in any of the
		following acts with a minor
		under 16 years of age who is
		not the spouse of the offender:
		(A) The act of sexual
		intercourse; or
		(B) any lewd fondling or
		touching of the person of
		either the minor or the
		offender, done or submitted to
		with the intent to arouse or to
		satisfy the sexual desires of
		either the minor or the
		offender, or both.
Kentucky	Ky. Rev. Stat.	2023 Ky. Acts 160:Domestic
	<u>Ann. § 403.725</u>	violence and abuse" means:
	<u>(West)</u> : (1) A	(a) <b>Physical injury, serious</b>
	petition for an	physical injury, stalking,
	order of protection	sexual assault,
	may be filed by:	strangulation, assault, or
	(a) A victim of	the infliction of fear of
	domestic	imminent physical injury,
	violence and	serious physical injury,
	abuse; or	sexual assault,
	(b) An adult on	strangulation, or assault
	behalf of a victim	between family members or
	who is a minor	members of an unmarried
	otherwise	couple; or
	qualifying for	(b) Any conduct prohibited by
	relief under this	KRS 525.125, 525.130,
	subsection.	525.135, or 525.137, or the
	5005000000	infliction of fear of such
		imminent conduct, taken
	l	against a domestic animal

		nen used as a method of ercion, control, punishment,
		timidation, or revenge
		rected against a family
		ember or member of an
		married couple who has a
		ose bond of affection to the
	do	mestic animal;
Louisiana	LA. STAT. ANN. §	LA. STAT. ANN. § 46:2132:
*Language	<u>46:2136</u> : The <b>court</b>	"Domestic abuse"
specifically	may grant any	includes but is not limited
cites criminal	protective order or	to <b>physical or sexual</b>
acts	approve any	abuse and any offense
	consent agreement	against the person,
	to bring about a	physical or non-
	cessation of	physical, as defined in
	<u>domestic abuse as</u>	the Criminal Code of
	defined in R.S.	Louisiana, except
	46:2132, or the threat	negligent injury and
	or danger thereof, to a	defamation, committed by
	party, any minor	one family member,
	children, or any	household member, or
	person alleged to be	dating partner against
	incompetent, which	another. "Domestic abuse"
	relief may include but	also includes <u>abuse of</u>
	is not limited to:	adults as defined in R.S.
	(1) Granting the relief	15:1503 when committed
	enumerated in R.S.	by an adult child or adult
	46:2135.	grandchild.
	(2) Where there is a	LA. STAT. ANN. § 15:1503:
	duty to support a	"Abuse" means the
	party, any minor	infliction of physical or
	children, or any	mental injury, or actions
	person alleged to be	which may reasonably be
	incompetent living in	expected to inflict physical
	the residence or	injury, on an adult by
	household, ordering	other parties, including
	, 0	but not limited to such
	payment of temporary	
	support or provision of	means as sexual abuse,
	suitable housing for	abandonment, isolation,
	them, or granting	exploitation, or extortion of
	possession to the	funds or other things of
	petitioner of the	value.
	residence or household	
	to the exclusion of the	
	defendant, by evicting	
	the defendant or	

restoring possession to the petitioner where the residence is solely owned by the	
the residence is solely	1
the residence is solely	
-	
o will du by ville	
defendant and the	
petitioner has been	
awarded the	
temporary custody of	
the minor children	
born of the parties.	
(3) Awarding	
temporary custody of	
or establishing	
temporary visitation	
rights and conditions	
with regard to any	
minor children or	
person alleged to be	
incompetent.	
(4)(a) Ordering either	
a medical or mental	
health evaluation or	
both of the perpetrator	
to be conducted by an	
independent court-	
appointed evaluator	
who qualifies as an	
expert in the field of	
domestic abuse. The	
evaluation shall be	
conducted by a person	
who has no family,	
financial, or prior	
medical or mental	
health relationship	
with the perpetrator	
or his attorney of	
record.	
(b) After a medical or	
mental health	
evaluation has been	
completed and a	
report issued, the	
court may order	
counseling or other	
medical or mental	
health treatment as	

	deemed appropriate.	
Maine	ME. REV. STAT. ANN.	ME. REV. STAT. ANN. tit.
	tit. 19-A, § 4108: 1.	<u>19-A, § 4102: "Abuse"</u>
	Temporary orders.	means the occurrence of
	The court may enter	the following acts:
	temporary orders	A. Attempting to cause or
	authorized under	causing <b>bodily injury or</b>
	subsection 2 that it	offensive physical
	considers necessary	<b>contact</b> , including sexual
	to protect a plaintiff	assaults under Title 17-A,
	or minor child from	chapter 11, except that
	<u>abuse</u> , on good cause	contact as described in
	shown in an ex parte	Title 17-A, section 106,
	proceeding, which the	subsection 1 is excluded
	court shall hear and	from this definition;
	determine as	B. Attempting to place or
	expeditiously as	placing another in fear of
	practicable after the	<b>bodily injury</b> , regardless
	filing of a complaint.	of intent, through any
	Immediate and	course of conduct,
	present danger of	including, but not limited
	abuse to the plaintiff	to, threatening, harassing
	or minor child	or tormenting behavior;
	constitutes good cause.	C. Compelling a person by
	A temporary order	force, <b>threat of force or</b>
	remains in effect	intimidation:
	pending a hearing	(1) To engage in conduct
	pursuant to section	from which the person has
	4109.	a right or privilege to
	2. Interim relief. The	abstain; or
	court, in an ex parte	(2) To abstain from
	proceeding, may enter	conduct in which the
	temporary orders:	person has a right to
	A. Concerning the	engage;
	parental rights and	D. Knowingly
	responsibilities	restricting
	relating to minor	substantially the
	children for whom the	movements of another
	parties are	<b>person</b> without that
	responsible;	person's consent or other
	B. Enjoining the	lawful authority by:
	defendant from	(1) Removing that person
	engaging in the	from that person's
	following:	residence, place of
	(1) Imposing a	business or school;
	restraint upon the	(2) Moving that person a
	person or liberty of the	substantial distance from

	plaintiff;	the vicinity where that
	(2) Threatening,	person was found; or
	assaulting, molesting,	(3) Confining that person
	harassing, attacking	for a substantial period
	or otherwise	either in the place where
	disturbing the peace of	the restriction commences
	the plaintiff;	or in a place to which that
	(3) Entering the family	person has been moved;
	residence or the	-
		E. Communicating to a
	residence of the	person a threat to
	plaintiff, including the	commit, or to cause to
	land immediately	be committed, a crime
	surrounding and	of violence dangerous
	associated with the	to human life against the
	residence;	person to whom the
	(4) Repeatedly and	communication is made or
	without reasonable	another, and the natural
	cause:	and probable consequence
	(a) Following the	of the threat, whether or
	plaintiff; or	not that consequence in
	(b) Being at or in the	fact occurs, is to place the
	vicinity of the	person to whom the threat
	-	-
	plaintiff's home,	is communicated, or the
	school, business or	person against whom the
	place of employment;	threat is made, in
	(5) Taking, converting	reasonable fear that the
	or damaging property	crime will be committed;
	in which the plaintiff	F. Repeatedly and without
	may have a legal	reasonable cause:
	interest;	(1) Following the
	(6) Having any direct	plaintiff; or
	or indirect contact	(2) Being at or in the
	with the plaintiff;	vicinity of the plaintiff's
	(7) Engaging in the	<b>home</b> , school, business or
	unauthorized	place of employment;
	dissemination of	G. Engaging in the
	certain private images	unauthorized
	as prohibited pursuant	dissemination of
	to Title 17-A, section	certain private images
	511-A; or	as prohibited pursuant to
	(8) Destroying,	Title 17-A, section 511-A;
	transferring or	or
	tampering with the	H. Engaging in
	plaintiff's passport or	aggravated sex
	other immigration	trafficking or sex
	document in the	trafficking as described in
	defendant's	Title 17-A, section 852 or
I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	1	
	possession; or	853, respectively.
	C. Concerning the	
	care, custody or	
	control of any animal	
	owned, possessed,	
	leased, kept or held by	
	either party or a minor	
	child residing in the	
	household and may	
	enjoin the defendant	
	from injuring or	
	threatening to injure	
	any such animal.	
Maryland	MD. CODE ANN., FAM.	MD. CODE ANN., FAM. LAW
	$\frac{\text{MD. CODE ANN., FAM.}}{\text{LAW § 4-506 (West)}}$	<u>MD. CODE ANN., FAM. LAW</u> <u>§ 4-501 (West)</u> : (b)(1)
*Language specifically	$\frac{12AW + 34300}{(c)(1)}$ If the respondent	"Abuse" means any of the
cites criminal	appears before the	following acts:
acts	court at a protective	(i) an act that causes
acts	order hearing or has	serious bodily harm;
	been served with an	(ii) an act that places a
	interim or temporary	person eligible for relief in
	protective order, or the	fear of imminent
	court otherwise has	
		serious bodily harm;
	personal jurisdiction	(iii) <b>assault</b> in any degree;
	over the respondent,	(iv) rape or sexual
	the judge:	<b>offense</b> under <u>§ 3-303</u> , <u>§ 3-</u>
	(i) may proceed with	$\frac{304}{1}$ , $\frac{\$ 3-307}{1}$ , or $\frac{\$ 3-308 \text{ of}}{1}$
	the final protective	the Criminal Law
	order hearing; and	<u>Article</u> or attempted rape
	(ii) if the judge finds	or sexual offense in any
	by a preponderance	degree;
	of the evidence that	(v) false imprisonment;
	the alleged <u>abuse</u>	(vi) <b>stalking</b> under § <u>3-</u>
	has occurred, or if	802 of the Criminal Law
	the respondent	Article; or
	consents to the entry	(vii) revenge porn
	of a protective order,	under <u>§ 3-809 of the</u>
	the judge may grant	<u>Criminal Law Article</u> .
	a final protective	
	order to protect any	
	person eligible for	
	relief from abuse.	
Massachusetts	MASS. GEN. LAWS ANN.	MASS. GEN. LAWS ANN. ch.
	<u>ch. 209A, § 3 (West)</u> : A	<u>209A, § 1 (West)</u> : " <b>Abuse</b> ",
	person suffering	the occurrence of one or
	from abuse from an	more of the following acts

adult or minor	between family or
family or household	household members:
member may file a	(a) attempting to <b>cause or</b>
complaint in the	causing physical harm;
court requesting	(b) placing another in <b>fear</b>
protection from	of imminent serious
such <u>abuse</u> ,	physical harm;
including, but not	(c) causing another to
limited to, the	engage involuntarily in
following orders:	sexual relations by
(a) ordering the	force, threat or duress.
defendant to refrain	
from abusing the	
plaintiff, whether the	
defendant is an adult	
or minor;	
(b) ordering the	
defendant to refrain	
from contacting the	
plaintiff, unless	
authorized by the	
court, whether the	
defendant is an adult	
or minor;	
(c) ordering the	
defendant to vacate	
forthwith and remain	
away from the	
household, multiple	
family dwelling, and	
workplace.	
Notwithstanding the	
provisions of section	
thirty-four B of	
chapter two hundred	
and eight, an order to	
vacate shall be for a	
fixed period of time,	
not to exceed one year,	
at the expiration of	
which time the court	
may extend any such	
order upon motion of	
the plaintiff, with	
notice to the	
defendant, for such	
additional time as it	

	1 -	
	deems necessary to	
	protect the plaintiff	
	from abuse;	
	(d) awarding the	
	plaintiff temporary	
	custody of a minor	
	child; provided,	
	however, that in any	
	case brought in the	
	e	
	probate and family	
	court a finding by such	
	court by a	
	preponderance of the	
	evidence that a	
	pattern or serious	
	incident of abuse, as	
	defined in section 31A	
	of chapter 208, toward	
	a parent or child has	
	occurred shall create a	
	rebuttable	
	presumption that it is	
	not in the best	
	interests of the child	
	to be placed in sole	
	custody, shared legal	
	custody or shared	
	physical custody with	
	the abusive parent.	
	Such presumption	
	may be rebutted by a	
	preponderance of the	
	evidence that such	
	custody award is in	
	the best interests of	
	the child. For the	
	purposes of this	
	section, an "abusive	
	parent" shall mean a	
	parent who has	
	committed a pattern of	
	abuse or a serious	
	incident of abuse;	
Michigan	MICH. COMP. LAWS	
	ANN. § 600.2950	
	(West): (1) Except as	
	otherwise provided in	
	oniei wise provided III	

subsections (26) and	
(27), by commencing	
an independent action	
to obtain relief under	
this section, by joining	
a claim to an action, or	
by filing a motion in	
an action in which the	
petitioner and the	
individual to be	
restrained or enjoined	
are parties, <b>an</b>	
individual may	
petition the family	
division of circuit	
court to enter a	
personal protection	
order to restrain or	
enjoin a spouse, a	
former spouse, an	
individual with	
whom he or she has	
had a child in	
common, an	
individual with	
whom he or she has	
or has had a dating	
relationship, or an	
individual residing	
or having resided in	
the same household	
as the petitioner	
from doing 1 or more	
of the following:	
(a) Entering onto	
premises.	
(b) Assaulting,	
attacking, beating,	
molesting, or	
wounding a named	
individual.	
(c) Threatening to kill	
or physically injure a	
named individual.	
(d) Removing minor	
children from the	
 individual having	

children, except as otherwise authorized by a custody or parenting time order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. (e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
otherwise authorized by a custody or parenting time order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. (e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	legal custody of the	
by a custody or parenting time order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. (e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	· •	
parenting time order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. (e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	otherwise authorized	
<ul> <li>issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.</li> <li>(e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm.</li> <li>(f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined.</li> <li>(g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul>	by a custody or	
competent jurisdiction. (e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	parenting time order	
<ul> <li>(e) Purchasing or possessing a firearm.</li> <li>(f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined.</li> <li>(g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul>	issued by a court of	
<pre>possessing a firearm. (f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to</pre>	competent jurisdiction.	
<ul> <li>(f) Interfering with petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined.</li> <li>(g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is envilled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul>	(e) Purchasing or	
petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	possessing a firearm.	
petitioner's efforts to remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	(f) Interfering with	
remove petitioner's children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
children or personal property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
property from premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
premises that are solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	-	
solely owned or leased by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
by the individual to be restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	-	
restrained or enjoined. (g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	e e	
<ul> <li>(g) Interfering with petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul>		
petitioner at petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
petitioner's place of employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
employment or education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
education or engaging in conduct that impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
<ul> <li>in conduct that</li> <li>impairs petitioner's</li> <li>employment or</li> <li>educational</li> <li>relationship or</li> <li>environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is</li> <li>a minor who has been</li> <li>the victim of sexual</li> <li>assault, as that term</li> <li>is defined in section</li> <li>2950a, 1 by the</li> <li>respondent and if the</li> <li>petitioner is enrolled</li> <li>in a public or</li> <li>nonpublic school that</li> <li>operates any of grades</li> <li>K to 12, attending</li> <li>school in the same</li> <li>building as the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul>		
<pre>impairs petitioner's employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to</pre>		
employment or educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
educational relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
relationship or environment. (h) If the petitioner is a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
<ul> <li>environment.</li> <li>(h) If the petitioner is <ul> <li>a minor who has been</li> <li>the victim of sexual</li> <li>assault, as that term</li> <li>is defined in section</li> <li>2950a,1 by the</li> <li>respondent and if the</li> <li>petitioner is enrolled</li> <li>in a public or</li> <li>nonpublic school that</li> <li>operates any of grades</li> <li>K to 12, attending</li> <li>school in the same</li> <li>building as the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>(h) If the petitioner is <ul> <li>a minor who has been</li> <li>the victim of sexual</li> <li>assault, as that term</li> <li>is defined in section</li> <li>2950a,1 by the</li> <li>respondent and if the</li> <li>petitioner is enrolled</li> <li>in a public or</li> <li>nonpublic school that</li> <li>operates any of grades</li> <li>K to 12, attending</li> <li>school in the same</li> <li>building as the</li> <li>petitioner.</li> <li>(i) Having access to</li> </ul></li></ul>	-	
a minor who has been the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
the victim of sexual assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
assault, as that term is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
is defined in section 2950a,1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
2950a, 1 by the respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
respondent and if the petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
petitioner is enrolled in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
in a public or nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	-	
nonpublic school that operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
operates any of grades K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to	-	
K to 12, attending school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
school in the same building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
building as the petitioner. (i) Having access to		
petitioner. (i) Having access to	school in the same	
petitioner. (i) Having access to	building as the	
	1	
information in records	information in records	
concerning a minor		

child of both petitioner	
and respondent that	
will inform respondent	
about the address or	
telephone number of	
petitioner and	
-	
petitioner's minor	
child or about	
petitioner's	
employment address.	
(j) Engaging in	
conduct that is	
prohibited under	
section 411h or 411i of	
the Michigan penal	
code, 1931 PA 328,	
MCL 750.411h and	
750.411i.	
(k) Any of the	
following with the	
intent to cause the	
petitioner mental	
distress or to exert	
control over the	
petitioner with respect	
to an animal in which	
the petitioner has an	
ownership interest:	
(i) Injuring, killing,	
torturing, neglecting,	
or threatening to	
injure, kill, torture, or	
neglect the animal. A	
restraining order that	
enjoins conduct under	
-	
this subparagraph	
does not prohibit the	
lawful killing or other	
use of the animal as	
described in section	
50(11) of the Michigan	
penal code, 1931 PA	
328, MCL 750.50.	
(ii) Removing the	
animal from the	
petitioner's possession.	
(iii) Retaining or	

	1	
	obtaining possession of	
	the animal.	
	(l) Any other specific	
	act or conduct that	
	imposes upon or	
	interferes with	
	personal liberty or	
	that causes a	
	reasonable	
	apprehension of	
	violence.	
	(4) The court shall	
	issue a personal	
	protection order under	
	this section if the	
	court determines that	
	there is reasonable	
	cause to believe that	
	the individual to be	
	restrained or enjoined	
	may commit 1 or more	
	of the acts listed in	
	subsection (1).	
Minnesota	MINN. STAT. ANN. §	Minn. Stat. Ann. §
Minnesota	<u>518B.01 (West)</u> : Subd.	518B.01 (West): (a)
	4. Order for protection.	"Domestic abuse" means
	There shall exist an	the following, if committed
	action known as a	against a family or
	petition for an	household member by a
	order for protection	family or household
	in cases of <u>domestic</u>	member:
	abuse.	(1) physical harm,
		bodily injury, or
		assault;
		(2) the infliction of fear
		of imminent physical
		harm, bodily injury, or
		assault; or
		(3) terroristic threats,
		within the meaning of
		section 609.713,
		subdivision 1; criminal
		<b>sexual conduct</b> , within
		the meaning of section
		609.342, 609.343, 609.344,
		609.345, or 609.3451;
		sexual extortion within
1		sexual extortion within

	1	the meaning of
		the meaning of section 609.3458; or <b>interference</b>
		with an emergency call
		0 1
		within the meaning of
		section 609.78, subdivision
		2.
Mississippi	MISS. CODE. ANN. § 93-	<u>MISS. CODE. ANN. § 93-21-</u>
	<u>21-7 (West)</u> : (1) <b>Any</b>	<u>3 (West)</u> : (a) " <b>Abuse</b> "
	person may seek a	means the occurrence of
	domestic <u>abuse</u>	one or more of the
	protection order for	following acts between
	himself by filing a	spouses, former spouses,
	petition alleging abuse	persons living as spouses
	by the respondent.	or who formerly lived as
		spouses, persons having a child or children in
		common, other individuals
		related by consanguinity
		or affinity who reside
		together or who formerly
		resided together or
		between individuals who
		have a current or former
		dating relationship:
		(i) Attempting to cause <b>or</b>
		intentionally,
		knowingly or recklessly
		causing bodily injury or
		serious bodily injury
		with or without a deadly
		weapon;
		(ii) Placing, by physical
		menace or threat, another
		in fear of imminent
		serious bodily injury;
		(iii) Criminal sexual
		conduct committed
		against a minor within the
		meaning of <u>Section 97-5-</u>
		$\frac{23}{(-)}$ ;
		(iv) <b>Stalking</b> within the
		meaning of <u>Section 97-3-</u>
		$\frac{107}{2}$
		(v) Cyberstalking within
		the meaning of <u>Section 97-</u>
		$\frac{45-15}{(1)}$ ; or
		(vi) Sexual offenses

		within the meaning
		of <u>Section 97-3-65</u> or <u>97-3-</u>
		<u>95</u> .
		"Abuse" does not include
		any act of self-defense.
Missouri	<u>MO. ANN. STAT. §</u>	MO. ANN. STAT. § 455.010
	<u>455.020 (West)</u> :	(West): <b>"Domestic</b>
	455.020. Relief may be	violence", <u>abuse</u> or
	sought—order of	stalking committed by a
	protection effective,	family or household
	where	member, as such terms are
	1. Any <b>person who</b>	defined in this section
	has been subject to	"Abuse", includes but is
	<u>domestic violence</u>	not limited to the
	by a present or	occurrence of any of the
	former family or	following acts, attempts or
	household member,	threats against a person
	or who has been the	who may be protected
	<u>victim of stalking or</u>	pursuant to this chapter,
	<u>sexual assault</u> , may	except abuse shall not
	seek relief under	include abuse inflicted on
	sections $455.010$ to	a child by accidental
	455.085 by filing a	means by an adult
	verified petition	household member or
	alleging such domestic	discipline of a child,
	violence, stalking, or	including spanking, in a
	sexual assault by the	reasonable manner: ( <b>a</b> )
	respondent.	"Abusing a pet",
	2. A person's right to	purposely or knowingly
	relief under sections	causing, attempting to
	455.010 to $455.085$	cause, or threatening to
	shall not be affected	cause physical injury to a
	by the person leaving	pet with the intent to
	the residence or	control, punish,
	household to avoid	intimidate, or distress the
	domestic violence.	petitioner; (b) "Assault",
	3. Any protection	purposely or knowingly
	order issued pursuant	placing or attempting to
	to sections 455.010 to	place another in fear of
	455.085 shall be	physical harm; (c)
	effective throughout	"Battery", purposely or
	the state in all cities	knowingly causing
	and counties.	physical harm to another
		with or without a deadly
		weapon; (d) "Coercion",

11
compelling another by
force or threat of force to
engage in conduct from
which the latter has a
right to abstain or to
abstain from conduct in
which the person has a
right to engage; (e)
"Harassment", engaging
in a purposeful or knowing
course of conduct involving
more than one incident
that alarms or causes
distress to an adult or
child and serves no
legitimate purpose. The
course of conduct must be
such as would cause a
reasonable adult or child
to suffer substantial
emotional distress and
must actually cause
substantial emotional
distress to the petitioner or
child. Such conduct might
include, but is not limited
to: a. Following another
about in a public place or
places; b. Peering in the
window or lingering
outside the residence of
another; but does not
include constitutionally
protected activity
"Stalking", is when any
person purposely engages
in an unwanted course of
conduct that causes alarm
to another person, or a
person who resides
together in the same
household with the person
seeking the order of
protection when it is
reasonable in that person's
situation to have been
alarmed by the conduct. As

		1
		used in this subdivision:
		(a) "Alarm", to cause fear
		of danger of physical harm;
		and (b) "Course of
		conduct", two or more acts
		that serve no legitimate
		_
		purpose including, but not
		limited to, acts in which
		the stalker directly,
		indirectly, or through a
		third party follows,
		monitors, observes,
		surveils, threatens, or
		communicates to a person
		by any action, method, or
		device
		"Sexual assault", causing
		or attempting to cause
		another to engage
		involuntarily in any sexual
		act by force, threat of force,
		duress, or without that
	Marm Capp Arm	person's consent
Montana	MONT. CODE ANN.	MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-201
	<u>§ 40-15-102</u>	(West): (1) A person commits
	<u>(West)</u> : (1) A	the offense of <b>assault</b> if the
	person may file a	person:
	petition for an	(a) purposely or knowingly
	order of protection	causes bodily injury to
	if:	another;
	(a) the petitioner	(b) negligently causes bodily
	is <b>in reasonable</b>	injury to another with a
	apprehension of	weapon;
	<b>bodily injury</b> by	(c) purposely or knowingly
	the petitioner's	makes physical contact of an
	partner or family	insulting or provoking
	member as defined	nature with any individual;
	in 45-5-206; or	
	-	or (d) nurnegely or knowingly
	(b) the petitioner	(d) purposely or knowingly
	is a victim of one	causes reasonable
	of the following	apprehension of bodily
	offenses	injury in another.
	committed by a	MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-202
	partner or family	(West): (1) A person commits
1		
	member:	the offense of aggravated

 201;	causes serious bodily injury
(ii) <b>aggravated</b>	to another or purposely or
<b>assault</b> as defined	knowingly, with the use of
in 45-5-202;	physical force or contact,
(iii) <b>intimidation</b>	causes reasonable
as defined in 45-5-	apprehension of serious
203;	bodily injury or death in
(iv) <u>partner or</u>	another.
	MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-203
<u>family member</u>	
assault as defined	(West): (1) A person commits
in <u>45-5-206;</u>	the offense of <b>intimidation</b>
(v) <u>criminal</u>	when, with the purpose to
<u>endangerment</u>	cause another to perform or
as defined in 45-5-	to omit the performance of
207;	any act, the person
(vi) <u>negligent</u>	communicates to another,
<u>endangerment</u>	under circumstances that
as defined in 45-5-	reasonably tend to produce a
208;	fear that it will be carried
(vii) <u>assault on a</u>	out, a threat to perform
<b>minor</b> as defined	without lawful authority any
in 45-5-212;	of the following acts:
(viii) <u>assault with</u>	(a) inflict physical harm on
<u>a weapon</u> as	the person threatened or any
defined in 45-5-	other person; (b) subject any
213;	person to physical
	confinement or restraint; or
(ix)	-
strangulation of	(c) commit any felony.
<u>a partner or</u>	(2) A person commits the
<u>family member</u>	offense of intimidation if the
as defined in 45-5-	person knowingly
215;	communicates a threat or
(x) <u>unlawful</u>	false report of a pending fire,
<u>restraint</u> as	explosion, or disaster that
defined in 45-5-	would endanger life or
301;	property.
(xi) <u>kidnapping</u>	<u>MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-206</u>
as defined in 45-5-	(West): (1) A person commits
302;	the offense <b>of partner or</b>
(xii) <u>aggravated</u>	family member assault if
kidnapping as	the person:
defined in 45-5-	(a) purposely or knowingly
303; or	causes bodily injury to a
(xiii) <u>arson</u> as	partner or family member;
defined in 45-6-	(b) negligently causes bodily
103.	injury to a partner or family
	member with a weapon; or

(c) purposely or knowingly
causes reasonable
apprehension of bodily
injury in a partner or family
member.
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-207
$\frac{(West)}{(West)}$ : (1) A person who
knowingly engages in
conduct that creates a
substantial risk of death or
serious bodily injury to
another commits the offense
of <b>criminal</b>
endangerment. This
conduct includes but is not
limited to knowingly placing
in a tree, log, or any other
wood any steel, iron,
ceramic, or other substance
for the purpose of damaging
a saw or other wood
harvesting, processing, or
manufacturing equipment.
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-208
(West): A person who
negligently engages in
conduct that creates a
substantial risk of death or
serious bodily injury to
another commits the offense
of negligent
endangerment.
<u>Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-212</u>
(West): (1) A person commits
the offense of <b>assault on a</b>
minor if the person commits
an offense under <u>45-5-201</u> ,
and at the time of the
offense, the victim is under
14 years of age and the
offender is 18 years of age or
older.
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-213
(West): 1) A person commits
(west): 1) A person commits the offense of <b>assault with</b>
a weapon if the person
purposely or knowingly

causes:
(a) bodily injury to another
with a weapon; or
(b) reasonable apprehension
of serious bodily injury in
another by use of a weapon
or what reasonably appears
to be a weapon.
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-215
<u>(West)</u> : (1) A person commits
the offense of
strangulation of a
<b>partner</b> or family member if
the person purposely or
knowingly impedes the
normal breathing or
circulation of the blood of a
partner or family member
by:
(a) applying pressure on the
throat or neck of the partner
or family member; or
(b) blocking air flow to the
nose and mouth of the
partner or family member.
-
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-301
(West): (1) A person commits
the offense of <b>unlawful</b>
restraint if the person
knowingly or purposely and
without lawful authority
restrains another so as to
interfere substantially with
the other person's liberty.
<u>Mont. Code Ann. § 45-5-302</u>
(West): (1) A person commits
the offense of <b>kidnapping</b> if
the person knowingly or
purposely and without
lawful authority restrains
another person by either
secreting or holding the
other person in a place of
isolation or by using or
threatening to use physical
force.
MONT. CODE ANN. § 45-5-303

(West): 1) A person commits
the offense of <b>aggravated</b>
kidnapping if the person
knowingly or purposely and
without lawful authority
restrains another person by
either secreting or holding
the other person in a place of
isolation or by using or
threatening to use physical
force, with any of the
following purposes:
(a) to hold for ransom or
reward or as a shield or
hostage;
(b) to facilitate commission
of any felony or flight
thereafter;
(c) to inflict bodily injury on
or to terrorize the victim or
another;
(d) to interfere with the
performance of any
governmental or political
function; or
(e) to hold another in a
condition of involuntary
servitude.
<u>Mont. Code Ann. § 45-6-103</u>
(West): (1) A person commits
the offense of <b>arson</b> when,
by means of fire or
-
explosives, the person
knowingly or purposely:
(a) damages or destroys a
structure, vehicle, personal
property (other than a
vehicle) that exceeds \$1,500
in value, crop, pasture,
forest, or other real property
that is property of another
without consent;
(b) damages or destroys a
structure, vehicle, crop,
pasture, forest, or other
property that the person
owns or has a possessory

		interest in, with the purpose of obtaining a pecuniary or other gain through fraud or deception; or (c) places another person in danger of death or bodily injury, including a firefighter responding to or at the scene of a fire or explosion.
Nebraska	NEB. REV. STAT. ANN. § 42-924 (West): (1)(a) Any victim of domestic abuse may file a petition and affidavit for a protection order as provided in this section.	NEB. REV. STAT. ANN. § 42- 903 (West): For purposes of the Protection from Domestic Abuse Act, unless the context otherwise requires: (1) Abuse means the occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members: (a) Attempting to cause or intentionally and knowingly causing bodily injury with or without a dangerous instrument; (b) Placing, by means of credible threat, another person in fear of bodily injury. For purposes of this subdivision, credible threat means a verbal or written threat, including a threat performed through the use of an electronic communication device, or a threat implied by a pattern of conduct or a combination of verbal, written, or electronically communicated statements and conduct that is made by a person with the apparent ability to carry out the threat so as to cause the person who is the target of

	1	[
		the threat to reasonably fear for his or her safety or the safety of his or her family. It is not necessary to prove that the person making the threat had the intent to actually carry out the threat. The present incarceration of the person making the threat shall not prevent the threat from being deemed a credible threat under this section; or (c) Engaging in sexual contact or sexual penetration without consent as defined in <u>section 28-318</u> ;
Nevada	NEV. REV. STAT.	NEX DEX CHAR AND S
Inevaua	ANN. § 33.020	<u>NEV. REV. STAT. ANN. §</u> <u>33.018 (West)</u> : <b>Domestic</b>
	<u>(West)</u> : If it	violence occurs when a
	appears to the	person commits one of the
	satisfaction of the	following acts against or
	court from specific	upon the person's spouse or
	facts shown by a	former spouse, any other
	verified	person to whom the person
	application that an	is related by blood or
	act of <b>domestic</b>	marriage, any other person
	<u>violence</u> has	with whom the person has
	occurred or there	had or is having a dating
	exists a threat of	relationship, any other
	domestic violence,	person with whom the
	the court may	person has a child in
	grant a temporary or extended order.	common, the minor child of
	A court shall only	any of those persons, the person's minor child or any
	consider whether	other person who has been
	the act of domestic	appointed the custodian or
	violence or the	legal guardian for the
	threat thereof	person's minor child:
	satisfies the	(a) A battery.
	requirements	(b) An <b>assault</b> .
	of <u>NRS</u>	(c) <b>Coercion</b> pursuant
	<u>33.018</u> without	to <u>NRS 207.190</u> .
	considering any	(d) A <b>sexual assault</b> .

	1		
	other factor in its	(e) A knowing, purposeful or	
	determination to	reckless course of conduct	
	grant the	intended to harass the other	
	temporary or	person. Such conduct may	
	extended order.	include, but is not limited to:	
		(1) Stalking.	
		(2) <b>Arson</b> .	
		(3) <b>Trespassing</b> .	
		(4) Larceny.	
		(5) <b>Destruction of private</b>	
		property.	
		(6) Carrying a concealed	
		weapon without a permit.	
		(7) Injuring or killing an	
		animal.	
		(8) <b>Burglary</b> .	
		(9) An <b>invasion of the</b>	
		home.	
		(f) A false imprisonment.	
		(g) Pandering.	
		2. The provisions of this	
		section do not apply to:	
		(a) Siblings, except those	
		siblings who are in a	
		custodial or guardianship	
		relationship with each other;	
		or (b) Cousins, except those	
		cousins who are in a	
		custodial or guardianship	
		relationship with each other.	
		3. As used in this section,	
		"dating relationship" means	
		frequent, intimate	
		associations primarily	
		characterized by the	
		expectation of affectional or	
		sexual involvement. The	
		term does not include a	
		casual relationship or an	
		ordinary association	
		between persons in a	
		business or social context.	
		Submoss of Social Context.	
New Hampshire	N.H. REV. STAT.	N.H. REV. STAT. ANN. § 173-	
riew manipenne	<u>ANN. § 173-B:5</u> : A	$\underline{\text{B:1: "Abuse" means the}}$	
	<u>AININ, § 173-D;3</u> ; A	<u>D.1</u> . Abuse means the	

	1	
	finding of <u>abuse</u>	commission or attempted
	shall mean the	commission of one or more of
	defendant	the acts described in
	represents a	subparagraphs (a) through
	credible threat to	(h) by a family or household
	the safety of the	member or by a current or
	plaintiff. Upon a	former sexual or intimate
	showing of abuse	partner, where such conduct
	of the plaintiff by	is determined to constitute a
	a preponderance of	credible present threat to
	the evidence, <b>the</b>	the petitioner's safety. The
	court shall grant	court may consider evidence
	such relief as is	of such acts, regardless of
	necessary to	their proximity in time to
	bring about a	the filing of the petition,
	cessation of	which, in combination with
	abuse. Such relief	recent conduct, reflects an
	shall direct the	ongoing pattern of behavior
	defendant to	which reasonably causes or
	relinquish to the	has caused the petitioner to
	peace officer any	fear for his or her safety or
	and all firearms	well-being:
	and ammunition	(a) Assault or reckless
	in the control,	<b>conduct</b> as defined in RSA
	ownership, or	631:1 through RSA 631:3.
	possession of the	(b) <b>Criminal threatening</b>
	defendant, or any	as defined in RSA 631:4.
	other person on	(c) Sexual assault as
	behalf of the	defined in RSA 632-A:2
	defendant for the	through RSA 632-A:5.
	duration of the	(d) Interference with
	protective order.	<b>freedom</b> as defined in RSA
	Other <u>relief may</u>	633:1 through RSA 633:3-a.
	include:	(e) <b>Destruction of</b>
	(a) Protective	<b>property</b> as defined in RSA
	orders:	634:1 and RSA 634:2.
	014015	(f) <b>Unauthorized entry</b> as
		defined in RSA 635:1 and
		RSA 635:2.
		(g) <b>Harassment</b> as defined
		in RSA 644:4.
		(h) <b>Cruelty to animals</b> as
		defined in RSA 644:8.
New Jersey	N.J. STAT. ANN. §	N.J. STAT. ANN. § 2C:25-19
11011 001009	<u>2C:25-28 (West): A</u>	(West) :"Domestic
	victim may file a	violence" means the
	complaint alleging	occurrence of one or more of
	compraint aneging	occurrence of one or more of

the commission of	the following acts inflicted
an act of	upon a person protected
<u>domestic</u>	under this act by an adult or
<b>violence</b> with the	an emancipated minor:
Family Part of the	(1) <b>Homicide</b> <u>N.J.S.2C:11-1</u>
Chancery Division	et seq.
of the Superior	(2) Assault <u>N.J.S.2C:12-1</u>
Court in	(3) Terroristic
conformity with	threats N.J.S.2C:12-3
the Rules of Court.	(4)
f. A plaintiff may	Kidnapping <u>N.J.S.2C:13-1</u>
seek emergency,	(5) Criminal
ex parte relief in	restraint <u>N.J.S.2C:13-2</u>
the nature of a	(6) False
temporary	imprisonment <u>N.J.S.2C:13-</u>
restraining	3
order. A	$\overline{(7)}$ Sexual
municipal court	assault <u>N.J.S.2C:14-2</u>
judge or a judge of	(8) Criminal sexual
the Family Part of	contact N.J.S.2C:14-3
the Chancery	(9) Lewdness $\underline{N.J.S.2C:14-4}$
Division of the	(10) <b>Criminal</b>
Superior Court	mischief <u>N.J.S.2C:17-3</u>
may enter an ex	(11) <b>Burglary</b> <u>N.J.S.2C:18-2</u>
parte order when	(12) Criminal
necessary to	trespass <u>N.J.S.2C:18-3</u>
protect the life,	(13)
health or well-	Harassment <u>N.J.S.2C:33-4</u>
being of a victim	(14) <b>Stalking</b> <u>P.L.1992, c.</u>
on whose behalf	<u>209 (C.2C:12-10)</u>
the relief is	(15) Criminal
sought.	coercion <u>N.J.S.2C:13-5</u>
Sought.	(16) <b>Robbery</b> N.J.S.2C:15-1
	(17) Contempt of a
	domestic violence order
	pursuant to subsection b.
	of N.J.S.2C:29-9 that
	constitutes a crime or
	disorderly persons offense
	(18) Any other crime
	involving risk of death or
	serious bodily injury to a
	person protected under the
	"Prevention of Domestic
	Violence Act of
	1991," <u>P.L.1991, c.</u>
	<u>261</u> ( <u>C.2C:25-17</u> et al.)

	1	1
		(19) Cyber-
		harassment <u>P.L.2013, c.</u>
		272 (C.2C:33-4.1)
New Mexico	N.M. STAT. ANN. §	N.M. STAT. ANN. § 40-13-2
TTOW MONICO	<u>40-13-3 (West)</u> : A.	(West): "domestic abuse":
	$\frac{40^{-13^{-3}} \text{ (West)}}{\text{A victim of}}$	
		(1) means an <b>incident of</b>
	domestic abuse	stalking or sexual assault
	may petition the	whether committed by a
	court under the	household member or not;
	Family Violence	(2) means an incident by a
	Protection Act	household member against
	for an order of	another household member
	protection.	consisting of or resulting in:
	-	(a) <b>physical harm</b> ;
		(b) severe emotional
		distress;
		(c) <b>bodily injury or</b>
		assault;
		-
		(d) a threat causing
		imminent fear of bodily
		<b>injury</b> by any household
		member;
		(e) <b>criminal trespass</b> ;
		(f) criminal damage to
		property;
		(g) repeatedly <b>driving by a</b>
		residence or work place;
		(h) telephone harassment;
		(i) harassment;
		(j) strangulation;
		(k) <b>suffocation</b> ; or (l) <b>harm or threatened</b>
		harm to children as set
		forth in this paragraph; and
		(3) does not mean the use of
		force in self-defense or the
		defense of another;
New York	N.Y. FAM. CT. ACT	<u>N.Y. FAM. CT. ACT § 827</u>
	§ 842 (McKinney	(McKinney 2020): (vii)
	2020): An order of	aggravating circumstances
	protection under	exist which require the
	section eight	immediate arrest of the
	hundred forty-one	respondent. For the
	of this part shall	purposes of this section
	set forth	aggravating

	reasonable	circumstances shall mean
	conditions of	physical injury or serious
	behavior to be	physical injury to the
	observed for a	petitioner caused by the
	period not in	respondent, the use of a
	excess of two years	dangerous instrument
	by the petitioner	against the petitioner by the
	or respondent or	respondent, a <b>history of</b>
	for a period not in	repeated violations of
	excess of five years	prior orders of protection
	upon (i) a finding	by the respondent, prior
	by the court on the	convictions for crimes
	record of the	against the petitioner by
	existence of	the respondent or <b>the</b>
	aggravating	exposure of any family or
	circumstances as	household member to
	defined in	physical injury by the
		respondent and like
	paragraph (vii) of	incidents, behaviors and
	subdivision (a) of	
	section eight	occurrences which to the
	hundred twenty-	court constitute an
	seven of this	immediate and ongoing
	article; or (ii) a	danger to the petitioner, or
	finding by the	any member of the
	court on the record	petitioner's family or
	that the conduct	household.
	alleged in the	
	petition is in	
	violation of a valid	
	order of protection.	
North Carolina	N.C. GEN. STAT.	N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 50B-
	ANN. § 50B-3: If	1: (a) <b>Domestic violence</b>
	the court,	means the commission of
	including	one or more of the following
	magistrates as	acts upon an aggrieved party
	authorized	or upon a minor child
	under G.S. 50B-	residing with or in the
	2(c1), finds that	custody of the aggrieved
	an act of $\underline{a}$	party by a person with
	domestic	whom the aggrieved party
	violence has	has or has had a personal
	occurred, the	relationship, but does not
	court shall grant	include acts of self-defense:
	a protective	(1) Attempting to cause
	order restraining	
	0	bodily injury, or
	the defendant	intentionally causing
	from further acts	bodily injury; or

of domestic	(2) Placing the aggrieved
violence.	party or a member of the
	aggrieved party's family or
	household in fear of
	imminent serious bodily
	injury or continued
	harassment, as defined
	in <u>G.S. 14-277.3A</u> , that rises
	to such a level as to inflict
	substantial emotional
	distress; or
	(3) Committing any act
	defined in <u>G.S. 14-</u>
	<u>27.21</u> through <u>G.S. 14-27.33</u> .
	<u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>
	<u>27.21</u> : (a) A person is guilty
	of first-degree forcible
	rape if the person engages
	in vaginal intercourse with
	another person by force and
	against the will of the other
	person, and does any of the
	following:
	(1) Uses, threatens to use, or
	displays a dangerous or
	deadly weapon or an article
	which the other person
	reasonably believes to be a
	dangerous or deadly weapon.
	(2) Inflicts serious personal
	injury upon the victim or
	another person.
	(3) The person commits the
	offense aided and abetted by
	one or more other persons.
	N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-
	27.22: (a) A person is guilty
	of second-degree forcible
	rape if the person engages
	in vaginal intercourse with
	another person:
	(1) By force and against the will of the other percent or
	will of the other person; or
	(2) Who has a mental
	disability or who is mentally
	incapacitated or physically
	helpless, and the person

performing the act knows or
should reasonably know the
other person has a mental
disability or is mentally
incapacitated or physically
helpless.
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-
27.23: (a) A person is guilty
of statutory rape of a
child by an adult if the
person is at least 18 years of
age and engages in vaginal
intercourse with a victim
who is a child under the age
of 13 years.
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-
27.24: (a) A person is guilty
of first-degree statutory
rape if the person engages
in vaginal intercourse with a
victim who is a child under
the age of 13 years and the
defendant is at least 12
years old and is at least four
years older than the victim.
<u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>
<u>27.25</u> : Statutory rape of
person who is 15 years of
age or younger
<u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>
<u>27.26</u> : A person is guilty of a
first degree forcible
sexual offense if the person
engages in a sexual act with
another person by force and
against the will of the other
person, and does any of the
following:
(1) Uses, threatens to use, or
displays a dangerous or
deadly weapon or an article
which the other person
reasonably believes to be a
dangerous or deadly weapon.
(2) Inflicts serious personal
injury upon the victim or
another person.
another person.

<ul> <li>(3) The person commits the offense aided and abetted by one or more other persons. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.27: (a) A person is guilty of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person:</li> <li>(1) By force and against the will of the other person; or</li> <li>(2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.31: Sexual activity by a</li> </ul>	
one or more other persons. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.27: (a) A person is guilty of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	(3) The person commits the
one or more other persons. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.27: (a) A person is guilty of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	offense aided and abetted by
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.27: (a) A person is guilty of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person:(1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is a theast 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least 13 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
27.27: (a) A person is guilty of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person:(1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least 13 years old and is at least 14 years old and is at least 16 years old and is at least 17 years old and is at least 16 years old and is at least 17 years old and is at least 17 years old and is at least 12 years old and is at least 13 years old and is at least 14 years old and is at le	-
of second degree forcible sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old ard is at least four years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
engages in a sexual act with another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	_
another person: (1) By force and against the will of the other person; or (2) Who has a mentall disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years old ard is at least four years old referse with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person	
<ul> <li>(1) By force and against the will of the other person; or</li> <li>(2) Who has a mentall disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.30: Statutory sexual</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>will of the other person; or</li> <li>(2) Who has a mental</li> <li>disability or who is mentally</li> <li>incapacitated or physically</li> <li>helpless, and the person</li> <li>performing the act knows or</li> <li>should reasonably know that</li> <li>the other person has a</li> <li>mental disability or is</li> <li>mentally incapacitated or</li> <li>physically helpless.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</li> <li>27.28: (a) A person is guilty</li> <li>of statutory sexual offense</li> <li>with a child by an adult if</li> <li>the person is at least 18</li> <li>years of age and engages in</li> <li>a sexual act with a victim</li> <li>who is a child under the age</li> <li>of 13 years.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</li> <li>27.29: (a) A person is guilty</li> <li>of first-degree statutory</li> <li>sexual offense if the person</li> <li>engages in a sexual act with</li> <li>a victim who is a child under</li> <li>the age of 13 years and the</li> <li>defendant is at least 12</li> <li>years old and is at least four</li> <li>years older than the victim.</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</li> <li>27.30: Statutory sexual</li> <li>offense with a person</li> <li>who is 15 years of age or</li> <li>younger</li> <li>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</li> </ul>	-
<ul> <li>(2) Who has a mental disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</li> </ul>	
disability or who is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	will of the other person; or
incapacitated or physically helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	(2) Who has a mental
helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	disability or who is mentally
helpless, and the person performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	incapacitated or physically
performing the act knows or should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
should reasonably know that the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least four years old and is at least four years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
the other person has a mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> <b>offense with a person</b> <b>who is 15 years of age or</b> <b>younger</b> <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	
mental disability or is mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
mentally incapacitated or physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.28</u> : (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
physically helpless. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	v 1
27.28: (a) A person is guilty of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
of statutory sexual offense with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	
with a child by an adult if the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	
the person is at least 18 years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
years of age and engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> <b>offense with a person</b> <b>who is 15 years of age or</b> <b>younger</b> <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	-
a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
who is a child under the age of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	years of age and engages in
of 13 years. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.29</u> : (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	a sexual act with a victim
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	who is a child under the age
27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	of 13 years.
27.29: (a) A person is guilty of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-
of first-degree statutory sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> <b>offense with a person</b> <b>who is 15 years of age or</b> <b>younger</b> <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	-
sexual offense if the person engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> <b>offense with a person</b> <b>who is 15 years of age or</b> <b>younger</b> <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	
engages in a sexual act with a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
a victim who is a child under the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
the age of 13 years and the defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
defendant is at least 12 years old and is at least four years older than the victim. N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
years old and is at least four years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : <b>Statutory sexual</b> <b>offense with a person</b> <b>who is 15 years of age or</b> <b>younger</b> <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	0
years older than the victim. <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u> <u>27.30</u> : Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger <u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>	
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14- 27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
27.30: Statutory sexual offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
offense with a person who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	
who is 15 years of age or younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	-
younger N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	=
N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-	who is 15 years of age or
	<u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>
	<u>27.31</u> : Sexual activity by a

		1
		substitute parent or
		custodian
		<u>N.C. GEN. STAT. ANN. § 14-</u>
		<u>27.33</u> : a) A person is guilty
		of <b>sexual battery</b> if the
		person, for the purpose of
		sexual arousal, sexual
		gratification, or sexual
		abuse, engages in sexual
		contact with another person:
		(1) By force and against the
		will of the other person; or
		(2) Who has a mental
		disability or who is mentally
		incapacitated or physically
		helpless, and the person
		performing the act knows or
		should reasonably know that
		the other person has a
		mental disability or is
		mentally incapacitated or
		physically helpless.
		(NOTE: The definition of
		personal relationship in N.C.
		Gen. Stat. Ann. § 50B-1 was
		deemed unconstitutional
		and thus there has been a
		lot of proposed legislation to
		replace this statute.) See
		M.E. v. T.J., 380 N.C. 539
North Dalate	N.D. CENTE CODE	(2022).
North Dakota	N.D. CENT. CODE	<u>N.D. CENT. CODE ANN. § 14-</u>
	<u>ANN. § 14-07.1-02</u>	<u>07.1-01 (West)</u> : " <b>Domestic</b>
	(West): An action	violence" includes
	for a protection	physical harm, bodily
	order	injury, sexual activity
	commenced by a	compelled by physical force,
	verified	assault, or the infliction of
	application	fear of imminent physical
	alleging the	harm, bodily injury,
	existence of	sexual activity compelled
	domestic	by physical force, or <b>assault</b> ,
	violence may be	not committed in self-
	brought in district	defense, on the complaining
	court by any	family or household
	family or	members.
	household member	
L	nousenoid member	

	or by any other	
	person if the court	
	determines that	
	the relationship	
	between that	
	person and the	
	alleged abusing	
	person is sufficient	
	to warrant the	
	issuance of a	
	domestic violence	
	protection order.	
	An action may be	
	brought under this	
	section, regardless	
	of whether a	
	petition for legal	
	separation,	
	annulment, or	
	divorce has been	
	filed.	
Ohio	OHIO REV. CODE ANN. §	OHIO REV. CODE
	<u>2919.26 (West)</u> : (A)(1)	Ann. § 2909.06
	Upon the filing of a	(West): <b>2909.06</b>
	<u>complaint that alleges a</u>	Criminal
	violation of section	damaging or
	<u>2909.06, 2909.07, 2911.12,</u>	
	<u>or 2911.211 of the</u>	No person shall
	<b><u>Revised Code</u></b> if the	cause, or create a
	alleged victim of the	substantial risk of
	violation was a family or	physical harm to
	household member at the	any property of
	time of the violation, a	another without the
	violation of a municipal	other person's
	ordinance that is	consent:
	substantially similar to	(1) Knowingly, by
	any of those sections if the	any means;
	alleged victim of the	(2) Recklessly, by
	violation was a family or	means of fire,
	household member at the	explosion, flood,
	time of the violation, any	poison gas, poison,
	offense of violence if the	radioactive
	alleged victim of the	material, caustic or
	offense was a family or	corrosive material,
	household member at the	or other inherently
	time of the commission of	dangerous agency
	the offense, or any	or substance.

sexually oriented offense if	(B) Whoever
the alleged victim of the	violates this section
offense was a family or	is guilty of criminal
household member at the	damaging or
time of the commission of	endangering, a
the offense, the	misdemeanor of the
complainant, the alleged	second degree. If a
victim, or a family or	violation of this
household member of an	section creates a
alleged victim may file, or,	risk of physical
if in an emergency the	harm to any person,
alleged victim is unable to	criminal damaging
file, a person who made an	or endangering is a
arrest for the alleged	misdemeanor of the
violation or offense	first degree. If the
under <u>section 2935.03 of</u>	property involved in
<u>the Revised Code</u> may file	a violation of this
on behalf of the alleged	section is an
victim, a motion that	aircraft, an aircraft
requests the issuance of a	engine, propeller,
temporary protection order	appliance, spare
as a pretrial condition of	part, or any other
release of the alleged	equipment or
offender, in addition to any	implement used or
bail set under <u>Criminal</u>	intended to be used
<u>Rule 46</u> . The motion shall	in the operation of
be filed with the clerk of	an aircraft and if
the court that has	the violation
jurisdiction of the case at	creates a risk of
any time after the filing of	physical harm to
the complaint	any person,
_	criminal damaging
	or endangering is a
	felony of the fifth
	degree. If the
	property involved in
	a violation of this
	section is an
	aircraft, an aircraft
	engine, propeller,
	appliance, spare
	part, or any other
	equipment or
	implement used or
	intended to be used
	in the operation of
	an aircraft and if

.1 . 1 .
the violation
creates a
substantial risk of
physical harm to
any person or if the
property involved in
a violation of this
section is an
occupied aircraft,
criminal damaging
or endangering is a
felony of the fourth
degree.
0
OHIO REV. CODE
<u>Ann. § 2909.07</u>
(West): Criminal
mischief (A) No
person shall:
(1) Without
privilege to do so,
knowingly move,
deface, damage,
destroy, or
otherwise
improperly tamper
with either of the
following:
(a) The property of
another;
(b) One's own
residential real
property with the
purpose to decrease
the value of or
enjoyment of the
residential real
property, if both of
the following apply:
(i) The residential
real property is
subject to a
mortgage.
(ii) The person has
been served with a
summons and
complaint in a
pending residential
penuing residential

mortgage loan foreclosure action relating to that re	
relating to that rea	
_	
	al
property. As used	in
this division,	
"pending" includes	3
the time between	
judgment entry an	Ы
confirmation of	iu
sale.	
(2) With purpose t	0
interfere with the	
use or enjoyment of	of
property of anothe	
employ a tear gas	-,
device, stink bomb	<b>`</b>
smoke generator, d	
other device	51
releasing a	
substance that is	
harmful or offensiv	
to persons exposed	1
or that tends to	
cause public alarm (3) Without	1;
privilege to do so,	
knowingly move,	
deface, damage,	
destroy, or	
otherwise	
improperly tamper	r
with a bench mark	
triangulation	<b>`</b> ,
station, boundary	
marker, or other	
survey station,	
monument, or	
marker;	
(4) Without	
privilege to do so,	
knowingly move,	
deface, damage,	
destroy, or	
otherwise	
improperly tamper	r
with any safety	
device, the proper	ty

C (1) (1)
of another, or the
property of the
offender when
required or placed
for the safety of
others, so as to
destroy or diminish
its effectiveness or
availability for its
intended purpose;
(5) With purpose to
interfere with the
use or enjoyment of
the property of
another, set a fire
on the land of
another or place
-
personal property that has been set on
fire on the land of
another, which fire
or personal
property is outside
and apart from any
building, other
structure, or
personal property
that is on that land;
(6) Without
privilege to do so,
and with intent to
impair the
functioning of any
computer, computer
system, computer
network, computer
software, or
computer program,
knowingly do any of
the following:
(a) In any manner
or by any means,
including, but not
limited to, computer
hacking, alter,
damage, destroy, or
modify a computer,
mouny a computer,

computer system,
computer network,
computer software,
or computer
program or data
contained in a
computer, computer
system, computer
network, computer
software, or
computer program;
(b) Introduce a
computer
contaminant into a
computer, computer
system, computer
network, computer
software, or
computer program.
(7) Without
privilege to do so,
knowingly destroy
or improperly
tamper with a
critical
infrastructure
facility.
<u>OHIO REV. CODE</u>
<u>Ann. § 2911.12</u>
$\frac{1}{(West)}: Burglary;$
$\frac{(West)}{1}$ . Durgiary, trespass in a
habitation when
a person is present or likely
to be present
(A) No person, by
force, stealth, or deception, shall do
any of the following:
(1) Trespass in an
occupied structure
or in a separately
secured or
separately occupied
portion of an
occupied structure,
when another

.1 .1
person other than
an accomplice of the
offender is present,
with purpose to
commit in the
structure or in the
separately secured
or separately
occupied portion of
the structure any
criminal offense;
(2) Trespass in an
occupied structure
or in a separately
secured or
separately occupied
portion of an
occupied structure
that is a permanent
or temporary
habitation of any
person when any
person other than
an accomplice of the
offender is present
or likely to be
present, with
purpose to commit
in the habitation
any criminal
offense;
(3) Trespass in an
occupied structure
or in a separately
secured or
separately occupied
portion of an
occupied structure,
with purpose to
commit in the
structure or
separately secured
or separately
occupied portion of
the structure any
criminal offense.
(B) No person, by
1 1 1 1 1 1

		force, stealth, or
		deception, shall
		trespass in a
		permanent or
		temporary
		habitation of any
		person when any
		person other than
		an accomplice of the
		offender is present
		or likely to be
		present.
		OHIO REV. CODE
		<u>ANN. § 2911.211</u>
		$\frac{\text{ANN. } \text{§ } 2511.211}{(\text{West})}$
		Aggravated
		trespass
		(A)(1) No person shall enter or
		remain on the land
		or premises of
		another with
		purpose to commit
		on that land or
		those premises a
		misdemeanor, the
		elements of which
		involve causing
		physical harm to
		another person or
		causing another
		person to believe
		that the offender
		will cause physical
		harm to that
		person.
Oklahoma	OKLA. STAT. ANN. tit. 22, §	OKLA. STAT. ANN.
	60.2 (West): A. A victim of	tit. 22, § 60.1
	domestic abuse, a victim	(West): "Domestic
	of stalking, a victim of	abuse" means any
	harassment, a victim of	act of physical
	rape, any adult or	harm or the
	emancipated minor	threat of
	household member on	imminent
	behalf of any other family	physical harm
	or household member who	which is committed
L	or nousenoru member who	winen is committee

	is a minor or incompetent, any minor age sixteen (16) or seventeen (17) years, or any adult victim of a crime may seek relief under the provisions of the Protection from Domestic Abuse Act. 1. The person seeking relief may file a petition for a protective order with the district court in the county in which the victim resides, the county in which the defendant resides, or the county in which the domestic violence occurred.	by an adult, emancipated minor, or minor child thirteen (13) years of age or older against another adult, emancipated minor or minor child who is currently or was previously an intimate partner or family or household member;
Oregon	OR. REV. STAT. ANN. § 107.718 (West): (1) When a person files a <u>petition</u> <u>under ORS 107.710</u> , the circuit court shall hold an ex parte hearing in person or by telephone on the day the petition is filed or on the following judicial day. Upon a showing that the petitioner has been the victim of abuse committed by the respondent within 180 days preceding the filing of the petition, that there is an imminent danger of further abuse to the petitioner and that the respondent represents a credible threat to the physical safety of the petitioner, order: (b) That the respondent be required to move from the	OR. REV. STAT. ANN. § 107.710 (West): (1) Any person who has been the victim of abuse within the preceding 180 days may petition the circuit court for relief under ORS 107.700 to 107.735, if the person is in imminent danger of further abuse from the abuser. OR. REV. STAT. ANN. § 107.705 (West): As used in ORS 107.700 to 107.735: (1) "Abuse" means the occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members: (a) Attempting to

-	
petitioner's residence, if in the sole name of the	cause or
	intentionally,
petitioner or if it is jointly	knowingly or
owned or rented by the	recklessly
petitioner and the	causing bodily
respondent, or if the	injury.
parties are married to each	(b) Intentionally,
other;	knowingly or
(c) That the respondent be	recklessly placing
restrained from entering,	another in fear of
or attempting to enter, a	imminent bodily
reasonable area	injury.
surrounding the	(c) Causing another
petitioner's current or	to engage in
subsequent residence if	involuntary
the respondent is required	sexual relations
to move from petitioner's	by force or threat
residence;	of force.
(e) That the respondent be	
restrained from	
intimidating, molesting,	
interfering with or	
menacing the petitioner, or	
attempting to intimidate,	
molest, interfere with or	
menace the petitioner;	
(f) That the respondent be	
restrained from	
intimidating, molesting,	
interfering with or	
menacing any children in	
the custody of the	
petitioner, or attempting	
to intimidate, molest,	
interfere with or menace	
any children in the custody	
of the petitioner;	
(g) That the respondent be	
restrained from entering,	
or attempting to enter, on	
any premises and a	
reasonable area	
surrounding the premises	
when it appears to the	
court that such restraint is	
necessary to prevent the	
respondent from	
	l

	intimidating, molesting, interfering with or menacing the petitioner or children whose custody is awarded to the petitioner;	
Pennsylvania	<ul> <li>23 PA. STAT. AND CONS. STAT. ANN. § 6105 (West) : "If you are the victim of domestic violence, you have the right to go to court and file a petition requesting an order for protection from domestic abuse pursuant to the Protection From Abuse Act (23 Pa.C.S. Ch. 61), which could include the following: (1) An order restraining the abuser from further acts of abuse. (2) An order directing the abuser to leave your household. (3) An order preventing the abuser from entering your residence, school, business or place of employment. (4) An order awarding you or the other parent temporary visitation with your child or children. (5) An order directing the abuser to pay support to you and the minor children if the abuser has a legal obligation to do so."</li> </ul>	23 PA. STAT. AND CONS. STAT. ANN. § 6102 (West): "Abuse." The occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members, sexual or intimate partners or persons who share biological parenthood: (1) Attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury, serious bodily injury, rape, involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, sexual assault, statutory sexual assault, aggravated indecent assault, indecent a

		false
		imprisonment
		pursuant to 18
		Pa.C.S. § 2903
		(relating to false
		imprisonment).
		(4) <b>Physically or</b>
		sexually abusing
		minor children,
		including such
		terms as defined in
		Chapter 63
		(relating to child
		protective services).
		(5) Knowingly
		engaging in a
		course of conduct or
		repeatedly
		committing acts
		toward another
		person, including
		following the
		person, without
		proper authority,
		under
		circumstances
		which place the
		person in
		reasonable fear of
		bodily injury. The definition of this
		paragraph applies
		only to proceedings commenced under
		this title and is
		inapplicable to any
		criminal
		prosecutions
		commenced under
		Title 18 (relating to
		crimes and
		offenses).
Rhode Island	15 R.I. GEN. LAWS ANN. §	15 R.I. GEN. LAWS
initiati istattu	$\frac{15 \text{ N.1. OEN. DAWS ANN. }}{15 \cdot 15 \cdot 3 \text{ (West) : (a) A}}$	ANN. § 15-15-1
	$10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{$	$\frac{100101}{(West):(4)}$
	custodian, or legal	"Domestic abuse"
	guardian on behalf of a	means:
	guarulan on Denan Or a	means.

<u></u>
or
(iv) Stalking or
cyberstalking.
"Stalking" means
harassing another
person or willfully,
maliciously, and
repeatedly following
another person with
the intent to place
_
that person in
reasonable fear of
bodily injury.
"Cyberstalking"
means transmitting
any communication
by computer to any
person or causing
any person to be
contacted for the
sole purpose of
harassing that
person or his or her
family.
(8) "Sexual
exploitation"
means the
occurrence of any of
the following acts
by any person who
knowingly or
willfully
encourages, aids, or
coerces any child
under the age of
0
eighteen (18) years:
(i) Recruiting,
employing, enticing,
soliciting, isolating,
harboring,
transporting,
providing,
persuading,
obtaining, or
maintaining, or so
attempting, any
minor for the
minor for the

		purposes of commercial sex acts
		or sexually explicit
		performances; or
		selling or
		purchasing a minor
		for the purposes of
		commercial sex
		acts.1
		(A) "Commercial
		sex act" means any
		sex act or sexually
		explicit
		performance on
		account of which
		anything of value is
		given, promised to,
		or received, directly
		or indirectly, by any
		person.
		(B) "Sexually
		explicit
		performance"
		means an act or
		show, intended to
		arouse, satisfy the
		sexual desires of, or
		appeal to the
		prurient interests of
		patrons or viewers,
		whether public or
		private, live,
		photographed,
		recorded, or
		videotaped.
South Carolina	<u>S.C. CODE ANN. § 20-4-40</u> :	$\underline{S.C. CODE ANN. \S}$
	There is created an action	$\frac{20-4-20}{$
	known as a "Petition for an	"Abuse" means:
	Order of Protection" in	(1) physical harm,
	cases of <u>abuse</u> to a	bodily injury,
	household member.	assault, or the
		threat of physical
		harm;
		(2) sexual
		criminal offenses,
		as otherwise
		defined by statute,

		committed against
		a family or
		household member
		by a family or
		household member.
		(*NOTE: Part (b)
		not applicable here
		was ruled as
		unconstitutional)
South Dakota	S.D. CODIFIED LAWS § 25-	S.D. CODIFIED LAWS
	10-3: There exists an	<u>§ 25-10-1</u> : (1)
	action known as a petition	"Domestic abuse,"
	for a protection order in	physical harm,
	cases of <u>domestic abuse</u> .	bodily injury, or
	Procedures for the action	attempts to cause
	are as follows:	physical harm or
	(1) A petition under this	bodily injury, or the infliction of
	section may be made by	
	any person in a	fear of imminent
	relationship described in §	physical harm or
	<u>25-10-3.1</u> against any	bodily injury
	other person in such a	when occurring
	relationship;	between persons in
	(2) A petition shall allege	a relationship
	the existence of domestic	described in <u>§ 25-</u>
	abuse and shall be	<u>10-3.1</u> . Any
	accompanied by an	violation of <u>§ 25-10-</u>
	affidavit made under oath	<u>13</u> or chapter 22-
	stating the specific facts	19A or any crime of
	and circumstances of the	violence as defined
	domestic abuse; and	in subdivision 22-1-
	(3) A petition for relief	2(9) constitutes
	may be made whether or	domestic abuse if
	not there is a pending	the underlying
	lawsuit, complaint,	criminal act is
	petition, or other action	committed between
	between the parties.	
		persons in such a
	However, if there is any	relationship;
	other lawsuit, complaint,	
	petition, or other action	
	pending between the	
	parties, any new petition	
	made pursuant to this	
	section shall be made to	
	the judge previously	
	assigned to the pending	
	lawsuit, petition, or other	
	· • /	

	action, unless good cause is shown for the assignment of a different judge.	
Tennessee	TENN. CODE ANN. § 36-3- 606 (West): a) A protection order granted under this part to protect the petitioner from <b>domestic</b> <b>abuse, stalking</b> , sexual exploitation of a minor, <b>sexual assault</b> , or a human trafficking offense may include, but is not limited to:	TENN. CODE ANN. § 36-3-601 (West): "Domestic abuse" means committing abuse against a victim, as defined in subdivision (5); "Abuse" means inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on an adult or minor by other than accidental means, placing an adult or minor in fear of physical harm, physical restraint, malicious damage to the personal property of the abused party, including inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by an adult or minor; "Stalking victim" means any person, regardless of the

	I		
			relationship with
			the perpetrator,
			who has been
			subjected to,
			threatened with, or
			placed in fear of the
			offense of stalking,
			as defined in <u>§ 39-</u>
			<u>17-315;</u>
			"Sexual assault
			victim" means any
			person, regardless
			of the relationship
			with the
			perpetrator, who
			has been subjected
			to, threatened with,
			or placed in fear of
			any form of rape, as
			defined in <u>§ 39-13-</u>
			<u>502, § 39-13-503, §</u>
			<u>39-13-506</u> or <u>§ 39-</u>
			<u>13-522,</u> or sexual
			battery, as defined
			in <u>§ 39-13-504, § 39-</u>
			<u>13-505,</u> or <u>§ 39-13-</u>
			<u>527;</u>
Texas	TEX. FAM. CODE	TEX. FAM.	CODE ANN. § 71.004
	<u>Ann. § 83.001</u>	(West 202	<u>3)</u> : " <b>Family</b>
	<u>(West 2023)</u> : (a)	violence"	means:
	If the court finds	(1) an act	by a member of a
	from the	family or h	nousehold against
	information	another m	ember of the family
	contained in an	or househo	old that is intended to
	application for a	result in p	hysical harm, bodily
	protective order	injury, ass	ault, or sexual
	that there is a	assault or	that is a threat that
	clear and present	reasonably	v places the member
	danger of <u>family</u>		mminent physical
	<b>violence</b> , the	harm, bod	ily injury, assault, or
	court, without	sexual ass	ault, but does not
	court, without		
	further notice to		fensive measures to
	further notice to	include de protect on	eself; as that term is

WAKE FOREST LAW REVIEW

family violence	<u>261.001(1)(C), (E), (G), (H), (I),</u>
and without a	(J), (K), and (M), by a member
hearing, may	of a family or household toward
enter a	a child of the family or
temporary ex	household; or
parte order for	(3) <b><u>dating violence</u></b> , as that
the protection of	term is defined by <u>Section</u>
the applicant or	71.0021.
any other	<u>TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. § 261.001</u>
member of the	(West 2023): "Abuse" includes
family or	the following acts or omissions
household of the	by a person:
applicant.	(A) mental or emotional
applicallt.	<b>injury</b> to a child that results in
	an observable and material
	impairment in the child's
	-
	growth, development, or
	psychological functioning;
	(B) causing or permitting the child to be in a situation in
	which the child sustains a
	mental or emotional injury that
	results in an observable and
	material impairment in the
	child's growth, development, or
	psychological functioning;
	(C) physical injury that
	results in substantial harm
	to the child, or the genuine
	threat of substantial harm from
	physical injury to the child,
	including an injury that is at
	variance with the history or
	explanation given and
	excluding an accident or
	reasonable discipline by a
	parent, guardian, or managing
	or possessory conservator that
	does not expose the child to a
	substantial risk of harm;
	(D) failure to make a
	reasonable effort to prevent an
	action by another person that
	results in physical injury that
	results in substantial harm to
	the child;
	(E) sexual conduct harmful
	(1) Somul conduct nurmitur

to a shild's montal
to a child's mental,
emotional, or physical
welfare, including conduct
that constitutes the offense of
continuous sexual abuse of
young child or disabled
individual under <u>Section 21.02</u> ,
<u>Penal Code</u> , indecency with a
child under <u>Section 21.11,</u>
<u>Penal Code</u> , sexual assault
under <u>Section 22.011, Penal</u>
<u>Code</u> , or aggravated sexual
assault under <u>Section 22.021,</u>
<u>Penal Code;</u>
(F) failure to make a
reasonable effort to prevent
sexual conduct harmful to a
child;
(G) compelling or
encouraging the child to
engage in sexual conduct as
defined by <u>Section 43.01, Penal</u>
<u>Code</u> , including compelling or
encouraging the child in a
manner that constitutes an
offense of trafficking of persons
under <u>Section 20A.02(a)(7) or</u>
(8), Penal Code, solicitation of
prostitution under <u>Section</u>
<u>43.021, Penal Code</u> , or
compelling prostitution
under Section 43.05(a)(2),
Penal Code;
(H) causing, permitting,
encouraging, engaging in, or
allowing the photographing,
filming, or depicting of the
child if the person knew or
should have known that the
resulting photograph, film, or
depiction of the child is obscene
as defined by <u>Section 43.21</u> ,
<u>Penal Code</u> , or pornographic;
(I) the current use by a person
of a controlled substance as
defined by Chapter 481, Health
and Safety Code, in a manner
and Datety Code, in a mannel

or to the extent that the use
results in physical, mental, or
emotional injury to a child;
(J) causing, expressly
permitting, or encouraging a
child to use a controlled
substance as defined by
Chapter 481, Health and
Safety Code;
(K) causing, permitting,
encouraging, engaging in, or
allowing a sexual performance
by a child as defined by <u>Section</u>
<u>43.25, Penal Code;</u>
(L) knowingly causing,
permitting, encouraging,
engaging in, or allowing a child
to be trafficked in a manner
punishable as an offense
under <u>Section 20A.02(a)(5), (6),</u>
<u>(7), or (8), Penal Code</u> , or the
failure to make a reasonable
effort to prevent a child from
being trafficked in a manner
punishable as an offense under
any of those sections; or
(M) forcing or coercing a child
to enter into a marriage.
TEX. FAM. CODE ANN. § 71.0021
<u>(West 2023)</u> : (a) " <b>Dating</b>
violence" means an act, other
than a defensive measure to
protect oneself, by an actor
that:
(1) is committed against a
victim or applicant for a
protective order:
(A) with whom the actor has or
has had a dating relationship;
or (P) because of the vistim's or
(B) because of the victim's or
applicant's marriage to or
dating relationship with an
individual with whom the actor
is or has been in a dating
relationship or marriage; and
(2) is <b>intended to result in</b>

		physical harm, bodily injury, assault, or sexual assault or that is a threat that reasonably places the victim or applicant in fear of imminent physical harm, bodily injury, assault, or sexual assault.
Utah	UTAH CODE ANN. § 78B-7-403 (West 2023): (1) An individual may seek a protective order if the individual is subjected to, or there is a substantial likelihood the individual will be subjected to: (a) <u>abuse by a</u> <u>dating partner</u> of the individual; or (b) dating violence by a dating partner of the individual.	UTAH CODE ANN. § 78B-7-102 (West 2023): (1) "Abuse" means, except as provided in Section 78B-7-201, intentionally or knowingly causing or attempting to cause another individual physical harm or intentionally or knowingly placing another individual in reasonable fear of imminent physical harm. (*NOTE: This statute has been amended by a house bill however, the definition of abuse does not change.)
Vermont	VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 15, § 1103 (West 2023): (a) Any family or household member may seek relief from <u>abuse</u> by another family or household member on behalf of himself or herself or his or her children by filing a	<ul> <li><u>VT. STAT. ANN. tit. 15, § 1101</u> (West 2023): (1) "Abuse" means the occurrence of one or more of the following acts between family or household members:</li> <li>(A) Attempting to cause or causing physical harm.</li> <li>(B) Placing another in fear of imminent serious physical harm.</li> <li>(C) Abuse to children as defined in 33 V.S.A. chapter 49, subchapter 2.</li> <li>(D) <u>Stalking</u> as defined in 12</li> </ul>

this chapter. (E) in T VT. (Wu to decourse eng or s rea (A) the or (B) dis fea und inju sig per inc est to de and ser per sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und inju sig per inc est to de and ser per yer per sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und inju sig per vice st to de and ser per yer per yer sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und inju sig per vice st to de and ser per yer sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und ser per yer sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und ser per yer sch tim VT. (Wy dis fea und ser per vice sch tim VT. (Wy def fea und ser per yer sch tim VT. (Wy Sig sch tim VT. (Wy Sig sch tim VT. (Wy Sig sch tim VT. (Wy Sig sch tim Sch tim Sch tim Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch	S.A. § 5131(6). Sexual assault as defined 12 V.S.A. § 5131(5). STAT. ANN. tit. 12, § 5131 est 2023): (6) "Stalk" means engage purposefully in a arse of conduct directed at a be offic person that the person gaging in the conduct knows should know would cause a sonable person to: fear for his or her safety or e safety of a family member; suffer substantial emotional tress as evidenced by: (i) a r of unlawful sexual conduct, awful restraint, bodily ury, or death; or (ii) inficant modifications in the rson's actions or routines, luding moving from an ablished residence, changes established daily routes to a from work that cause a ious disruption in the rson's life, changes to the rson's life, changes to the rson's life, changes to the son's life, chan

	<u> </u>	
		defined in <u>13 V.S.A. § 2823</u> ,
		and that the plaintiff was the
		victim of the offense.
Virginia	<u>2023 Va. Legis.</u>	<u>VA. CODE ANN. § 16.1-228</u>
	<u>Serv. Ch 620</u>	<u>(West 2023)</u> : <b>"Family abuse"</b>
	<u>(H.B. 1897)</u>	means any <b>act involving</b>
	<u>(West)</u> : A. In	violence, force, or threat
	cases of <u>family</u>	that results in bodily injury
	<u>abuse</u> , including	or places one in reasonable
	any case	apprehension of death,
	involving an	sexual assault, or bodily
	incarcerated or	injury and that is committed by
	recently	a person against such person's
	incarcerated	family or household member.
	respondent	Such act includes, but is not
	against whom a	limited to, any forceful
	preliminary	detention, stalking,
	protective order	criminal sexual assault in
	has been issued	violation of Article 7 (§ 18.2-
	pursuant to §	61 et seq.) of Chapter 4 of
	16.1–253.1, the	Title 18.2, or any criminal
	court may issue	offense that results in
	a protective	bodily injury or places one
	order to protect	in reasonable apprehension
	the health and	of death, sexual assault, or
	safety of the	bodily injury.
	petitioner and	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	family or	
	household	
	members of the	
	petitioner.	
Washington	WASH. REV.	WASH. REV. CODE ANN. §
*Language	CODE ANN. §	$\frac{WASH. REV. CODE ANN. 9}{10.99.020 (West 2023): (4)}$
specifically	<u>7.105.100 (West</u>	"Domestic violence" includes
cites criminal	$\frac{1.105.100 \text{ (West}}{2023)}$ : (1) There	but is not limited to any of the
acts	$\frac{2023}{2023}$ . (1) There exists an action	following crimes when
acts		
	known as a	committed either by (a) one
	petition for a	family or household member
	protection order.	against another family or
	The following	household member, or (b) one
	types of petitions	intimate partner against
	for a protection	another intimate partner:
	order may be	(i) <b>Assault</b> in the first degree
	filed:	$(\underline{\text{RCW 9A.36.011}});$
	(a) A petition for	(ii) Assault in the second
	a <u>domestic</u>	degree ( <u>RCW 9A.36.021</u> );

violence protection order, which must(ii) Assault in the third degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.031); (iv) Assault in the fourth degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.041); (v) Drive-by shooting ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.045); (vi) Reckless endangerment ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.045); (vi) Reckless endangerment ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.070); (vii) Coercion ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.36.070); (viii) Burglary in the first degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.52.020); (vi) Burglary in the second degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the second degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.48.080); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.48.080); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.48.020); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( $\mathbb{RCW}$ 9A.48.020); (xvi) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or protection order restraining or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from going out the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from going out the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly remaining within, or knowingly remaining within, or knowingl		
which must allege the existence of domestic violence committed against the petitioner or or a family or household member. (x) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.050); (xi) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.070); (vii) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.070); (viii) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.030); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.080); (xi) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.040); (xvii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or enjoining the person from knowingly coming within, a	<u>violence</u>	(iii) Assault in the third degree
which must allege the existence of domestic violence committed against the petitioner or or a family or household member. (x) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.050); (xi) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.070); (vii) Coercion (RCW 9A.36.070); (viii) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.030); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.080); (xi) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.040); (xvii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or enjoining the person from knowingly coming within, a	protection order,	(RCW 9A.36.031);
allege the existence of domestic violence committed against the petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member. (v) Reckless endangerment (RCW 9A.36.050); (vii) Reckless endangerment (RCW 9A.36.050); (vii) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.020); (viii) Burglary in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.070); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.020); (xvi) Wildarping in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.020); (xvi) Wildanping in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.020); (xvi) Wildanping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvi) Wildanping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person rom restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, a	-	
existence of domestic violence committed against the petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member. (X) Criminal trespass in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.020); (x) Burglary in the second degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.020); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.070); (x) Criminal trespass in the second degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.070); (xi) Malicious mischief in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.070); (xi) Malicious mischief in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.52.070); (xi) Malicious mischief in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.450.00); (xii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( $RCW$ 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree ( $RCW$ 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the second degree ( $RCW$ 9A.40.020); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( $RCW$ 9A.40.020); (xvii) Unlawful imprisonment ( $RCW$ 9A.40.040); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or enjoining the person ro restraining the person ro restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, a		
domestic violence committed against the petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member.	-	
<ul> <li>violence</li> <li>committed</li> <li>against the</li> <li>petitioner or</li> <li>petitioner or</li> <li>petitioners by an</li> <li>intimate partner</li> <li>or a family or</li> <li>household</li> <li>member.</li> <li>(vii) Burglary in the first</li> <li>degree (RCW 9A.52.020);</li> <li>(ix) Burglary in the second</li> <li>degree (RCW 9A.52.030);</li> <li>(x) Criminal trespass in the</li> <li>first degree (RCW 9A.52.070);</li> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the</li> <li>second degree (RCW</li> <li>9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in</li> <li>the first degree (RCW</li> <li>9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the</li> <li>second degree (RCW</li> <li>9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the</li> <li>third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first</li> <li>degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second</li> <li>degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful</li> <li>imprisonment (RCW</li> <li>9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the</li> <li>provisions of a restraining</li> <li>order, no-contact order, or</li> <li>protection order restraining or</li> <li>enjoining the person or</li> <li>restraining the person from</li> <li>going onto the grounds of or</li> <li>entering a residence,</li> <li>workplace, school, or day care, or</li> <li>probibiting the person from</li> <li>going onto the grounds of or</li> <li>entering a residence,</li> <li>workplace, school, or day care, or</li> <li>probibiting the person from</li> <li>going onto the grounds of or</li> <li>entering a residence,</li> <li>workplace, school, or day care, or</li> <li>probibiting the person from</li> <li>going onto the grounds of or</li> <li>entering a residence,</li> <li>workplace, school, or day care, or</li> <li>probibiting the person from</li> <li>going onto the grounds of or</li> <li>entering a residence,</li> <li>workplace, school, or day care, or</li> </ul>		
committed against the petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member.		
against the petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member. (vii) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.020); (vi) Burglary in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.030); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.070); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.080); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.080); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xv) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvi) Wilolation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, or		
<ul> <li>petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member.</li> <li>(viii) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.030);</li> <li>(x) Burglary in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.030);</li> <li>(x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.030);</li> <li>(x) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or</li> </ul>	committed	( <u>RCW 9A.36.050</u> );
<ul> <li>petitioner or petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member.</li> <li>(xi) Burglary in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.020);</li> <li>(x) Burglary in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.030);</li> <li>(x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.070);</li> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xvii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or</li> </ul>	against the	(vii) Coercion ( <u>RCW</u>
<ul> <li>petitioners by an intimate partner or a family or household member.</li> <li>(viii) Burglary in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.52.020</u>);</li> <li>(ix) Burglary in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.52.030</u>);</li> <li>(ix) Burglary in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.52.070</u>);</li> <li>(ix) Criminal trespass in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.52.070</u>);</li> <li>(ixi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.52.080</u>);</li> <li>(ixi) Malicious mischief in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.070</u>);</li> <li>(ixii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.080</u>);</li> <li>(ixiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.090</u>);</li> <li>(ixiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.090</u>);</li> <li>(ixiv) Kidnapping in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.020</u>);</li> <li>(ixvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.030</u>);</li> <li>(ixvii) Unlawful imprisonment (<u>RCW 9A.40.030</u>);</li> <li>(ixviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or der, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a</li> </ul>	-	9A.36.070):
intimate partner or a family or household member.	÷	
or a family or household member. (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.030</u> ); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.070</u> ); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.070</u> ); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.080</u> ); (xii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvi) Unlawful imprisonment ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) Unlawful imprisonment ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a	- •	
household member.degree (RCW 9A.52.030); (x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.070); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.080); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
<ul> <li>member.</li> <li>(x) Criminal trespass in the first degree (RCW 9A.52.070);</li> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (RCW 9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.030);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a</li> </ul>		
first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.070</u> ); (xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.52.080</u> ); (xii) <b>Malicious mischief</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.070</u> ); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.080</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Unlawful <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or		
<ul> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.090</u>);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.020</u>);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.030</u>);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful</li> <li>imprisonment (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a</li> </ul>	member.	(x) <b>Criminal trespass</b> in the
<ul> <li>(xi) Criminal trespass in the second degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.52.080);</li> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (<u>RCW 9A.48.090</u>);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.020</u>);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (<u>RCW 9A.40.030</u>);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful</li> <li>imprisonment (<u>RCW</u>)</li> <li>9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a</li> </ul>		first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.52.070</u> );
second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.52.080</u> ); (xii) <b>Malicious mischief</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.070</u> ); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.080</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly coming within, or		
9A.52.080); (xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.080); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090); (xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020); (xv) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030); (xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040); (xviii) Unlawful imprisons of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
<ul> <li>(xii) Malicious mischief in the first degree (RCW 9A.48.070);</li> <li>(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree (RCW 9A.48.080);</li> <li>(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);</li> <li>(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);</li> <li>(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);</li> <li>(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040);</li> <li>(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a</li> </ul>		
the first degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.070</u> ); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.080</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
9A.48.070); (xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> 9A.48.080); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> 9A.40.040); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
(xiii) Malicious mischief in the second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.080</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
second degree ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.48.080</u> ); (xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
9A.48.080);(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040);9A.40.040);(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		(xiii) Malicious mischief in the
9A.48.080);(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree (RCW 9A.48.090);(xv) Kidnapping in the first degree (RCW 9A.40.020);(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree (RCW 9A.40.030);(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment (RCW 9A.40.040);9A.40.040);(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		second degree ( <u>RCW</u>
(xiv) Malicious mischief in the third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
third degree ( <u>RCW 9A.48.090</u> ); (xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
(xv) <b>Kidnapping</b> in the first degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or		
degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.020</u> ); (xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or		
(xvi) Kidnapping in the second degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> ); (xvii) <b>Unlawful</b> <b>imprisonment</b> ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or		
(xvii) Unlawful imprisonment ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		(xvi) Kidnapping in the second
imprisonment ( <u>RCW</u> <u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		degree ( <u>RCW 9A.40.030</u> );
<u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		(xvii) <b>Unlawful</b>
<u>9A.40.040</u> ); (xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		imprisonment (RCW
(xviii) Violation of the provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
provisions of a restraining order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
order, no-contact order, or protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
protection order restraining or enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
enjoining the person or restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
restraining the person from going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
going onto the grounds of or entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		restraining the person from
entering a residence, workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		going onto the grounds of or
workplace, school, or day care, or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		0 0
or prohibiting the person from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		0
knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a		
knowingly remaining within, a		1 0 1
		a <b>.</b> .
specified distance of a location,		
		specified distance of a location,

[	T	
		a protected party's person, or a
		protected party's vehicle
		(chapter 7.105 RCW, or <u>RCW</u>
		<u>10.99.040</u> , <u>10.99.050</u> , <u>26.09.300</u> ,
		*26.10.220, 26.26B.050,
		26.44.063, 26.44.150, or
		26.52.070, or any of the former
		RCW 26.50.060, 26.50.070,
		26.50.130, and 74.34.145);
		(xix) <b>Rape</b> in the first degree
		( <u>RCW 9A.44.040</u> );
		(xx) Rape in the second degree
		( <u>RCW 9A.44.050</u> );
		(xxi) Residential burglary
		(RCW 9A.52.025);
		(xxii) Stalking ( <u>RCW</u>
		9A.46.110); and
		(xxiii) Interference with the
		reporting of domestic violence
		( <u>RCW 9A.36.150</u> ).
		,,
Washington	D.C. CODE ANN.	D.C. CODE ANN. § 16-1001
D.C.	§ 16-1003 (West	(West 2023): (8) "Intrafamily
*Specific	2023): (a) A	offense" means:
language in the	person 16 years	(A) An offense punishable as
statute itself	of age or older	a criminal offense against an
equating	may petition the	intimate partner, a family
domestic	Domestic	member, or a household
violence to "an	Violence Division	member; or
offense	for a civil	(B) An offense punishable as
punishable as a	protection order	cruelty to animals, under § 22-
criminal	against a	1001 or $\S$ 22-1002, against an
offense."	respondent who	animal that an intimate
ononio.	has allegedly	partner, family member, or
	committed or	household member owns,
	threatened to	possesses, or controls.
	commit:	
	(1) $\mathbf{An}$	
	intrafamily	
	offense, where	
	the petitioner is	
	the victim, or, if	
	the offense is	
	punishable	
	under § 22-1001	
	or § 22-1002,	

	1	
	where the victim	
	is an animal that	
	the petitioner	
	owns, possesses,	
	or controls;	
	(2) Sexual	
	assault, where	
	the petitioner is	
	the victim;	
	(3) Trafficking in	
	labor or	
	commercial sex	
	acts, as	
	described in §	
	22-1833, where	
	the petitioner is	
	the victim; or	
	(4) Sex $f(x) = f(x)$	
	trafficking of	
	children, as	
	described in §	
	22-1834, where	
	the petitioner is	
	the victim.	
West Virginia	W. VA. CODE	W. VA. CODE ANN. § 48-27-202
	ANN. § 48-27-501	(West 2023): " <b>Domestic</b>
	(West 2023): (a)	violence" or "abuse" means
	Upon final	the occurrence of one or more of
	hearing, the	the following acts between
	court shall enter	family or household members,
	a protective	as that term is defined in
	order if it finds,	section two hundred four of this
	after hearing the	article:
	evidence, that	(1) Attempting to cause or
	the petitioner	intentionally, knowingly or
	has proved the	recklessly causing physical
	allegations of	harm to another with or
	domestic	without dangerous or
	<b>violence</b> by a	deadly weapons;
	preponderance of	(2) Placing another in
	the evidence.	reasonable apprehension of
		physical harm;
		(3) Creating <b>fear of physical</b>
		harm by harassment,
		stalking, psychological
	1	staming, psychological

		abuse or threatening acts; (4) Committing either sexual assault or sexual abuse as those terms are defined in articles eight-b and eight-d, chapter sixty-one of this code; and (5) Holding, confining, detaining or abducting another person against that person's will.
Wisconsin	WIS. STAT. ANN.§ 813.12 (West2023): A judge orcircuit courtcommissionershall issue atemporaryrestraining orderordering therespondent torefrain fromcommitting actsof domesticabuseagainstthe petitioner, toavoid thepetitioner'sresidence, exceptas provided inpar. (am), or anyother locationtemporarilyoccupied by thepetitioner orboth, or to avoidcontacting orcausing anyperson otherthan a party'sattorney or a lawenforcementofficer to contactthe petitioner	<ul> <li><u>WIS. STAT. ANN. § 813.12 (West</u> <u>2023):</u> "Domestic abuse" means any of the following engaged in by an adult family member or adult household member against another adult family member or adult household member, by an adult caregiver against an adult who is under the caregiver's care, by an adult against his or her adult former spouse, by an adult against an adult with whom the individual has or had a dating relationship, or by an adult against an adult with whom the person has a child in common:</li> <li>1. Intentional infliction of physical pain, physical injury or illness.</li> <li>2. Intentional impairment of physical condition.</li> <li>3. A violation of s. 940.225(1), (2) or (3).</li> <li>4. A violation of s. 943.01, involving property that belongs to the individual.</li> <li>6. A threat to engage in the conduct under subd. 1., 2., 3., 4., or 5.</li> <li>WIS. STAT. ANN. § 940.225 (West 2023): Sexual assault</li> </ul>

	petitioner	WIS. STAT. ANN. § 940.32 (West
	consents in	2023): Stalking
	writing, to	WIS. STAT. ANN. § 943.01 (West
	refrain from	2023): <b>Damage to property</b>
	removing,	
	hiding,	
	damaging,	
	harming, or	
	mistreating, or	
	-	
	disposing of, a	
	household pet, to	
	allow the	
	petitioner or a	
	family member	
	or household	
	member of the	
	petitioner acting	
	on his or her	
	behalf to retrieve	
	a household pet,	
	or any	
	combination of	
	these remedies	
	requested in the	
	petition, or any	
	other	
	appropriate	
	remedy not	
	inconsistent with	
	the remedies	
	requested in the	
117 •	petition	
Wyoming	WYO. STAT. ANN.	<u>WYO. STAT. ANN. § 35-21-102</u>
	<u>§ 35-21-103</u>	<u>(West 2023)</u> : " <b>Domestic</b>
	<u>(West 2023)</u> : (a)	abuse" means the occurrence
	A victim of	of one (1) or more of the
	<u>domestic abuse</u>	following acts by a household
	may petition	member but does not include
	the court under	acts of self defense:
	this act by filing	(A) <b>Physically abusing</b> ,
	a petition with	threatening to physically
	the circuit court	<b>abuse</b> , attempting to cause or
	clerk or the	causing physical harm or acts
	district court	which unreasonably restrain
	clerk if the	the personal liberty of any
	county does not	household member;
	have a circuit	(B) Placing a household
		., 5

## 2024] DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND THE SECOND AM. 267

court fo order o protect	of imminent physical harm; or cion. (C) Causing a household member to engage involuntarily in sexual
	activity by force, threat of
	force or duress.